

Will The Antichrist Be A Muslim

by Keith Malcomson

This sermon critiques the teaching of the Islamic Antichrist, highlighting the confusion and inaccuracies in interpreting Bible prophecies related to Islam. It emphasizes the importance of studying and understanding scripture accurately before teaching it to others, cautioning against misleading interpretations that deviate from traditional biblical understanding.

Scripture: Daniel 2:40, Daniel 8:17, Revelation 13:18, Revelation 17:3, Revelation 17:9, Revelation 17:18

Topics: "Biblical Prophecy", "Accurate Interpretation of Scripture"

Description

This sermon critiques the teaching of the Islamic Antichrist, highlighting the confusion and inaccuracies in interpreting Bible prophecies related to Islam. It emphasizes the importance of studying and understanding scripture accurately before teaching it to others, cautioning against misleading interpretations that deviate from traditional biblical understanding.

Transcript

Praise God, I'd like you to turn to Daniel chapter two again. We're doing an appendix to our Daniel series. We are finished, but I just want to add a few things on.

There were some notes I had for my messages. I actually, because of time, I missed out certain things I was going to say, certain bits of information. And even here tonight, I won't be full or comprehensive, but I do want to draw your attention to certain things.

Tonight, next week, and the following week, unless something comes up here tonight, I want to deal with the Islamic Antichrist. Next week, I want to ask the question, will the Antichrist be the Pope? The week after that, I want to deal with why I do, why I am not an amillennialist. Now, I'll explain those things as we go along, but I just want to bring you to this message here tonight.

We've had 14 parts on the Daniel series going through chapter by chapter. I didn't deal with every verse. I didn't say all that I desired to say, but I just want to backtrack on this 15th part here tonight and deal with something I believe is essential for this hour and this time.

My title tonight is, will the Antichrist be a Muslim or will he be Islamic? Will the religion of the Antichrist be Islamic? Turning to Daniel chapter two, I just want to read a couple of verses here as we go to our message. Reading from Daniel chapter two in verse 40, and it says there, and the fourth kingdom shall be

strong as iron, for as much as iron breaketh in pieces and subdueth all things, and as iron that breaketh all these, shall it break in pieces and bruise. And whereas thou saw'st the feet and toes, part of potter's clay and part of iron, the kingdom shall be divided, but there should be in it of the strength of the iron, for as much as thou saw'st the iron mixed with many clay, and as the toes of the feet were part of iron and part of clay, so the kingdom shall be partly strong and partly broken.

And whereas thou saw'st the iron mixed with many clay, they shall mingle themselves with the seed of men, but they shall not cleave one to another, even as iron is not mixed with clay. And in the days of these kings shall the God of heaven set up a kingdom which shall never be destroyed, and the kingdom shall not be left to other people, but it shall break in pieces and consume all these kingdoms, and it shall stand forever. Let's pray tonight, and even as we pray, we're not here to mock other people's views or even to ridicule, though we will say some straight things, but you know what, saints, maybe this message more than anything else, to give us a burden for those caught in the Islamic religion.

Can we even pray before even thinking of expounding or critiquing a certain teaching? Can we simply pray as a church that God would give us souls out of Islam, even in this city of Limerick, and wherever those are listening to us in this message. Let's pray together. Father, we do humble ourselves under your mighty hand tonight, and we ask for souls, O God.

We realize the danger of Islam. We realize its size, its power, its militancy in our world. We have seen what it's done in our generation.

We have seen its rise, and we believe that it's coming to a climax, to a head. Father, I pray for revival amongst the Islamic nations. Lord God, we pray for your grace and your mercy, that you'd send a visitation of your Holy Spirit, that in our generation, in our day, we are going to be blessed with seeing vast multitudes of Muslims turn to the Lord Jesus Christ.

My God, we don't want to deride them as a religion. We don't want to mock them. We don't want to ridicule them.

We want to have mercy, O God, and Lord God, we don't want to in any way, Lord God, belittle any people, any group, or even those that teach the Islamic Antichrist, but O God, we want to glorify Jesus Christ, and we want to simply find the truth of God tonight in Jesus' mighty name. Amen. Will the Antichrist be a Muslim? I'm not here tonight just to mock or critique that statement and that teaching, and you know I don't believe in it.

We have taught what we believe in this series, but I'm not here merely to critique it. But you know what? I want you to see what a large thing Islam and the Muslim religion is in this hour. At this present time, they claim to have 1.8 billion members of Islam in the world.

That's about 24 percent of the entire world's population. That's almost one out of four people are going to, at least even in a secular sense, or a traditional or a cultural sense, belong to the Islamic religion. That is massive.

It is the second biggest religion in our world. And at least from the early 90s, I have warned very strongly about the danger and the rise of Islam. I was warning in the early 90s before many others realized its danger.

It was in the early 90s, I forget which year, that a missionary, an English missionary, in the city of Birmingham, which is England's second city or Britain's second city, he said, I was there with him. He was a missionary into, behind the Iron Curtain when it was up, into communist nations, communist China, and then went as a missionary smuggling Bibles into Muslim nations. When I visited, stayed in his home, he said, come, I'm going to show you Birmingham City, this great English city.

I'm going to show you it. That day, he drove me around that great city, second only to London. And as he did, he showed me where they had built Muslim mosques right next to the oldest Christian churches in the city, or the newest, biggest Christian churches in the city, all over the city.

And he showed me how they literally ringed the city of Birmingham. This is in the early 90s. He also told me at that time that gangs or groups of young Muslim thugs had literally beaten off the street preachers off the streets of Birmingham.

My father went there and preached in the bull ring many, many decades ago. He would go there and evangelize. Well, this old missionary told me he only knew of one street preacher left at that particular time.

He also had files there. And in those files, he had maps, diagrams, information about the Siberian concentration camps that he had access to when he was a missionary into Soviet Russia. Now he had another drawer, metal drawer there.

And he had all this information on the rise of Islam. He actually took out one of their prophecy books, and he showed me there how they were predicting, this is the Muslims, were predicting that they were going to experience two last great world wars before the end, before the end time. This was their prophecy teaching.

The second to last great war they were going to have was with Israel, when they would annihilate every single Jew off the face of the earth, beginning with the little nation of Israel. It said in that book that after annihilating the nation of Israel, they would go on to worldwide jihad, where they would annihilate the entire Christian religion off the face of the earth. I very quickly in the early 90s began to realize the danger of Islam.

It spread into Great Britain, how they targeted main cities. Several years later, I went to Cardiff, would preach on the streets of that capital of the country of Wales, and I'd go and evangelize there. There would be gangs of young Muslims.

When they see me preach about Jesus, you would see the anger of 15-year-old boys. They got angry at me preaching that God had a son, that he was incarnate in human flesh. I prayed at that time, oh, that we would have young men in our churches at 15 years old that would get angry at heresy and false teachings.

Well, on the streets of Cardiff, I took a friend, I'd preach in once, and these Muslims, young men, 15 years old, came along, and this is what they said to him. They asked him to come around the corner. He said, why? They said, we will show you what we think of your religion.

They actually said to him, if we could, we would kill you for what you're preaching this day. You know what? I admired their zeal. I admired their sacrificial spirit.

You see, they believe what they preach. So many Christians do not believe, do not practice what they say they believe. Now, at this present time, the city of Berlin, the capital of Germany, is over 50% Islamic.

Paris is over 10% Islamic. The city of Glasgow, the capital of Scotland, who would believe it, is more than 5% Muslim today. In fact, all of Europe is over 5% Muslim, all of Europe, and it's rising fast in Ireland and in England.

Muhammad is one of the most popular birth names in this hour, at this time. I'm telling you, there is a real problem. So as we look at this question, will the Antichrist be a Muslim? It's not a stupid question.

It's not a foolish question. I'm not mocking those who believe it. Many of those who teach this and believe it, they have a great burden for Islam.

They're reaching out and evangelizing Muslims. They want to stir up prayer. And you know what? I say amen to all of that.

I commend them highly in the Lord for their burden, their observance, their awareness of the danger of Islam. They're not laughing at Islam, but they're taking it serious. Since the year 2000, there has been the rise of militant Islam.

There was the second Gulf War in Iraq. There was the attack on the Twin Towers in New York. There has been mass Islamic immigration into the West, in Europe and in America as well.

And the recent extreme threat of ISIS in the Middle East and the West. All of these things have worked together to make the new teaching, and it is a new teaching, the new teaching of an Islamic Antichrist seem very contemporary and very likely. So let's not mock it.

In fact, let's study it. Let's see what they have to say. Let's diagnose it with scripture.

You see, there's a history to this theory of the Islamic Antichrist. And this is what we're looking at tonight. And I've got many of their books here tonight that they have written on the either Islamic or Arabic Antichrist.

So I want to, really, it's in the light of their teachings and their opinions that I'm speaking here tonight. And I'll refer to them, all that I say, refer to some of these men who have taught this theory and idea. Starting in the year 1993, a Bible teacher called Philip Goodman wrote a book called The Assyrian Connection.

Much good stuff in there that I would actually agree with, The Assyrian Connection. Then in that same year, 1993, there was a man called Perry Stone. That's his book written a few years later.

Perry Stone, in 1993, started to share about the Antichrist potentially being a Muslim, and that the last religion that would sweep the world and enforce a mark of the beast would be the Islamic religion. Well, Perry Stone, in the year 2009, released this book called Unleashing the Beast, in which he released this teaching about the Islamic Antichrist. Although he said, as all these men always do, that he had shared this since 1993.

Now in his book, he said the seventh head of the fourth beast was actually linked to the EU. So initially at the end, he actually taught that you'd begin to see this rise of globalism in the West, and the EU would be a part of it. But it would only be here for a short time.

He said that was the seventh head. He then said the eighth head would actually be a 10-nation Islamic confederation that would suddenly take over. That's what he taught.

Then another man called Joel Richardson, and I'm going to concentrate on him here tonight. He released his book in 2006 called *The Antichrist, Islam's Awaited Messiah*, or he later released it as *The Islamic Antichrist*. The reason I'm concentrating on him is he has become the most popular and the main spreader of this teaching on the Islamic Antichrist.

When he first published this book, I bought it. When I began to read it, in fact, it struck me. It marked me.

I was caught a bit by it. I was fascinated to think of beheading in the book of Revelation. Here's Islam beheading their enemies.

There are many similarities, and it's a very striking book, though some very basic mistakes made in it. But there's something I'm going to come back to here. There was also a man called Joe Van Covering in 2007 released his book called *Unveiling the Man of Sin*.

In fact, in that book, he went further. He was a friend of Perry Stone's. He went further in that book, and he gave the country and the name of the Antichrist, who he thought the Islamic Antichrist was going to be.

In that book in 2007, he actually said that the Antichrist would arise from the small country of Jordan because Daniel says that the Antichrist or the little horn would not invade Jordan. He gave a name to Antichrist. He called him the Crown Prince El Hassan bin Talal of Jordan.

Well, that man is now 74 years old. Most of you have never heard about him, never mind anything else. But this is the rise of the history of this theory.

Each man began sharing, speaking, preaching, doing conventions until finally Joel Richardson actually become very popular with it. But listen, in 2008, a book was written by two men, Joel Richardson and Walid Shoebat. This was its title, *God's War on Terror, Islam, Prophecy, and the Bible*.

Walid Shoebat was supposedly a convert from the PLO. He shared his testimony many times how he got converted from radical Islamic terrorism to be an evangelical, fundamentalist Christian. And now he was warning the West of the rise of Islam.

These two men, Joel Richardson and Walid Shoebat, began spreading this idea of the Islamic Antichrist hand in hand. They wrote two books together. But this book, *God's War on Terror*, as well as Joel Richardson's book, *Here in My Hand, The Antichrist, Islam's Awaited Messiah*, they called these two books the cornerstone of this teaching on the Islamic Antichrist.

In fact, Joel Richardson said that these two books have, in fact, he said about Walid Shoebat that he had greatly contributed to my understanding of this issue. In this book, he said this PLO convert was one of the main influences on him, on him writing this. Now, who are these men? Let me say, I'm just laying some background because we're not mocking, but I want you to see clearly and understand that until 1990, nobody talked about an Islamic Antichrist.

It began in the 90s, become very popular since the year 2000. It's a new teaching, utterly new. And these are the men who promoted it and made it more popular, and especially through YouTube in this hour and generation.

So let me say again, who is this man, Walid Shoebat? Who is Shoebat? This man who's written all these books, he's a very interesting character. In fact, Walid isn't his name. He says on his website that that is an assumed name.

It's not his real name. He actually, people don't know his real name, he put that up. But the Jerusalem Post in the year 2008, when he was coming out public with all of this, the Jerusalem Post wrote an article, and you can read it online, highlighting inconsistencies in the testimony of Walid Shoebat.

They began looking at his claims to being a terrorist. They went to Bethlehem and interviewed his uncle, his cousins, other family members. And in fact, the family members shook their head and said, all of this is wrong.

They didn't know what he was talking about. And Walid actually says that he was arrested by the Israeli government. There's no record of him being in an Israeli jail.

He claims bombing a certain bank. There's no record of that bank actually being attacked at any single point. So all these questions were raised.

CEN in 2011 also raised other questions. But here's a more interesting point. Remember, Walid Shoebat has affected Richardson in this idea.

That was the main influence. This Walid Shoebat is very, very important in this, although he wasn't the first man teaching it. He certainly wasn't the first man teaching it.

Two years before he released his book with Richardson, he also co-authored another book in the year 2006 with an old Jewish rabbi who used to be married to more than one woman. And in fact, there was a group of these rabbis who all taught the Islamic Antichrist. Well, Walid Shoebat co-authored a book with him.

In fact, he was the lesser partner called Islam Peace or the Beast. That was in 2006. Two years later, he releases a book almost identical.

He stole all his ideas from these old rabbis and he put them into his book and he brought Joel Richardson in with him with this book as his co-author. And it got released across the world, even affecting someone like me. It took time for me to study through it and to see where its errors were wrong.

But that old rabbi has come back and he accuses Shoebat of plagiarism, of stealing all of his ideas directly from these old rabbis. Who is Joel Richardson who wrote this book? Actually, that's not his name either. Neither of them use their real names and they give so-called kosher reasons for that.

So Joel Richardson isn't called Joel Richardson. It's a pen name. And he acknowledges that at the beginning.

He also acknowledges at the beginning, my Catholic brother from the Middle East who was a help to him writing this book. In fact, it's interesting the connection with Catholicism with Joel Richardson. So we don't know his name, but he takes all the teachings of the Muslims, puts it together, presents it in his book, and says, look, what the Muslims are teaching that the Antichrist is going to be.

When you look at the Bible, it is a duplicate. What he doesn't tell you is he only draws from the teaching of about 14% of the Muslims called the Shiite Muslims. He doesn't tell you that, but he promotes it as the

normal Islamic view, as if all of this teaching was the normal standard view.

And it's not. It's not. He actually has written, Islam is the single greatest challenge that the church will face before the return of Jesus.

I certainly believe it's a prominent threat now and over the next couple of years, but I do not believe it will be the greatest threat between now and Jesus returning. Well, Richardson claims to have about 200 books. He often mentions about 200 commentaries on the book of Daniel, to have studied all these books, to be an expert on the book of Daniel.

He talks a lot about his humility and we need to be humble and not dogmatic, but I find his teachings very straight. He criticizes the views that I hold very clearly and makes a lot of accusations, but he's always talking about humility and not being dogmatic. So let's go here.

I've given you a bit of a background, but I want to deal with four clear points. I'm not going to deal with everything. I'm just giving you a taste here tonight.

We'll cut off a certain point. I won't keep you for two hours, but let me just give you four points here that they actually teach. And this is my first point.

Who is the fourth iron kingdom that we find in Daniel chapter two? We have taught in this series that the fourth iron kingdom is the Roman empire and it came after the Grecian empire. We taught that. We taught it several times during our series.

We taught about the four kingdoms, Babylonia, Medo-Persia, the Grecian empire, and then the Roman empire. That's what we taught. But these men who teach the Islamic antichrist utterly reject that.

They do not believe that the fourth empire in Daniel chapter two is Rome or that it is Rome in Daniel chapter seven. They reject that the fourth beast or the fourth kingdom in Daniel seven is also Rome. So they contradict what we teach, and this is why I'm addressing it here.

I'm just going back and pinpointing this. They say that the fourth empire in Daniel in chapter two or in chapter seven, that it never names Rome. It mentions Babylonia.

The book mentions Medo-Persia. It even mentions the rise of the Grecian empire. But they say that in the book of Daniel, Rome is never mentioned by name.

And they're absolute right. They're correct. They're accurate.

Rome is not mentioned by name. But listen for a second. In Daniel chapter nine, who would argue in the prophecy we dealt with in Daniel's 70 weeks, that in Daniel nine we read about a very specific time frame of 490 years and about Messiah being cut off and Jerusalem destroyed? Now, who would argue? There's a prophecy very clear about Messiah being cut off.

Who crucified the Messiah in Jerusalem? We all know it was Roman soldiers. And who destroyed the city of Jerusalem? We know it was the Roman empire, the Roman general, the authorities or the Roman armies. We know that it was the Roman empire.

But they want to pull out that Rome isn't mentioned in Daniel. Just because the name Rome or Roman isn't mentioned in Daniel does not mean that it's not there very, very clearly. So they make much of that

and it can sound impressive.

Be very careful when someone argues against truth. It can sound very wise. You may not have an answer, but be very careful of listening people who want to debate over arguments.

Give time to it. Be patient. Be very slow about changing your opinions.

Spend much time in Bible study. But what we do notice that in the Bible we have that fourth empire in the New Testament and it is named. We know that Rome defeated the Grecian empire.

All four regions. It was Rome, nobody else. All four regions of the Grecian empire.

Who defeated it? It was the Roman empire that defeated it by the year 30 BC. We also read when we come to the New Testament and the Gospels at the right place at the right time. We read the name of the first Roman emperor.

His name was Augustus Caesar and he began to reign from the year 27 BC, just after the defeat of the Grecian empire. We find him in Luke chapter 2 verse 1 and it says there went out a decree from Caesar Augustus that all the world should be taxed. So that prominent leader, the first emperor of the fourth beast is mentioned in the Bible.

Islam isn't mentioned in the Bible, even in the New Testament or the Old Testament. It's not even connected and had no connection with the Grecian empire. Be very careful who you listen to and what arguments that you listen to.

So we see that the fourth beast is in the Bible, but it's in the New Testament. It's named in the New Testament. It's identified.

It's first great leader is also identified. Then look again. He refers in his book here to Daniel 2 verse 40 and this is one of the biggest and main arguments that he makes of why it can't be the Roman empire.

Verse 40 and the fourth kingdom shall be strong as iron for as much as iron breaketh in pieces and subdueth all things and as iron that breaketh all these shall it break in pieces and bruise. And then again in chapter 7 it says the same thing about the fourth beast that it's actually going to be diverse from all the other beasts, but it will divide the whole earth, tread it down and break in pieces. So Mr. Richardson, in his view of the Islamic Antichrist, primarily because of verse 440, chapter 2 verse 40, because of this verse, because of the subduing and the breaking and the bruising, he says it cannot be the Roman empire.

You know why? He says the Roman empire did not subdue and did not conquer the land of the previous three empires. Now listen to what he says very carefully. He says this fourth beast has to conquer the land.

It's not the beast itself. He says that this fourth beast has to conquer the four nations or the land or the geography of the previous three beasts. Rome only conquered one-third of the area of the previous three empires.

He also says it only conquered one-fifth of the Medo-Persian empire. No, let me correct that, of the land the Medo-Persian empire covered. So he says it's ridiculous.

You cannot believe the fourth beast is Rome. Why? Because Rome did not rise up in its power and conquer all of the land of the three previous beasts. You see what he's done? He's taken the word subdue, break, bruise, crush, taken these words and he's identified that the word crushing means of culture, language, religion, but primarily geography.

That's the first. He said it's got to crush the geography of the land. Now the Bible doesn't say that.

That was Mr. Richardson created that to move it away from Rome and to move it towards an Islamic antichrist. Everything hinges on this one verse and in fact on one single word that he interprets as crushing. He says because that one word, Rome didn't crush, Rome didn't subdue and break all of these other empires and so it's disqualified.

But Islam did that. That's what he actually says. He goes further and says this crushing has to take place of the culture, the language and the religion.

Wherever you get Islam, you get an utter crushing of culture, language, religion. That's what he says. So the crushing is the iron.

It is stronger than the other three metals. He says it's going to crush all these others. But how can it crush an empire that doesn't exist anymore? Now look at it.

Listen very carefully. He's saying that that beast to be the fourth in line has to conquer all of the land to be the next fourth beast. I don't believe that.

You see, I believe each beast did conquer Babylon. They conquered Jerusalem. They conquered in around the Mediterranean.

Their empire reached the Mediterranean and started to move in around the Mediterranean. But he is creating a term here. How can it crush an empire that has long gone 100 years ago or 200 years ago? You cannot crush that beast.

You cannot crush the culture of that beast. That beast has gone in history 200 years ago. The Bible doesn't say that this fourth beast had to crush the Babylonian empire and the Medo-Persian empire.

It doesn't say that. It had to rise up and replace the Grecian empire. Who replaced the Grecian empire? It was the Roman empire.

It's got nothing to do about ground. Nowhere in the text does it mention language or religion. This is something that a man has created.

So that he can bring in a teaching on the Islamic Antichrist. Let me give you an example of this. Today, if I was to conquer Berlin and the country of Germany with its capital Berlin, could it be said of me that I have crushed the old Kaiser empire that went out of existence 100 years ago? So if I went in one Germany in Berlin, would you begin to say I have crushed that old Germanic empire that no longer exists? No, you would not.

And yet, this is what these men are trying to say. They're trying to say that a kingdom has to arise that's going to possess all these lands. That fourth iron kingdom is going to crush.

It's going to annihilate. It's going to utterly destroy. So Rome is disqualified because it was such a nice empire.

In fact, he actually says this. He said the Romans were rebuilders. They didn't annihilate.

They didn't crush. This is what he says. Does this man even read history? Do you know, if you go back to history books, you read about them destroying the Celts in Europe, annihilating entire peoples, burning down cities.

In AD 70, they destroyed Jerusalem. They scattered the Jews out across the world that weren't gathered until 1948 again. What an extreme teaching.

Now, what does he say replaces it? If it's not Rome, what does he say it is? He says it's going to be the Islamic Caliphate. In other words, the Islamic government or a forming of Islamic nations. He actually teaches that Islam and its form of this empire would absolutely and completely conquer all of the lands that it gained possession of.

There's only one problem. You need to jump from the Grecian empire several hundred years, ignoring the Roman empire and all the history of the first century church. You need to jump all the way through to the seventh century to the rise of Islam.

So he's saying an empire, really the true empire came several hundred years later. It was the Islamic empire, but it had no connection with triumphing over the Grecian empire. Do you know what? All it had to do was go in later and take over the land of the Grecian empire, Medo-Persia, the Babylonians, and there you have the fourth beast.

But he inserts something here, which he calls the Parthian empire. He actually says it come up out of the Medo-Persian empire. It existed a hundred years before Rome.

So he connects the Grecian empire to Islam with the Parthian empire that existed 250 years before Christ and 250 years after Christ. And he begins to say the Parthians led all the way through to the rise of the Islamic empire. The only problem is the Parthians never conquered Greece.

It only arose in one of the four regions of the Grecian empire. It never conquered the other three. Neither did it crush the Greek culture within its own new empire, the Parthian empire.

It didn't crush Greek culture or language or religion. In fact, it took it on board itself. It forbade the second of the Seleucia, the city of Seleucia, and it certainly was not legs of iron.

So if you believe this teaching, you've got a gap between the waist and the thighs and those legs of iron. There's going to be a big long gap. This man is going to be cut in two with no connecting factor apart from trying to put this Parthian empire in between.

Richardson actually says that the Parthian empire came to control much of the Middle East for 500 years. That's not true. That's not true.

They only came to conquer a small portion of it. They never ruled over Jerusalem. They managed to besiege it once and barely take it.

Then they were thrown out by the Roman empire again. But he believes you can just jump several hundred years through and you get this. What does he say the feet or the toes of iron and clay are? Well, he says it's the Shiite Muslim and the Sunni Muslims.

One are 14 percent of Muslims. The other is 86 percent. He said this is the clay and iron mixing together.

Do you know what? You've got to stretch a lot when on one verse you reject Rome because of verse 40. You say Rome didn't crush and you jump several hundred years trying to insert other cultures that have no identification with Islam and you jump all the way through. That's my first point.

My second point. Who is the ram and the goat of Daniel chapter 8? Daniel chapter 8. I could say an awful lot more here, but I'm just giving you a taste of why I don't believe in the Islamic Antichrist. So go with me to Daniel chapter 8. Who is the ram and the goat? You know what we taught.

We taught the ram was the Middle Persian empire and that the goat was the Grecian empire. This was the end of the Middle Persian empire, the rise of the Grecian empire, and this was their clash when Alexander the Great brought his army. Remember that message that we taught in Daniel chapter 8? What an exciting message about the clash of kingdoms.

That's what we taught. Okay, so what does he teach? What does Richardson teach and the Islamic Antichrist teach? In Daniel chapter 8, verse 17, we read of Gabriel the angel going to Daniel and speaking to him. Listen to what he says.

Understand, O son of man, for at the time of the end shall be the vision. So notice that Richardson says, now look at this. Who is the ram and goat? You heard what I said it was.

I said it was all those generations ago when the Middle Persian empire came into a conflict with Grecian empire and Alexander the Great arose. That was my interpretation and I believe it's very clear in Daniel chapter 8. I don't know how anyone couldn't believe that. But in order to force the Islamic Antichrist on you, they've got to change this.

They do change it. They have changed it. Although as you're going to see, I'm not sure if they've changed it or not, but I'll explain that in a moment.

So he says in chapter 8 here in verse 17, that Daniel is told that this vision he's being shown of the ram and the goat is it will come to pass at the time of the end shall be the vision. So he says this term means the time of the end. It means the last days.

Not Alexander's days, not the Middle Persian Grecian empire change of empire season. No, he says actually Daniel 8 has nothing to do with that. Nothing to do with Alexander the Great.

Nothing to do with his four generals arising. He actually says Daniel 8 has to do with last days because of this verse in chapter 8 verse 17 because it says at the time of the end shall be the vision. Again, the next verse, verse 19, it also says I will make thee know what shall be in the last end of the indignation for at the time appointed the end shall be.

So the word end is used three times in two verses here in Daniel 8. From this he said it means the last days, the end of the end days, the days of Antichrist, and it has nothing to do with past history is what he says. So we're going to have to reinterpret it because of this. In his book, Richardson's book called Middle

East Beast, which he published in 2012.

Now listen carefully. In that book that he released in 2012, he taught the same as me, but he changed it. So in his book in 2012, he taught exactly the same as me, but he's going to change it.

And he's going to move it to the last days away from being fulfilled in history. For two or three years, he stuck to the same teaching, just what I taught you in that chapter. And in fact, he said he changed at the beginning of 2015.

He says that he was on a trip to the country of Iraq to minister to the persecuted church that was being persecuted by Islam in January, 2015. And for the first time, he said he taught this new idea because of this verse. He moved it from being about Alexander the Great and he moved it to last days and he began to teach it in 2015.

For the next five years, this is what he taught. He rejected the idea that it was Medo-Persia fighting Greece or Greece fighting Medo-Persia. He said that the notable horn who came up wasn't Alexander, that the four horns who came up after him weren't his generals.

And it says in chapter eight, verse 23, it says, in the latter time of their kingdom. Now notice this, let me explain why it talks about end days or the end in Daniel 8. It's talking about the end of the Grecian empire. When it talks about the end of the vision, it's speaking about a certain time.

In fact, it's talking about the days of Antiochus. It's talking about a specific time, way after Alexander to a very specific time, the latter time of their kingdom. Who is their kingdom? The four generals, the four regions of the Grecian empire.

It is the latter time of their kingdom. In verse 23, it's very specific. It's not talking about the Roman beast and the last days or the fourth beast.

It's talking about the Grecian empire. So don't think that end always means last days and Antichrist. And this is where he made a massive mistake.

What's going to happen in the latter time of their kingdom? A king will arise of fierce countenance. We taught that it was Antiochus Epiphanes the fourth, but he believes it's going to be the Antichrist in the last days. I don't believe that.

Now here's the problem. He teaches that it's about the end times or the ultimate fulfilling of everything. He says that Daniel 8 has never happened in history.

He says that the kingdom was never divided into four. In fact, he says the Grecian empire was split into 23 or 26 provinces. And after four wars, five generals arose, not four.

This is him teaching. Teaching in his books, teaching. Well, his books sometimes teach it, sometimes don't.

But his videos that I've watched, that I watched from one year ago, this is what he's teaching. From the beginning of last year, this is what he's teaching. And he taught it for five years.

So he said there weren't four generals, there were five. And really there weren't four regions. There were only two regions come out of the Grecian empire.

He says it on his video. He says it was the Northern kingdom and the Southern kingdom. He said history does not fit with Daniel 8 being in the past.

He then takes the name Grecian for Grecian empire and the first little horn, the first king that would come out of it. I'm going to run out of time here. I can just feel it, but I'll have given you enough to show you why I just don't believe this.

But anyway, where am I? So he began to say there in Daniel 8 that the term Grecian empire and the first king of Greece, he said, it's not the word Greece. It's the word Javan. And he's right, it is.

Then he said the word Javan in those days didn't mean what we mean by the Grecian empire of Alexander. He said it wasn't that, it wasn't Greece mainland. He said the word Javan means the Greek islands and the Western half of Anatolia, which makes a Turkey.

See where he's going. He's making a Turkey in the last days, our generation. So he's moving it away from Greece and he's making a Turkey.

In Daniel 2, he moves it away from Rome, makes it Islam. Now in Daniel 8, he's moving it away from Greece and Alexander and he's making it Turkey. Just telling you what he's doing here.

So he goes on to say, in fact, Alexander the Great is not the first king of Greece. That's what he taught in his message one year ago. To be quite honest, if someone was this bad in history, I wouldn't be changing my opinion for these ideas.

The reason he's doing all these teachings is to sell you the idea of the Islamic Antichrist. He constantly lectures about being humble. I want to tell you, be very careful who sells you what teaching.

Or you start to find that men like Walid Shoebat has sold you a teaching. I can assure you. Was Alexander the first king of Greece or was he not? This man says he wasn't.

That Alexander the Great was the 33rd king of Greece. Friends, that's a load of gobbledygook. Do you know what? It is true to say he was the 33rd king of Macedonia, which is the northern small region of Greece.

It's correct to say that. But Alexander the Great, in the history books, was the first king of Greece. Daniel talks about the first king of Greece.

Here's a Bible teacher that has affected many in our world actually saying it doesn't line up. He needs to go back to his history books. Well, he did.

Let me see. What did he say then up until a year ago? He said that this was probably an end-day clash between Iran and Turkey. Maybe.

Certainly in this area. It seems to be saying, I'm just quoting from him here. He says we need to pay attention.

If it begins to happen that there's a conflict between Iran and Turkey, then yes, we'll say this is what we believe about Daniel Chapter 8. He was saying one year ago, things are lining up for this, but hold your breath for a second or don't hold your breath for a second. Then a year ago, in April and May, he'd come out with a new teaching. He had taught the other for five years everywhere he went.

Online, when he went into Iran and other countries, he taught this. He ridiculed the teaching that we presented, even though we're right with history and he's wrong. Five years, he didn't realize he was wrong.

Then listen, he put a video out live on YouTube on the 25th of April, 2020, and he called it The Ram and Goat, Daniel's Vision Explained. He slowly eases you in. Then he begins to tell you actually he's having second thoughts and he's changed his mind.

Back to the traditional view. Then on the 1st of May, he released another video called Why I Changed My View on Daniel Chapter 8 and Why I No Longer Hold It or Argue Against It. He said, I don't know, but maybe it's more likely to have been Alexander and the Grecian Empire taking over Medo-Persia, but we don't know.

Even in those videos, he still attacked the Roman view. Listen to what he said. Even though he's made all of this mistake for five years, having to eat humble pie and say for five years, I've taught wrong.

All of you, I've taught you wrong. Now I want to lead you back to the traditional view I used to mock. But even in that video, he mocked the Roman view or the fourth empire being the Roman view.

He still called it a presupposition, saying people like me are forcing it, that we are twisting scripture, that we are shoehorning it. In fact, rejects that the little horn is Antiochus and Daniel 8. Still in that video, he rejected Antiochus, said it was only the Antichrist. Let me go to my third point.

This is my favorite here. I'm going to jump from Daniel. There's much more I can say in this, more scriptures I can bring out, but I'm just giving you the heart of it to show you.

Be very careful who you listen to. Be very careful who you receive teachings from. Be very slow.

Go back to scripture. Test them. Never jump ship too quickly.

This ought to make us very cautious on all these things. But my third point, what is the mark of the beast? We're over in Revelation 13 now. Now I've showed you Daniel, but it's so connected with Revelation.

Let me on the next two points, just take you to the book of Revelation. Point three, what is the mark of the beast? You'll say that's obvious. Not if you believe in the Muslim Antichrist, you've got to change this.

Perry Stone had to change it. All of these men have began to change it and they have to mock the traditional literal understanding of the mark of the beast to move you to a new Islamic mark of the beast, which is radically different. What do these teachers actually teach about the mark of the beast? Revelation 13 verse 18, it says, here is wisdom.

Let him that has understanding count the number of the beast, for it is the number of a man and his number is 600, three score and six. Now in that book, God's War on Terror, the Joel Richardson and Volodymyr Shubat wrote jointly together that was all on Islam prophecy and the Bible. The author had jointly published jointly, they actually reveal what they think the mark of the beast is, the explanation.

Primarily Volodymyr Shubat, this is what he taught. For those who believe in an Islamic Antichrist, what is 666? Volodymyr Shubat backed and supported by Richardson, who defended this even after the was criticized. The number 666, what they say is that John, in the vision of this number, wasn't shown Greek numbers and letters.

No, he wasn't. In this vision, John was shown Arabic words instead of Greek numbers. In fact, what he says is where we have 666 in our Bible that we thought was in the Greek, actually, it's Arabic.

Because Volodymyr Shubat was from a Muslim background and he spoke Arabic fluently, he could tell that this was the only Arabic statement in the Greek New Testament. It's three different letters for 666 or 666. He said these three letters, because in the Greek, the letters all represent a number as well.

The three Greek letters that were numbers, he says, were actually three Arabic letters, not Greek letters. He said the middle one, and this is what the letter or number meant, in the name of Allah, he could read it as a Muslim, he could read it. When he looked at it, he was shocked himself when he's seen this ancient document, he was utterly shocked at what he's seen.

Do you know what he was looking at? The Codex Vaticanus that is claimed to be the oldest and earliest written document of the New Testament. We've talked about the Codex Vaticanus. You know where it was? It was hidden for millennia in the Vatican Library.

We've taught entire messages about how corrupt the Codex Vaticanus is. It's one of the most corrupt documents and it's used for all the new Bible versions. What they claim is it's the oldest documented New Testament, that it goes back to 350 AD.

That's old. Volatubat said that he read it in the Codex Vaticanus. He read in there.

When he looked at it, he recognized these Arabic statements and he said, I know what this says. It is talking about in the name of Allah. It has the cross swords for the thirds, or the first symbol is the cross swords.

It's not six, it's cross swords. He could read it and he could identify what it was saying. In fact, when it was identifying 666 on the right hand of forehead, it was identifying the religion of Islam and of the teachings of Allah.

That's what it was identifying. That's what he actually said. Now, there's a few problems, only a few problems with this actual teaching.

Here's the first. The Codex Vaticanus as an ancient manuscript did not have this portion of the book of Revelation in it. It was actually added much later in the 15th century because Revelation was missing.

Most of Revelation was missing. I wonder why Revelation 17 was missing from this Vatican ancient document. I wonder why that is, but also Revelation 13 was missing, so it got inserted.

It's more of a contemporary. In fact, another one, this is the only ancient Bible document that has these symbols portrayed in this way. No other ancient Greek document has this.

Here's something else for you as well. It says here, count. That's what it says.

Understand and count the number. He said it's not a number, it's names, it's titles, it's symbolism of speaking about Allah and the Islamic religion. He said it's not a number, but it's a description here.

How could it be? It says count, count the number. It is a number. It's not a description of Allah.

That word count means to use pebbles to count, to calculate, to reckon, decide, or to number. It's a mathematical term there, but this is the bit I like. This is best.

We're going to go to point four, but don't miss this. Listen very carefully if you miss everything else. This is what Volod Shubat done, and you need to tell me, has he just stolen these ideas? Is he an honest man? Him and Joel Richardson promoted this idea and it swept YouTube.

Wouldn't it sweep you along? If you could see it, the pictures, I don't have them here for you, but if you could see it and you saw the cross swords and the name of Allah in there, just as they show you, okay, this is what they do to it. When Volod Shubat explained it, that second letter for Allah, it's a bit like this in the picture he does. What he doesn't show you in other Greek documents, it's three lines.

It's not like that. Also, what he does for Allah's name, he has to flip it on its side to be the same symbol. He takes Allah's name, flips it on its side, and that's not all.

He has to turn it back to front. The symbol for Muhammad, it's utterly unique only in this document. That's a corrupt document, and it's very late, very modern, but you have to turn it back to front and flip it on its head, maybe squint your eyes as well.

I'm sorry, I'm being sarcastic, but when Bible teachers begin to play with prophecy like this, I'm not very happy. I'm a Bible teacher. I feel bound in my conscience to be very honest and very upright.

Now, Joel has, in more modern times, distanced himself from this corruption. Thank God he did, but he still promotes the idea that the mark of the beast is not a mark that you receive literally and physically. You say, does all of this make a difference? Oh, it will.

It will. You see, if you're looking for an Islamic antichrist and you interpret it like them, you'll look for something very different than what I'm watching to rise up in this generation. Very, very different.

Let me take you to the fourth and final point here. Number four, who is Babylon? We've looked here in our points very briefly. Who is the fourth iron kingdom? You're going to have to decide tonight.

Secondly, who is the ram and goat? Are you confused tonight? Thirdly, what is the mark of the beast? You see, I believe that this hour, those experts Schwab and his book on the great reset and the fourth industrial revolution, he actually portrays in that book that in just four years time, in 2025, they're going to take your mobile phone, all that your mobile phone is, and put it biologically into your body, and the whole economic system will come out of that. I believe that is what's coming in fulfillment of revelation 13. But these men take your eyes off that and say it's a religion, that it's an Islamic religion, and they mock these ideas of technology.

That's very dangerous. Very, very dangerous. And so they say Islam is the main problem, the greatest danger, when all the time the real problem is far more dangerous and sinister, and it will be knocking at your door very shortly.

Fourth and finally, who is Babylon? And we go to revelation 13 as well as revelation 17 on this. Now, I'm not going to go into all of this, but if you want more information, I've detailed this fourth point in my book, The Scarlet Woman. I've got all my details in there.

I don't have time to cover it here all tonight. But in revelation 17, we see a vision there, and we see a woman sit upon a scarlet beast in verse 3. A woman upon a scarlet beast full of names of blasphemy having, and the beast had seven heads and 10 horns. And listen to what the woman is like.

And the woman is arrayed in purple and scarlet color and decked with gold and precious stones and pearls, having a golden cup in her hand full of abominations and filthiness of her fornications. And upon her forehead was a name written, mystery Babylon, the great, the mother of harlots, an abomination of the earth. Now, what do they actually do here? They bring in an Islamic teaching.

They make Babylon Islamic. They actually reinterpret it very differently than I or you would in this Bible study here tonight. Here's another dogmatic interpretation created because of the rise of militant Islam on the world.

What do they say Babylon is? They say it's the city of Mecca, that Mecca fulfills this entire teaching. And if you go into this, if you follow Volod Shubat's books and Richardson's books and videos, what you begin to find in Revelation 17 and Revelation 18, that the scarlet woman riding the beast, that's the religion of Islam. The scarlet beast that she's riding upon is the political system of Islam.

And in fact, they teach that the beast that arises is the Antichrist or the Muslim Messiah, the Mahdi. Again, they go further in Revelation 18 and they say that Babylon is the city of Mecca. So they give a very clear interpretation.

Now let's look at a minute, what these men who teach the Islamic Antichrist, how do they make Babylon in Revelation the city of Mecca? First of all, they do it by attacking my view and opinion. They create a straw man. They set it up.

It's pathetic, I assure you. And then they burn it. They say, see, it's not true.

It's not accurate. I wish they'd come to my book before doing that. So they then reject what I would believe and I'm not dealing with it tonight.

It's in my book. But they create another teaching. They go to Revelation 17 and they say the woman seated on seven hills, it's not the city of Rome.

I believe that Revelation 17, that beast is the city of Rome. It is the Roman beast, the fourth beast, the same as Daniel. So in Daniel, it was the Roman beast.

Over here in Revelation, the fourth beast, this beast that's going to arise in the last days, it is still the Roman beast. It's a revived Roman empire. How do I know that this woman and the beast is the city of Rome? In Revelation 17, 18, one verse explains it.

And the woman which thou sawest is the religion of Islam. No, it doesn't say that. The woman which thou sawest is that great city, which reigneth over the kings of the earth.

Which city in John's day, when he was shown this vision, reigned over all of the cities of the earth. Which city, what singular city? It was Rome. When you come to Revelation chapter 17, you see that that woman has a connection with the city of Rome.

Here she is in vision riding that beast. Do you know that beast was the Roman empire? That woman riding it is the city of Rome. That's what Revelation 17 says.

But they make the woman to be the Islamic religion. So how do they get around, explain the woman sitting on the seven hills? Although for 2,600 years, Rome, the city of Rome has been known as the city of the seven hills. Everyone knows it.

Poets, politicians, historians, modern movie makers, they all call it that. But not the Islamic antichrist teachers. They reject that.

They mock it. They say it has no connection with Rome. So what do they say that the woman sitting on the seven hills, what's their interpretation? Are you ready for this? Do you have your seat belts on? Maybe put your helmet on if you have one.

This is their interpretation. They reject the seven hills of Rome. They laugh at it.

They all do this. Richardson does it. Schubert does it.

They all do it. So what is the seven hills the woman is seated on then? The religion of Islam seated on seven hills. What is it? This is it.

This is from their books. Towering above the famous mosque in Mecca, which is the biggest mosque in the world. You've seen the pictures.

It's the black shoe in the square with billions of visitors of Muslims going there and they walk in around that black square. But right there at that large mosque and black square, do you know what you have? You have a newly built tower standing over that square. And in fact, they call it the Mecca Royal Clock Hotel Tower.

Do you know that's the seven hills the woman sits on? It's this newly built hotel that was completed in the year 2012. According to Vala Choubad, it supposedly stands upon Mount Babel and has seven towers or buildings attached to it. This is the correct interpretation.

Choubad militantly promotes this as the exclusive interpretation of Mystery Babylon sitting on the seven hills. Friends, I want to tell you when people begin to play with Bible prophecy here, I'm not very impressed. I've given you four points here, only four points.

I could keep you here all night long, I want to assure you. But the obscuring of history in this teaching between beasts and heads, if I really took you into this, you'd see how confused it is, how they get all the heads confused with beasts and with horns, really confused. In this new theory, and it is a new theory of the Islamic Antichrist, they teach that the Grecian Empire is not only the third beast of Daniel's vision, but it's also the fifth head of the fourth beast in Revelation.

Now how can you have that? How can it be its own beast in Daniel, but now it's the head of the fourth beast, a different kingdom? How can you? They also teach that Turkey, the old Ottoman Empire, is one of the 10 kings or one of the 10 horns, but it's also the seventh head of the fourth beast. Are you confused yet? Well, let me give you a few more. I think Mary's going to be sleeping already.

I think Mary fell asleep 30 minutes ago in this study. Sorry, Mary, I'll make it up to you. Listen, let me take you a bit further.

Babylon. Do you know, saints, either I'm going to cry over this, get angry, or I have to use some sarcasm. I really do, because I've got a conscience when I teach the Bible.

I can't play games with you. I wish Richardson had taught nothing for 20 years, but went into the desert and studied those 200 commentaries that he said he studied. No man should be on YouTube teaching, influencing the body of Christ, releasing their books, until they've been alone 25 years with those theories.

Do you know most of the things that I have taught in this church, most of the things, I never put them on my lips for 20 years, 25 years, at least 15 years. Most of the things I've taught are anywhere online. I spent decades mulling them over.

Well, he also says Babylon was the first empire of Daniel 2, but it's also one of the seven heads of the fourth beast in Revelation. While Daniel and Revelation show us that the fourth scarlet beast is an empire, those who teach this Islamic antichrist make each of its seven heads an individual empire. How can you have the fourth beast be in an empire, but each of its heads are seven different empires, and one of those heads are itself? Do you see how ridiculous this is? I'm only touching on this.

To make each head a different empire, when the beast is an empire, creates confusion. This just cannot be logical or biblical or any accurate way of interpreting the scriptures, yet people buy their books. They buy Richardson.

They buy Perry Stones. They buy Walid Shoebat. They watch their videos.

They get caught up in this. They spread these ideas. I'm finished.

I'm closing here. I've got another two entire pages of notes here, but do you know what? I'm closing. There's many popular ideas in Revelation.

What does antichrist system do? It decapitates you. You get your head cut off. Therefore, they say it's Islam.

Really? I thought the French Revolution done it as well. They sure look like they're trying to bring back the same ethos of the French Revolution right back in this great reset. Do you begin to understand what I believe? I wrote this book.

I can't tell you how many times I've read and studied Daniel, Revelation before I ever taught one message, not one recorded message ever in any church that I teach on Daniel or Revelation without decades of going over and over and over. I never taught it publicly, never once. Why don't we as a church come back to these principles again? Should we not fear God when we begin to teach something? All you people in the church, you just close your ears.

I'm talking to the YouTubers who are going to listen afterwards. All you YouTubers and many who listen to us, you're beautiful, wonderful people. I'm amazed at just some of the beautiful people who are listening in to us and have become precious friends.

We so appreciate you. We really do. But there's too many on YouTube and this hour, they don't know the right hand from their left hand.

Facebook becomes their pulpit. YouTube becomes their pulpit. They come on trying to correct me and they don't have the first clue what they're talking about.

They've never studied these things for decades and they need to. Be very careful what you preach, preachers. You're going to be under a greater judgment if you teach the wrong thing.

You will. You preachers better be very careful. If you preach things and you don't have a clue what you're saying, woe on to you tonight.

You YouTubers who'll rebuke me and then go on to spike the most ridiculous things, woe on to you. How can a man teach something for five years and change? Go into Iran and teach persecuted Christians and then you change your view on a YouTube video. Do you know he changed on, there's two YouTube videos and work this out.

The two videos got crossed. He didn't even have time. So the one teaching what he believes got crisscrossed with the other.

They got put on two wrong times, but it's all on there. Both teachings. I don't know what the man believes anymore.

Also, I don't know when he's going to change. I don't know when he's going to find out he's wrong and how he'll tell us. I don't know.

Do you know how many times I've referred back to my own book since 2016, writing it times without number to reference book for me, praise God saints I'm finished. And so with this, they reject and they deny concerning Ezekiel's war. They don't believe they believe it's going to be Armageddon.

They put Ezekiel's war at the end of the tribulation. They say it's going to be antichrist kingdom. They put it at the end, but you know what I believe they're making a big mistake.

I believe Ezekiel's war is about to happen and it's almost in line and it's going to happen prior to the rise of antichrist. Remember in Daniel last week, I showed you how Daniel said that this little horn will promote himself as God. There's going to be abomination.

I told you I've got so many things to say in this. I could teach a whole nother message on and I'm not. There's so many holes in this teaching.

He'll proclaim himself as God. He won't follow any world religion, including Islam. Islam won't be there in the end day scenario.

Do you know Ezekiel's war is about to take place and the most radical of Islam are going to invade Israel led by Russia and they mock this. They say Russia won't be there. It's all Turkey and it'll be the Islamic state of antichrist.

No, it won't. They're going to fall on the mountains of Israel and five out of six in that army are going to die and Islam's going to collapse. I pray there's going to be a revival at that point, a spiritual revival in the Muslim world.

Do you know what's going to happen as Islam falls? It makes way for another religion, not only the real gospel, but also a false antichrist religion that's going to rise. This 1.8 billion Muslims are going to be left shocked. We must evangelize them in this last hour.

Praise God. Let's close this Bible study to keep you here all night, but I'd be scared of burning your ears, putting you to sleep and saying, Malcolmson needs to move on now. Next week, we'll deal.

We'll go further and ask, will the antichrist be a pope? Join us for that message. Let's pray here. You know what? All mocking aside, all critiquing aside, can we just pray for the Muslim world, the Muslims in our city? Let's have a passion, a burden for them here tonight.

Father, we pray for a genuine revival in this last hour. Lord God, we do pray for the fall of Islam. Lord God, as they reach the pride of their arrogance, being led by Soviet might and power, they're going to invade the mountains of Israel, but on those mountains, they're going to fall.

They're going to fall and a revival is going to begin. I believe revival is coming to the Muslim world. Father, we pray for it tonight.

We pray for the fall of Islam and we pray for a worldwide revival amongst Muslims. I pray in this city of Limerick that we are going to be prepared to evangelize the mosques, the Muslims, the religious Islamic teachers that have come to Ireland. Father, prepare us.

We love these Muslims. They may hate us. They may want to kill us, but Lord God, before there's a jihad, we pray for a revival.

We pray that you would use us to evangelize them, to reach them, to win them to the son of God, God manifest in the flesh, the Lord Jesus Christ. Thank you that you're going to bring a harvest of souls in, in the nations of Islam. Do it in Turkey.

Do it in India. Do it in Saudi Arabia. Do it amongst the Palestinians and save them.

Father, break this false teaching of the Islamic antichrist that we might see clearly and not be deceived, not just have our eyes on the Middle East and 10 nations are on the religion of Islam, but that our eyes are going to be open to see there is a worldwide religious economic political system coming that's even now beginning to manifest. Father, we thank you for your word, your truth, your light, for a good conscience, a pure heart that we can rightly divide the word of God in Jesus name.

Video: <https://sermonindex2.b-cdn.net/KZcdoSETybl.mp4>

Source: <https://sermonindex.net/speakers/keith-malcomson/will-the-antichrist-be-a-muslim/>

Grow in Your Walk with Christ

Listen and read messages that will stir your heart for Christ and point you to deeper repentance and devotion.

- 50,000+ Sermons from speakers past and present
- 3,900+ Classic Christian Books freely readable online
- 1,200+ Bible Translations and Commentaries
- Over 450k forum posts — Join our vibrant online Christian forum

www.sermonindex.net