

STUDIES IN THE BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER

by Herbert Mortimer Luckock

Luckock's scholarly examination of the Anglican Book of Common Prayer tracing its historical development through the Anglican Reform, Puritan Innovations, Elizabethan Reactions, and Caroline Settlement.

16 Chapters

Table of Contents

0. Studies In The Book Of Common Prayer
1. Preface
2. Preface of the Second Edition.
3. Introductory Chapter.
4. CHAPTER I. THE ANGLICAN REFORM
5. CHAPTER II THE PURITAN INNOVATIONS
6. CHAPTER III: The Elizabethan Reaction
7. CHAPTER IV: The Caroline Settlement
8. APPENDIX I. In the Gallican Liturgy.
9. Appendix II. The Order of the Communion
10. APPENDIX III In the Hampton Court Conference.
11. Appendix IV The Scotch Service-book
12. APPENDIX V In the Directory.
13. APPENDIX VI In the Changes introduced at the last Revision.
14. Name Index
15. Subject Index

Studies In The Book Of Common Prayer

Preface

It often happens that many things in a book are intelligible only to those who are familiar with the mind and character of the author. An expression or phrase, which may ordinarily be passed over as unimportant, becomes instinct with meaning and suggestiveness, when read by one who has the advantage of an intimate acquaintance with the writer by whom it was used. And if this be true in regard to the chief leaders of thought in the present day, it is truer still when the reader and writer find themselves separated from each other by a long distance of time. Now the realisation of this has often made me feel that a much fuller apprehension of the real teaching of the Book of Common Prayer would be attained, if more light could be thrown upon the views and characters of the different men who compiled and revised it. Many summaries of the history of the Book have been given to the world at divers times, but the authors have for the most part been satisfied with little more than the bare enumeration of the names of men who were charged with a work unequalled in importance for the influence which it has exercised on the worship of the Church. In a few instances, e.g. Cranmer or Ridley or Cosin, there was no necessity to do anything more, but Day and Thirlby and Morley (to select at haphazard), except to the real student of Ecclesiastical History, have been names, and names only. Perhaps it would be impossible to illustrate more forcibly the advantages of such a plan as I proposed to myself than by a reference to the Council of Nicæa. Its history has often been written, and the names of the leading Bishops who took part in it have been familiar enough; but what a world of fresh interest aatliered into that Council-chamber by the Bithynian Lake, when Stanley seized the dry bones, and clothed them with flesh and blood, and stampd its own individuality upon every form! However much men may dissent from his conclusions, no one can deny that by the portraits of the disputants which he has drawn, from Constantine and Athanasius to Spiridion and Paphnutius, he has imparted a reality to the scene, as refreshing as it is instructive. The materials upon which I have drawn for what I have written in the following pages are so scattered and various that anything like a full acknowledgment is impracticable. Much of course has been found in such standard histories as those of Collier, Fuller, Peter Heylin, and Strype in earlier times; or in Hook's Lives of the Archbishops, and Froude's History of England, and Dr. Stoughton's series of works on Ecclesiastical History in later times. Separate Biographies, Diaries, Histories of individual Colleges at the two Universities, Athenoe Oxonienses and Annales Cantabrigienses, have supplied sufficient matter for forming a fair estimate of the opinions of the Bishops and Divines who were most concerned with the growth and development of the Prayer-book. Dr. Stoughton's Histories have had an especial interest, as putting forth far more ably and attractively than ever before the views of Nonconformists upon those critical times. But while according him much praise for the general tone, the vivacity and the clearness of his writings, it is impossible not to see that he has failed to recognise the real standpoint of the Church. For instance, he speaks without any reserve in condemnation of the ejection of the ministers in 1662 A.D., and tries to enlist our sympathies with the sufferings which they had to undergo, because they were too conscientious to conform to the Church of the Restoration, ignoring the fact that, twenty years before, their opponents had suffered equally, and that too at the hands of men who had usurped the government. If the Nonconformists had their

"black Bartholomew," the Bishops and the Established clergy had theirs also ; indeed, not a few of the ministers who made such a grievance of being cast out in 1662 A.D. were actually holding benefices from which the orthodox incumbents had been ousted during the Commonwealth. It only remains for me now to perform the pleasant task of expressing my grateful acknowledgments to those who have aided me in the work which this publication has entailed. These are due especially to the Bishop of the Diocese, for help directly and indirectly given, as well for suggestions before its commencement, as for criticism of the results when the work was concluded. Doubts and perplexities were certain to arise, where the right understanding of a book, second in importance only to the Bible, was the object in view. On such occasions I have found myself not infrequently appealing to his counsel and judgment, and rarely without seeing the prospect cleared, and the difficulties made easier to contend with. Next I would tender my thanks to the Rev. CANON VENABLES, Precentor of Lincoln, for having kindly examined the printed pages, and suggested some useful alterations. Also I gratefully acknowledge the help in revising and correcting the proof-sheets, which I have received from the Rev. W. B. TREVELYAN, my colleague in the Ely Theological College. And lastly, I may not forget that a fairly exhaustive Index -- that part of a work on which much of its usefulness so frequently depends, but which nevertheless the author is so ready to neglect -- is the acceptable contribution of a member of my own family. And now in sending forth this humble treatise, I would express an earnest prayer that He, with Whose worship well-nigh every page of it is concerned, will bless its influence for an ever-increasing love, and a more intelligent and reverential use of those Forms of Prayer and Ceremonial observances, for which such brave battle was done in more troublous times. H. M. L. The Feast of St Michael and All Angels, 1881, COLLEGE, ELY.

Preface of the Second Edition.

In sending forth the Second Edition I cannot forbear to express my thanks to numerous correspondents, especially to not a few of the Bishops of the Church and their Examining Chaplains, for their kindly recognition of this effort to infuse fresh life into a too much neglected subject. The careful criticisms and suggestions of Canon Bright have led me to modify a few passages, as well as to supply some additional matter, chiefly in connection with the Scotch Liturgy. Many recommendations, though valuable in themselves, I have been obliged to disregard, inasmuch as to have carried them out would have altered too largely the character of the book, and brouht it into undesirable competition with others. H. M. L. The Feast of the Annunciation, 1882. COLLEGE, ELY.

Introductory Chapter.

It may help the reader to a better understanding of the subject which we have endeavoured to illustrate in this book if we notice briefly the conditions of Public Worship in the country before we arrive at the great epochs with which the Book of Common Prayer is more immediately concerned. Notices of the Early British Church. The materials from which the historian is able to draw for a description of the Church and everything connected with it among the Britons are so scanty that much uncertainty must necessarily prevail. Tertullian, [1] in the second century, says that "even those parts of Britain hitherto inaccessible to Roman arms had been subdued by the gospel of Christ;" and Origen, [2] half a century later, testifies that "the power of God our Saviour is even with those in Britain who are divided from our world." At the beginning of the fourth century we find the British Christians governed by Bishops. In 314 A.D., at the Council of Aries in Gaul, among the signatures to the Canons then passed occur the names of Eborius, Bishop of York, Restitutus of London, and Adelphus of Lincoln (or perhaps, Caerleon). Again, British Bishops are associated with the Councils of Sardica in Illyria, 343-4 A.D., and Ariminum in Italy, 359 A.D. Though not actually present at the former, they assented to its decrees, while, in connection with the latter, it is worthy of notice, as bearing upon the poverty-stricken condition of the Church in this land, that, when the Emperor offered to defray the expenses of the Bishops who attended, the offer was declined except by those from Britain, who were too poor to refuse. The source from which they drew their Liturgy. In 429 A.D. an event occurred which in all probability had an important influence upon the after-worship of the Church. The Britons, finding themselves unable to oppose the spread of Pelagianism, sent to Gaul for some learned men to come over to help them. A Gallic Synod was called, and Germanus, Bishop of Auxerre, and Lupus of Troyes, were sent as a deputation, and after completely refuting the errors of the heretics, whom they met in controversy at Verulam, they returned home, but only to be reinvited to establish the Britons in the Faith, and build them up in the doctrines of the Catholic Church. It is to the second visit of Germanus, 447 A.D., accompanied on this occasion by Severus, a disciple of his, former companion, that the introduction of the Gallican Liturgy and Ritual is most probably to be attributed. The mission of St. Augustine. And from this date, passing over a dark and obscure page in the Ecclesiastical history of the country, we come to the Mission of St. Augustine. It is on his arrival with his forty companions, April 14, 597 AD, that for the first time we have any definite mention of the existence of particular Forms of Worship in the British Church. The Gallican Liturgy was then in use in St. Martin's Church, Canterbury, where Queen Bertha worshipped, and Bishop Luidhard ministered: not perhaps in all points in its original shape, for variations were common in the Primitive Liturgies, arising from a multiplicity of causes, such as the peculiarities of a people, their habits and tastes, or the wishes of the Bishop of the Diocese. One thing however is certain, that when St. Augustine landed in England, he found a congregation of Christian people using for their highest Act of Public Worship a Service which they had derived from Gaul. We are almost surprised that he should have expressed so much anxiety to supersede it by the Roman. Had it been a Liturgy of the Oriental type, the variations from that to which he was accustomed would have been so numerous that his desire to substitute his own would have been quite intelligible: but between the Roman and

the Gallican there were so many points of resemblance [3] that he might well have been satisfied to leave the existing Forms undisturbed. But he was impatient of any divergence, and inquired of Pope Gregory "why one custom of Masses should be observed in the holy Roman Church, and another in the Gallican"? He hoped no doubt that he would receive authority to impose the Roman in all cases without hesitation, but he was doomed to disappointment. The Pope, in his reply, showed him that there was no obligation to insist upon the Roman. Gregory's reply to his question. "You know," he writes, "the custom of the Roman Church in which you remember you were bred up. But it pleases me that if you have found anything either in the Roman or the Gallican or any other Church, which may be more acceptable to Almighty GOD, you carefully make choice of the same, and sedulously teach the Church of the English, which as yet is new in the faith, whatsoever you can gather from the several churches. For things are not to be loved for the sake of places, but places for the sake of good things. Choose, therefore, from every Church those things that are pious, religious, and upright, and when you have, as it were, made them up into one body, let the minds of the English be accustomed thereto." [4] How far the advice was followed is a disputed question. Perhaps the most probable explanation of the different views is to be found in the supposition that the two Forms of Liturgical practice continued side by side for a time: those Churches which owed their origin to the missionary adopting that of their founder, while such as used the Gallican before his arrival continued their worship unchanged. Such divergence, however, ceased in the eighth century, when by a decree of the Council of Cloves-hoo, [5] 747 A.D., it was decreed that the Roman Missal should be adopted throughout England. But in addition to the Worship of the Altar with which alone the rare notices hitherto have been concerned, we now meet with daily worship and more frequent services. During that stage of Church history which reaches from the Mission of St. Augustine to the Conquest, all our interest gathers round the Monasteries. The rise and spread of monasteries in England. These had existed before in different parts, to which the numerous "Bangors" [6] are said to testify. At Bangor Iscoed, at Bangor Wydrin (or Glastonbury), and "the great Bangor over Conway," and in other places, Monastic Colleges were built and formed centres of religious study and worship; but the system took no real hold of the country till the beginning of the seventh century. From this time forward it spread with marvellous rapidity. It was the monks who converted the heathen. The austerity and stern duties which marked their manner of life seemed to be possessed of attractions for the rude Anglo-Saxon; and when the thanes and nobles with their crowds of retainers were drawn in, and then finally Kings and Queens lavished their treasure upon the Monastic Houses, the country became literally overspread by them. All the most beautiful spots in the land were assigned for their settlement, and in "every rich valley, and by the side of every clear stream, arose a Benedictine Abbey." England became "a nation of monks." The Benedictine Rule of Life. A consideration of the Benedictine Rule of Life will enable us to realise what an impulse the worship of God received from the extension of the Monastic system. The day was divided between "opus Dei, labor et lectio:" or the service of GOD and manual and intellectual work. For the regulation of the first, the day was divided into what were called "Canonical Hours" The Hours. There is some variety, but the ordinary arrangement gave seven in addition to the midnight Service viz., Matins, or Lauds, at day-break; Prime, at six A.M.; Tierce, at nine A.M.; Sext, at noon, Nones, at three P.M.; Vespers, before sunset; and Compline, at bed-time. In the "Excerpta" of Ecgbright, [7] we read, "These seven synaxes or assemblings we ought daily to offer to GOD with great concern for ourselves and for all Christian people." Divers conjectures have been made as to the grounds

upon which they have severally been observed. The night-services probably originated in times of persecution. Prime and Vespers, at sunrise and sunset, would naturally suggest themselves in connection with the Sun of Righteousness. The observance of the three "Lesser Hours," which received their names from the third, sixth, and ninth hours with which three of the four divisions of the day terminated, was probably regarded as a continuance of the Jewish custom. Compline, from Completorium, was the gathering up of the day's devotions, the Service in which the worshipper fully commended himself to God's care for the coming night. These services combined were called "Divinum Officium." The Reforms of Pope Gregory VII. The next epoch opens with the Reforms of Gregory VII. and Bishop Osmund of Sarum. The former, who occupied the Papal Chair from 1073 to 1086 A.D., re-arranged and abbreviated the Divine Services which had been used at "the Hours," and brought them out under the title of, "The Breviary," which was generally imposed to the exclusion of the existing Forms. It consisted of four parts, for Winter, Spring, Summer, and Autumn respectively, and each part had four or five subdivisions, viz. : -- 1. Kalendarium; 2. Psalterium; 3. Commune Sanctorum; 4. Proprium de Tempore; 5. Proprium Sanctorum. Sometimes the second and third of these were combined, as containing those parts which did not vary with days or seasons. In England the favourite title for the Book was Portiforium, which in its English form had many equivalents, -- portfory, portuisse, and poituary. The Reforms of Bishop Osmund. The other reformer of Service-books was Osmund. After the Conquest the Anglo-Saxon clergy were in some cases forcibly ousted, in many succeeded at their deaths by men of Norman blood. Among these was a Count of some distinction as a statesman, who was consecrated to the See of Salisbury [8] on the death of Herman, 1087 A.D. He at once set himself to put an end to the great diversities of Rites and Ceremonies, which prevailed in different parts of the country, and even in different parts of the same Diocese. He revised the Service-books, and set forth a reformed Breviary, Missal, and Manual for adoption in all the Churches and chapels over which he had jurisdiction. These, which constituted what was known as "the Sarum Use," became generally popular, and were introduced into many parts of England, and held their ground down to the Reformation. Religion confined in the main to the Monasteries. So far we have looked at the worship of GOD mainly as it was offered in the Monasteries, but it would have been almost useless to look elsewhere, for nearly all the religion of the country was gathered within their walls. The people who derived so much benefit from them would naturally be drawn into sympathy with their religious life. The Benedictine monks were the chief missionaries, for as they spread over the land they associated the work of evangelisation with the labours of agriculture, and while they were turning uncultivated wastes into productive and luxuriant farms, and bringing plenty to the homes of the people, they superseded ignorance and blind Paganism by the blessed knowledge of the Gospel of Christ. Results of the rivalry between the secular and regular Clergy. But in lapse of time their popularity waned, and a rivalry grew up between the secular clergy and the monks. And inasmuch as the former were in the main idle and incompetent, religion flagged, and in the Church, outside the Religious Houses, the worship of GOD was suffered to fall into neglect. There was a brief resuscitation in the thirteenth century, when the country clergy were roused from their apathy by the enthusiasm with which the preaching Friars carried on their mission. But the good influence was only short-lived: the mercenary spirit of the Roman religion, so rife at that era, was infused into the new Orders, and the preaching of indulgences supplanted the preaching of the Gospel. In the Monasteries, as soon as they openly repudiated the authority of the English Bishops, the door was opened for the admission of endless innovations, and the Service-books became more and

more tainted with Roman errors. The fourteenth and fifteenth centuries were so notoriously evil that for them as well as for the ninth and tenth the dark ages has been regarded as the most fitting designation. This period has been described in these striking terms, "the epoch was an eclipse -- a very Egyptian darkness; worse than chaos or Erebus -- black as the thick præternatural night, under cover of which our Lord was crucified." [9] State of Public Worship in the Cathedrals in the 14th and 15th centuries. And though all this refers to the general condition of the Church, the decay of Public Worship was one of the most marked of its features. If we may judge from what we read of the Mother Churches, then we may well doubt if it was ever nearer to total extinction. As a single illustration, in the great Metropolitan Cathedral, at the close of the fourteenth century, where there was every facility from rich endowment and benefactions to maintain the beauty of holy worship in her services and ritual, we are quite appalled at the revelations of history. Where the worship of the Altar and the Daily Services had been for many generations offered with becoming dignity and splendour, the sacred vessels and ornaments were pilfered or sold, and the building profaned "by foul and abominable acts." The House of GOD became a place of merchandise; and while the Services were suspended or driven into obscure corners, men and women, not on common days merely, but especially on the Festivals of the Church, exposed their wares, buying and selling with no thought whatever for the sanctity of the place. [10] Then if we leap over a gap of a hundred years we find scarcely any improvement, and we realize to the full the appropriateness of the title which those centuries have received. When Dean Colet in 1505 A.D. found himself the guardian of St. Paul's, with all his religion he made hardly a visible effort to purge the Church of the profane uses to which it had been abandoned. The degeneracy of the times was such that it may well be doubted whether he could have reinstated the worship of GOD; but a brighter era was about to dawn, and with it the shadows of the past were to flee away. In the following pages we have endeavoured to show how the interest of the Reformation centred round the re-establishment of a pure worship with the Service-books revised and the Ritual regulated with a due regard to the edification of the worshippers.

CHAPTER I. THE ANGLICAN REFORM

The Pre-Reformation Service-book. The chief Service-books [11] in use in the English Church at the time of the Reformation were these: The Breviary, containing a series of daily services for the Canonical Hours, which were eight in number. The Missal, or Order of Celebration of the Holy Communion. The Manual, for the Baptismal and other occasional offices, which might be performed by a priest. The Pontifical, for such as the Bishop alone administered. In all of these severally, while the outline and structure were the same, there was considerable variety in detail, and different editions, if we may so speak of them, had become generally accepted in different localities. The different "Uses." York, for example, Lincoln, Hereford, and Bangor, had each its own "Use," marked off by some peculiarity, while the remaining Dioceses united in the adoption of that entitled "the Sarum," which the Bishop of Salisbury [12] had compiled with so much care in the eleventh century. Three things in particular contributed to call for a revision of these Service-books about the middle of the sixteenth century. The Dissolution of the Monasteries [13] made a complete reconstruction of the Breviary an imperative necessity. Frequent worship an essential feature of the Monastic life. In Religious Houses, where it was of the very essence of their constitution that the worship of GOD should enter largely into the routine of daily life, it was an easy matter to subordinate all other occupations to that which was held to be of primary importance, and seven [14] times during the twenty-four hours the Bell of the Monastery summoned its inmates to assemble in the Chapel for Divine Service. When Henry VIII realised that the Monastic Orders remained unshaken in their loyalty to the Papacy, and that the title of "Supreme Head of the Church," which he had assumed, could be little more than nominal, if such formidable opponents were left to foster seditious counsels, nothing remained for him but to dissolve their constitutions and appropriate their revenues to other purposes. With this abolition of the Religious Orders, the offering of frequent worship became wholly impracticable. It disappeared at their dissolution. Up to the time of the Dissolution, the daily service had not attracted the bulk of the People. [15] A certain number, no doubt, wherever there was a Monastery in the neighbourhood, would be drawn to some extent into a participation of its worship, but generally the people must have felt themselves precluded by their occupations from taking any part therein. Now, however, that the Monasteries had been swept away, men realised that if the daily homage of the creature was to continue to be paid, such changes were, called for as should make the payment compatible with their secular duties. How this was effected we shall see presently. A second demand for revision arose out of the revival of learning. The New Learning the Universities. The close of the fifteenth century witnessed the beginning of what was designated "the New Learning." The Universities claimed the honour of its birthplace. Erasmus, of whom it has been said that he was the first "man of letters" who had appeared in Europe since the fall of the Roman Empire, worked a complete revolution in the education of the country. The Greek language, long known but most imperfectly, and studied only in the books of authors wholly unworthy to represent its genius and its true value, seemed suddenly endowed with new attractions, and under the ægis of Erasmus regained its place in the two great seats of learning and education. He determined to break down the ignorant hostility to classical literature which

reigned in the colleges and monasteries; but how difficult a task it was, and how long it took for scholars to shake off the fetters of a barbarous age, a study of Erasmus himself will abundantly testify. With all his appreciation of the beauties of Cicero, notwithstanding the spontaneity and naturalness of his Latin, which give it all the charms of a living and spoken tongue, he is still far removed from the purity and grace of the classical models. The importance of the study of Scripture recognised. But that for which we are most deeply indebted to him is the impulse which he gave to the study of the New Testament in the original language. [16] The "ever memorable" Dean Colet, [17] foremost among his friends, substituted lectures on Scripture at Oxford for the customary disquisition, on Scotus and Aquinas; while at the sister university George Stafford discarded the glosses of the Schoolmen altogether, and taught his classes to study the text; and not a few of the Reformers [18] sat at his feet. One of the most immediate results of this reaction, which rapidly affected the community at large, was to make them dissatisfied with the part they had hitherto been contented to take in public worship. Longings for a more rational kind of worship. Men awoke to the realisation of the privileges which attached to "the priesthood of the laity," [19] and they determined to claim a portion in that intelligent and rational service, which the Clerics had monopolised all too long. The first step towards the attainment of this was the introduction of the vernacular in place of a dead unspoken tongue in the Public Forms -- the supersession of Latin by the language of the country. The third, and by many considered to be the chief call for revision, came from the pressing necessity for purifying the Service-books from error, and clearing away the accretions of superstitious usage which had accumulated upon them in mediæval times. Such then being the chief causes which contributed to make a revision necessary, it remains for us to examine the authority by which it was undertaken and carried out, with a view to estimating how far the work is entitled to the confidence of the Church. The authority upon which revision was undertaken. There are few greater mistakes than to accept as correct the loose statement so frequently made, that the Committee of Revision were appointed by the Crown. Long before it ever entered into the head of Henry VIII. to touch our services, a reformed edition [20] of the Sarum Breviary had been issued: and it is worth while observing that it followed the very lines which the Commissioners laid down for themselves in Edward VI.'s reign. [21] This again was succeeded a few years later by a somewhat similar revision of the Sarum Missal. Now both of these were undertaken before the King had assumed the title of "Supreme Head of the Church," [22] and when as yet he took no such interest in ecclesiastical matters as to justify us in believing that the work was in any way dictated by his advice or direction. The King's reluctance to move. Indeed we find him at this time most unwilling to meddle with Church Reform of any kind: as unwilling as Convocation was the reverse. He rejected a petition presented to him by the Convocation of Canterbury for an authorised version of the Bible in English for general circulation. It is true that a few years later he was induced to reconsider his decision, but we point to his hesitation in the matter as an indication of his indifference to reform, and as affording a strong presumption that whatever was done was sanctioned by Convocation, the idea of independent action being quite untenable. But when at length the King was persuaded to interest himself in Liturgical improvement, his first step was to commission the Archbishop to acquaint the Houses of Convocation that it was his pleasure that the Service-books should be revised: "that all mass-books, antiphoners, portuisses, in the Church of England should be newly examined, corrected, and reformed;" and Convocation ordered that the first Committee of revision appointed. work be intrusted to the Bishops of Sarum and Ely, [23] with three assessors [24] each

from the Lower House. Matters had been made somewhat easier by an enactment of the previous year that one uniform service should be adopted throughout the Province of Canterbury. [25] But there was one fatal obstacle to any real reform. Impediments to all real reform. So long as the Statute-book [26] imposed death by burning as the penalty for denying the doctrine of Transubstantiation, and hanging as a common felon for disapproval of Communion in one kind, or of the perpetual obligation of vows of chastity, or of the necessity of auricular confession, we can easily understand that the Revisionists felt themselves clogged and hampered at every step. The memory of the terrible scenes enacted in the torture-room where Ann Askew so heroically endured the rack, or of the fires of Smithfield, in which, in company with others, she suffered martyrdom for her belief, must have hung like a sword of Damocles over their Council Chamber. Indeed, Capon's predecessor in the See of Sarum, Nicholas Shaxton, had been condemned to the stake on the self-same charge, but had purchased his life by recantation; and the recollection of this must have haunted him like a spectre till the Statute was repealed. The first object aimed at was the acceptance of the principle that it was lawful for the laity to communicate in both kinds. Convocation accepted this principle on the 30th of November 1547, during the progress of a bill to authorise it through the House of Lords, and before it was introduced into the Commons. [27] The Act ordained simply that the primitive custom of administering in both kinds should be observed, but no set form of words was prescribed. Parliament was prorogued on December 24th, and did not reassemble till the close of the next year. Convocation was also prorogued; but in the spring "The Order of Communion" was drawn up, and issued by the King, for administering in both kinds, and it was wholly in English. [28] The Proclamation [29] speaks of the advice received from the Protector, and other of the Privy Council, and ordered that the Blessed Sacrament should be ministered unto our people only after such form and manner. [30] After this the Committee was enlarged and proceeded with the revision of the Prayer-book. Conventional pictures of this assembly of divines, which most probably held some of its sessions in the Council-room at Windsor, [31] have placed Archbishop Cranmer in the chair. He is supported on either side by three bishops: while the six members chosen from the Lower House of Convocation occupy a cross-bench facing the Primate. The Members of the Committee of revision who assembled at Windsor Castle. The Bishops were Goodrich of Ely, Holbeach of Lincoln, Skip of Hereford, Day of Chichester, Thirlby of Westminster, Ridley of Rochester. The remaining six members were: Cox, May, Taylor, Haines, Robertson, and Redmayn: the same no doubt who had sat as assessors to Capon and Goodrich in the Committee of 1542 A.D. [32] Which of the bishops was placed on the right, which on the left of the Primate's chair; which again of these places was the post of special honour, we need not stay to dispute, as Rome has so vehemently disputed in reference to another and still more momentous assembly [33] in her eagerness to claim the foremost place for her representative. In all probability Goodrich, as the most eminent Bishop of the old Committee, and the senior Bishop, occupied the two highest seats, while Ridley as junior, and Thirlby as Bishop of the latest constituted see, that of Westminster, occupied the two lowest. Now let me call your attention to the great care which appears to have been taken to make it a truly representative Committee. We shall see how successfully the selection was made, for no interest with any claim to have a voice in the revision was neglected. The representative character of the Committee. Convocation claimed the whole number as members of one or other of its two Houses. The Crown had its advocate in Cranmer, than whom none could be more attached to the king personally or more tenacious of his rights and prerogative. The Universities appeared in the

Heads of their chief Colleges, Cox being Dean of Christ Church, and Redmayn, Master of Trinity. Two of the different "Uses" were represented directly: Lincoln by Holbeach and Taylor; Hereford by Skip: two, York and Bangor, indirectly, as we shall see, while the Archbishop and the other Bishops watched the interests of the Sarum "Use" which was adopted in all their dioceses. It is proposed now to draw the portraits of the chief of these Commissioners in as few lines as is practicable, but in such a manner that the reader may be able to conjecture their part in the work, possibly also to imagine on which side their votes would be given on the debated questions, which they were called upon to decide. The Primate's character and opinions. Of Cranmer many pictures have been given to the world, but probably in the case of no other person have the representations varied so materially from each other. This variation is due not so much to the bias of the painter, as to the fact that his character did change in many of its features at different periods of his history. As he is seen seated in the chair at Windsor, he bears distinctly many of the qualifications which fit him pre-eminently for the post. He had in a marked degree the first requisite for an efficient chairman, viz., a perfect control over his temper. He was by no means a man of great genius, or an original thinker, likely to strike out something fresh, but he possessed a good judgment, which would enable him to discriminate between what was new and what was old; what was purely Roman, and what was Catholic. He had a profound reverence for the Holy Scriptures upon which he based his doctrinal views, not however according to his private judgment, but as the great Fathers of the Catholic Church had interpreted them in primitive times. [34] Again and again, his loyalty to Catholic antiquity manifested itself. His views on the Holy Eucharist were already, it is true, declining from the Catholic standard, but still very different from those which he maintained eventually. He was orthodox in holding the commemorative [35] rather than the propitiatory sacrifice: the representation or pleading of that which was once offered upon the Cross, rather than the repetition of it, which some few so persistently maintained. This was an important point which Cranmer was determined not to yield, and it was probably this determination which induced him to decline the offer of Calvin, who was opposed to any sacrificial view of the Holy Eucharist, to aid in the revision. Unless moreover he had felt very strong in his position he would hardly have acted as he did, for Calvin was at this time in the very zenith of his reputation, and many would have welcomed his assistance as the best guarantee for real reform. Next in point of interest to the Primate is unquestionably Goodrich, Bishop of Ely. Bishop Goodrich. Now there are many circumstances in Goodrich's life which we are concerned in hearing of. When a Fellow of Jesus College, Cambridge, he like his more famous companion on the same Foundation [36] rose into Royal favour by his judgment on the crucial question of the Divorce of Queen Catherine. He had been selected by the University from his legal knowledge to be on the Committee for drawing up an answer to the King's application respecting the legality of the separation. There is no difficulty in discovering which view he took, for he was made a royal chaplain shortly after, and within a few years nominated to one of the most enviable posts, the then-wealthy and dignified Bishopric of Ely. [37] The Author of the first part of the Catechism It is more than probable that the part Church Catechism [38] was his composition, and when in the year 1552 A.D. he built the Long Gallery attached to the Palace, side by side with the armorial bearings of the See and his own initials, he engraved on two tablets that which he desired to be associated with his name before anything else, "our Duty to GOD," and "our Duty to our neighbour." His eagerness for reform led him to inaugurate his episcopate by a series of Injunctions, having for their object the overthrow of Papal influence, and the erasure from the Service-books of the name of the Pope, and the demolition of

shrines which were frequented by idolatrous worshippers. But that he was in no sense a fanatic or disposed to condemn any usage or thing simply because it had been abused, his monument in Ely Cathedral, upon which he is represented with the full pontifical habit, bears evidence. He is further said to have endeared himself to the King by his singular wisdom, and to have won the affections of the people by his integrity and moderation. Bishop Thirlby: his Roman tendencies. Next after Goodrich comes Thirlby, whose appointment on the Commission is the best proof of the impartiality with which the selection was made. Although admitted to the privy councils of Henry VIII. and Edward VI. he never sympathised with their in their desire to shake off their allegiance to the Papal See, but continued throughout a staunch Roman; and at Queen Mary's accession he was singled out as the fittest ambassador she could send to tender to time Pope her assurances of loyal obedience. He was chosen too in the same reign, for a task from which, under other circumstances, he would have shrunk back, the degradation of Cranmer before he was sent to the stake. And if further and yet more decisive proof of his opinions is needed, it may be found in his refusal to accept the reforms of Queen Elizabeth and his consequent consignment to prison in the Tower. One honour he enjoyed which has been shared by no one else. He was the first and last Bishop of Westminster, having exercised the episcopate therein from the creation of the See till its dissolution. [39] As Bishop of Ely, he was a great benefactor, especially to the Foundation of Jesus College, Cambridge, which owes to him much of its ecclesiastical patronage, and also to his cathedral, which received from him the endowment of its eight prebendal stalls. Bishop Day of Chichester, a firm and resolute adherent of mediæval use. Of Day less is known, but enough to make it certain that his hand would be held up and his voice raised against all changes involving any real departure from mediæval usage. He was more courageous in holding his opinions than his brother of Westminster, as we shall see when we come to the close of the sittings. [40] When the King issued letters for the conversion of altars into tables, he refused to enforce the order in his diocese, and when threatened with deprivation, he pleaded vigorously for the rights of conscience; but finding his efforts to be unsuccessful, he expressed his final decision in terms which command our respect: "he accounted it a less evil to suffer the body to perish than to destroy the soul," and "he would rather lose all that he ever had in the world than condemn his conscience." He was committed to the Fleet Prison, [41] and his bishopric sequestrated. Bishops Ridley of London, and Holbeach of Lincoln. The character of Ridley is too well known to need description, while of Holbeach, who assumed that name on becoming a monk of Croyland in place of his patronymic Rands, so little is left on record that it would be difficult to form an accurate estimate of the influence which he exercised upon the proceedings of the Commission. Of the members of the Lower House, the most distinguished on the whole was Cox. He stands out in many ways as the very counterpart of Thirlby, and no one who reads their history can fail to be struck with the fairness of a Commission which admitted men of such opposing views. Cox : his shameless rapacity. When a Fellow at Oxford, Cox became enamoured of Lutheran Theology, and amid all the changes of those ever-varying times, he remained a consistent Protestant to the end. After he came into the notice of Edward VI., honours were thickly heaped upon him, and it fills one with wonder at the small sense of responsibility which such a man must have had, to bear of his being simultaneously Rector of Harrow, Archdeacon of Ely, Canon of Ely, Canon of Windsor, Dean of Christ Church, Oxford, and Dean of Westminster, and Bishop nominate of Southwell ; not to mention the offices of Tutor and Almoner to the king, and the Chancellorship of his University. His biographer writes quite incidentally, that it has been thought by some that "he had more regard to

his private advantage than to the true interests of the Church," and without any notice of these frightful pluralities, proceeds to vindicate him from the imputation touching the alienation of the episcopal estates. History has certainly recorded one instance of his determination to maintain the property of the See of Ely, though unsuccessfully. His resistance to the Queen's unreasonable demands. Sir Christopher Hatton, one of the Queen's favourites, cast an envious eye upon the beautiful Palace and garden in Holborn ; and to gratify his desire she commanded the Bishop to transfer a portion of it to him without delay. Whatever his feelings may have been on other occasions, he had strength enough to resist this iniquitous claim, but only to call forth the ever memorable rejoinder from the imperious Queen, "Proud Prelate, you know well what you were afore I made you what you are. If you do not immediately comply with my request I will unfrock you, by GOD." And the property was alienated, as the name "Hatton Garden" still indicates. Considering their value, we can hardly be surprised that his benefices were speedily seized and that he himself was lodged in the Tower when the Protestant King was no longer able to befriend him. Proofs of his Protestant opinions. Two circumstances may be here mentioned as testifying to his doctrinal opinions. At Oxford he issued a Commission for the discovery of books which encouraged Papal pretensions or Roman doctrine, and in the spirit of a true iconoclast ordered whole Libraries to be destroyed, without any respect to their historical value or antiquarian interest. Again, when his brother Revisionist, Day of Chichester, had stirred up the people of Sussex to resist the removal of their altars, he was selected by the King's Council as the fittest person they could find, counteract his influence by a preaching campaign in support of the Protestant Faith. Dean May: his eagerness for reform. In May, the Dean of St. Paul's, Cox found an entirely kindred spirit, as the following episode in his life will sufficiently indicate. On the publication of an edict by the Privy Council for the destruction of all images in churches, the work of demolition was not only sanctioned, but even encouraged by the appointed guardian of that Cathedral. The Rood, and the attendant figures of St. Mary and St. John, were roughly thrown down, and the wealth of sacred treasure in plate and jewels and vestments which had accumulated out of the offerings of the faithful to an almost incalculable extent was despoiled without even a show of resistance on the part of the Dean ; and there is good reason to believe that it was done, at his own instigation. If this be true we cannot but admire him for his consistency, for much that lie encouraged entailed grievous loss upon, if it did not actually impoverish, both himself and the Chapter which he represented. He was what we may call an advanced Reformer, and a strong, advocate of Liturgical revision. Taylor. Of Taylor's views we are not altogether ignorant; on one important question, which all the Revisionists were called upon to answer in writing, viz., "what is the oblation and sacrifice of Christ in the mass?" it is recorded that he, in company with Cox, took the lowest ground, asserting it to "mean nothing more than prayer, thanksgiving , and the remembrance of our Saviour's Passion." This was a strange reaction from the opinions which he had put forward in the previous reign, when he preached a sermon upon Transubstantiation, which led to the martyrdom of Barnes. It is worthy of notice also that he was selected for promotion by King Edward VI. just at the time when his Majesty was most especially under ultra-Protestant influence. [42] Of Haynes there is little to be said, save that like Hayne the members of the Lower House already described he had a strong leaning towards radical change. The two that remain were men of a very different type. Both Robertson and Redmayn were more Catholic-minded. Robertson and Redmayn. Both too were widely renowned for their great learning, the former having earned a reputation as a grammarian unsurpassed in his generation, and the

latter holding one of the highest positions in the University of Cambridge. The fact that Robertson obtained preferment [43] from Queen Mary, and that Redmayn tried to draw back from the sanction, which he had reluctantly given by his signature to the Reformed Service-book, are adequate proof of the line which they must have taken in the deliberations at Windsor. Such, briefly drawn, are some of the characteristic features of the individual members of that famous Committee to whom the Catholic Church of England owes so much. But we must not fail to mention that even these men, so learned, so well qualified in many ways, and so thoroughly impartial as a body, were not held to be competent by their own unaided counsels to accomplish the work of Revision. The enlargement of the Committee to increase the public confidence. It was considered desirable to enlarge the Committee, so as to make it if possible still more representative, and to give all parts of the country and every one who had any interest at stake a voice in the proceedings. A large body of assessors [44] were added. They were not admitted to the Council Chamber, as their numbers would have made them unwieldy as a working Committee, but a series of questions bearing upon the most crucial matters under dispute were submitted to them, and their replies were duly weighed, and doubtless had no little influence upon the deliberations. Among these, whose opinions were thus invited, were the Archbishop of York and the Bishop of Sarum, representing directly the "Uses" of their Sees, while the Bishop of St. Asaph was appointed for the guardianship of the Bangor worship. The first and most important change was in the language. In the Preface to the First Prayer-book we read, The changes introduced Adoption of the English language. "The service in the Church of England (these many years) hath been read in Latin to the people, which they understood not; so that they have heard with their ears only: and their hearts, spirit, and mind have not been edified thereby." So long as Rome was the centre of European society, and Latin was generally spoken, there was no inconsistency in maintaining it as the vehicle of Western worship, but long after Rome had lost this pre-eminence, and her language had ceased to be intelligible to the common mind, "the once living outpourings of devotion" were suffered to continue only "fossilised into cold and lifeless forms." The arguments in defence of the continuance Roman arguments for a dead language. which the mediæval Church set up were very plausible. It was urged that "the majesty of religion would suffer and grow cheap if the most solemn and mysterious parts of the service should be understood by the audience;" or that there were obvious advantages for the protection of the Faith in embalming her Forms in a language which is beyond the reach of change; or once more, that it served as an abiding witness to the unity of the Church throughout Catholic Christendom, that every branch of it should offer up their prayers and praises in one and the same tongue. These reasons were plausible enough, but the majority of the Revisionists saw that there were reasons for change which far outweighed them. The edification of the worshipper ought always to be a matter of primary importance. Not scriptural nor primitive. St. Paul [45] had clearly so regarded it, when he declared that he would "rather speak five words in the Church" in such a manner as to teach others, "than ten thousand words in an unknown tongue." And the principle was upheld by the Primitive Church, which clothed its Liturgies in Greek, or Latin, or Syriac, or Coptic, according to the language of the people who used them. It was enforced, moreover, by the sayings of the Fathers; [46] and the Law, both civil and canonical, contained the plainest injunctions for its maintenance. The Code of Justinian [47] provided "that all priests should celebrate the sacred oblation" in such a manner that "thereby the minds of the hearers might be raised up with greater devotion to set forth the praises of GOD, according to the Apostle's teaching;" and that this was interpreted as enjoining a language "understood of the

people" is shown by the attempts of those who violated the practice to erase the enactment from the Statute-book. Again the Canon Law [48] by the authority of Pope Innocent and the Lateran Council, 1215 A.D., enforced "the celebration of Divine Service according to the diversity of ceremonies and languages." When then the Windsor Assembly were called upon to deal with this question, they knew that they should be fully supported if they abandoned the Latin tongue. What strengthened the public yearning for the vernacular in worship. We stated before some of the causes which created a yearning on the part of the people for a more intelligent worship; and it was quite obvious that the use of the English Litany, [49] put forth a row years before, and the reading of portions of the Communion office in their own language, had greatly intensified their desire, and the Revisionists felt that they could best satisfy the wants of the nation by giving then a complete English Prayer-book. And while commending them for giving us a Service-book in our own language, we are constrained to go further, and express an additional obligation to them for having clothed it in English, the beauty of which has rarely been equalled, and never surpassed, even in the best age of literary excellence. [50] To whatever part of it we turn, whether hymns, or prayers, or exhortations, the style is such that it cannot be improved. "The essential qualities of devotion and eloquence," as Macaulay says, [51] "conciseness, majestic simplicity, pathetic earnestness of supplication, sobered by a profound reverence, are common between the translations and the originals. But in the subordinate graces of diction the originals must be allowed to be far inferior to the translations. . . . The diction of our Book of Common Prayer has directly or indirectly contributed to form the diction of almost every great English writer, and has extorted the admiration of the most accomplished infidels, and of the most accomplished Nonconformists, of such men as David Hume and Robert Hall." As an illustration of this high praise, we have only to mention the very noblest of our Liturgical hymns, the Te Deum. In point of accuracy and exactness of rendering there [52] is in parts no doubt something to be desired, but in rhythm, in vigour of arrangement, and in its solemn grandeur, it is incomparably superior to the original Latin. From the Collects. And if we turn to the Collects, the same expression of unfeigned praise is equally due. Take one or two specimens -- first, of a simple translation; and that we may not appear to be making a careful selection to support our opinion, we will quote the most familiar perhaps of all. "Prevent us, O Lord, in all our doings with Thy most gracious favour, and further us with Thy continual help; that in all our works begun, continued, and ended in Thee, we may glorify Thy holy Name: and finally, by Thy mercy obtain everlasting life," etc. Now this, as it happens, is one of the most beautiful of the ancient Latin, Collects: -- *Actiones nostras, quæsumus, Domine, et aspirando praeveni et adjuvando proseguere; ut cuncta nostra operatio et a te incipiat et per te coepta finiatur, per Jesum, etc.* But beautiful as it is, we are sure that no competent critic would venture to say that it has lost one particle of its peculiar grace by being clothed in an English dress. Then take a sample of the original compositions. These were chiefly introduced to supersede the corrupt forms in use for the Festivals of Saints and Martyrs. [53] Again, avoiding selection, let us quote the Collect for All Saints' Day, which is oftenest on our lips. "O Almighty GOD, who hast knit together Thine elect in one communion and fellowship in the mystical body of Thy Son Christ our LORD: grant us grace so to follow Thy blessed saints in all virtuous and godly living that we may come to those unspeakable joys, which Thou hast prepared for them that unfeignedly love Thee, through," etc. But it is invidious to single out any special portion for commendation; "the whole book," it has been well said, "is a very casket of treasures." A larger use of Scripture in public worship. The second alteration in order of utility was the increased value set

upon the public reading of Holy Scripture. During mediæval times the consecutive reading of this had been greatly interrupted by "the planting in uncertain stories and legends with a multitude of Responds." [54] Those last came to be regarded of such consequence that they were made long and elaborate, while the passages from Scripture were proportionably curtailed: in short, the Lessons and the Responds exchanged places. The result of this was that the primary conception of the latter, which was to be simply illustrative, was entirely obscured, and the Respond became an independent anthem, confusing instead of unfolding the meaning of what was read. Furthermore, the Legendary stories and acts of the Saints, especially at their commemorations, which were exceedingly numerous, were generally chosen as the Lessons for the day in preference to the Life of our LORD, and the sayings of His immediate followers. The merit of initiating a reform in this is claimed by a Cardinal of the Roman Church, [55] who reinstated the Word of GOD in its rightful place, and showed how much store he set by the change, by inscribing on the title of his Revised Breviary the motto, "Search the Scriptures." This Breviary was put into the hands of the Revisionists as likely to prove a valuable aid in their work, and there is every reason to believe that not only in this but upon other important points it carried considerable weight. In largely expanding the passages of Scripture, and in drawing both from the Old and New Testaments, the Revisionists illustrated their determination to recover primitive usage wherever it seemed expedient. In the description of the early services found in the Apostolical Constitutions [56] it would seem that as many as four Lessons of considerable length were read, two from either Testament; and in the middle of the second century Justin Martyr [57] says, "that the memoirs of the Apostles or the writings of the Prophets are read as long as time permits." This latter, however, is only noted of Sunday. To adapt the principle to the week-days was a most judicious step, and finds ample justification in its propriety. The Calendar and its perplexities. The third change was in the Calendar or Pie. The directions for the variable parts of the services in the old uses were complicated in the extreme. Perhaps the best idea of the minuteness of detail may be gathered from the fact that there is extant in the library of York Minster a volume, the entire contents of which are regulations of the Pie! [58] Indeed so involved were the rules to be observed that the title by which the body of directions was designated has become a very symbol of perplexity and confusion. Nothing could have been happier than the language in which Cranmer expressed the feelings of the Revisionists on the subject. "The number," he says, "and hardness of the rules called the Pie, and the manifold changings of the service, was the cause, that to turn the book only was so hard and intricate a matter that many times there was more business to find out what should be read than to read it when it was found out." All these difficulties were cleared away and a simple Calendar was substituted containing the order of Lessons, and preceded by a Table of Psalms, arranged for Matins and Evensong for a month. The consolidation of services. A fourth change rendered necessary by the abolition of the Religious Houses was the union of the three Service-books, Breviary, Manual, and Missal, in one volume, and the curtailment of the number of separate services. The claims of the people to the privilege of worship recognised. The Revisionists determined to recover, for the mass of the people, a participation in public worship, which they had well-nigh lost through the establishment of the Monastic or Canonical "Hours." The multiplication of services had led them to regard worship as an impossibility for men engaged in the ordinary occupations of secular life; and instead of selecting opportunities from the greater number, they came to look upon it as a luxury for the occupants of Religious houses, and left it almost entirely to them. Recognising the fact that

these were properly the exceptions only, and that what might have been appropriate enough for the few was ill-adapted to the majority, the Committee resolved at once upon a reduction of the services. They went back to the early ages for guidance as to their number, but they must have been perplexed by the evidence. Some writers [59] spoke of three, others of two only. The Revisionists very wisely decided to adopt the latter, and thus restore the principle which had existed all through the history of the elder dispensation, and offer the voice of praise and thanksgiving like the Incense of the Altar and the daily Sacrifice in the morning and at even. The Seven "Hours," for all of which there were special offices in the Breviary, were condensed into Matins and Evensong -- the ancient Nocturns, Lauds and Prime becoming amalgamated in the former, Vespers and Compline in the latter. The remaining three, Tierce, Sext, and None, or the Lesser Hours, were set aside altogether, because they had long fallen into disuse except in the monasteries; and as these were now dissolved, it would have served no purpose to have retained what specially belonged to them. To suit the fresh adaptation, the Psalms, which had hitherto been divided into seven portions [60] for a weekly course, were so arranged as to be read through once in a month. The ancient lines followed in the changed services. Now it is worth while observing how, in carrying out this consolidation of services, they carefully adhered to the ancient lines, and preserved in all their integrity the distinctive features of public worship. The ideal Form of service has three component parts, though by no means in equal proportions. These are praise, instruction, and prayer. The primary conception gave by far the highest place to the first of these; indeed the other two are entirely subordinate. There is a beautiful legend told of St. Theresa which illustrates this view in a very striking manner. As she lay asleep, the vision of a strange and awful woman passed before her. The primary object of worship. In one hand she carried a pitcher of water, in the other a pan of flaming fire. And when the Saint asked in fear and trembling whither she was going with her mysterious burden, she replied, "I go to burn up heaven and to quench hell, that henceforth men may learn to worship GOD, not for any hope of future reward in the one, nor for fear of threatened torment in the other, but for what He is -- for Himself alone." Praise, then, the ascription of honour to GOD, simply and solely because it is due unto His Name, is the dominant element of public worship, and that which blends our offering with the songs of angelic hosts. But subordinate to this there have always [61] been other considerations present to the mind of the worshipper, and in a confessedly imperfect state it could hardly have been otherwise. Meditation upon GOD'S Word, and the record of His works in Creation and Providence, exalts our conception of His greatness, and creates a desire to know more of His Will; and thus the consciousness of our own weakness is borne in upon us, and we pray to the Author of all power and might to help our infirmities and supply our needs. Thus it is that psalms or hymns, lections, prayers or intercessions, have been linked together by a threefold cord in common worship. From a comparison of the following Tables it will be seen at a glance that the first Revised Service-book preserved the characteristic features of the ancient offices, and while the sequence of each part was generally retained, due prominence, as of old, was given to the element of praise. [62] The Revisionists seem to have had the triple division in their mind when they placed in the forefront of their service the LORD'S Prayer and the "Venite." Both alike strike the key-note of all that is to follow. The first three clauses of the Paternoster [63] correspond to the Psalms and Songs of praise; the petition "Give us this day our daily bread" has a special application to the reception of knowledge through the reading of Scripture; and the rest represent all prayer and intercession. So with the "Venite." [64] No fitter prelude to worship could be found, since it embraced a triple call, in

verses 1-5, to sing GOD'S praises; in 6 and 7, to fall down before Him in adoration and prayer; in 8-11, to hear His Word.CAPTION: TABLE OF SERVICIES.

MORNING.CANONICAL HOURS IN THE SARUM BREVIARY. FIRST PRAYER-BOOK OF EDWARD VI.

MATINS. LAUDS. PRIME. MATINS.

In the Name . . . In the Name . . .

Our Father . . . Our Father . . . Our Father . . . Ave Maria . . .

O LORD, open . . . O LORD, open . . .

O GOD, make speed . . . O GOD, make . . . O GOD, make . . . O GOD, make . . .

Glory be the the Father . . . Glory be, etc. . . . Glory be, etc. . . . Glory be, etc. . . .

Alleluia Alleluia Alleluia Praise ye the LORD.

Invita tory. Hymn. Alleluia (from Easter to Trinity). Venite.

12 Psalms and Antiphons.5 Psalms and Antiphons.3 Psalms and Antiphons. Venite.

18 Psalms (Sundays). 9 Psalms (Sundays). Psalms in order, with Doxology.

Benedictions. Jubilate (Sundays). Athanasian Creed.1st Lesson, O. T. Lections with Responds. Canticle form the O.T. Te Deum or (in Lent) Benedicite.

Te Deum (Sundays). Benedicite (Sund.). 2d Lesson, N. T. Capitulum. Capitulum. Beneictus.

Hymn. Lesser Litany. Lesser Litany.

Creed.

Benedictus. Our Father . . . Our Father.

Suffrages. Suffrages. Suffrages.

Collect for the Day. Confession. Collect for the Day. Absolution. " for Peace.

" for Peace. Collect for Grace. " for Grace. Intercessions.

Thanksgiving.CAPTION: TABLE OF SERVICIES.

EVENING.CANONICAL HOURS IN THE SARUM BREVIARY. FIRST PRAYER-BOOK OF EDWARD VI.

VESPERS. COMPLINE. EVENSONG. In the Name . . . In the Name . . .

Our Father . . . Our Father . . . Our Father . . . Ave Maria Ave Maria

O GOD, make . . . O GOD, make . . . O GOD, make . . . Glory be . . . Glory be . . . Glory be . . .
Alluelia. Alluelia. Praise ye the Lord.5 Psalms Capitulum. 4 Psalms. Alleluia. Hymn. Hymn. 1st Lesson, O. T. Magnificat. Nunc Dimittis. Magnificat. Collect for the Day. Our Father . . . Nunc Dimittis. Memoria of the B. V. Creed. Collect for the Day Confession. " for Peace.

Absolution. " for Aid.

Suffrages.

Collect for Peace.

Intercessions.

Thanksgiving. The absence of Confession and Absolution One element alone of importance is wanting in the Revised Order, viz., Confession and Absolution. It is probable that they were omitted as being of late introduction into public services. There is doubt some testimony to the former in St. Basil, [65] who narrates how the congregation immediately on entering the house of prayer "confess to GOD," but the Council of Laodicea points to this confession as being made in silence. And in the Western Church there is an entire absence of allusion to the custom for many centuries. It finds no place in the "Benedictine Rule." [66] And what applies to Confession is of course equally applicable to Absolution. They stand or fall together. We shall see hereafter under what circumstances the judgment of Cranmer's Committee was revised. These were the changes upon which the Revisionists laid most stress, as we may gather from the Preface with which they introduced their reformed Service-book. In our present Prayer-book it is placed second, following that which was prefixed at the final revision. Many observances and ceremonies which they retained, wisely or unwisely, will be brought under our notice in future lectures. The general principles which guided the Revisionists. It may, however, be well at this stage to state their own account of the principle which guided them in their decisions: such ceremonies as were visibly superstitious and tended to darken the Gospel and prove cumbersome to religion they rejected, [67] while those were retained which guarded the worship of God from nakedness and contempt. But while we pass these by we feel that no review of a Prayer-book could be regarded as satisfactory, which failed to notice the relationship which it bore to the much disputed doctrines of the sacrificial aspect of, and the nature of the Presence of Christ in, the Holy Eucharist. Now it is quite obvious that the Revisionists provided more largely for the actual participation of the laity, and gave fuller recognition to the Communion aspect of the celebration, which had been obscured in mediæva1 times especially by the frequency of solitary masses in which the priest alone communicated. Their jealousy preservation of ancient features. But while doing this they were extremely careful to avoid bringing the sacrificial view into discredit: in proof of which I would appeal to the general adoption of the term "altar," and to the great prominence assigned to the Prayer of Oblation, in which it was said that "we do celebrate and make before Thy Divine Majesty, with these Thy holy gifts, the memorial which Thy Son hath willed us to make." But they were determined at the same time to re-establish completely the principle of general communion, by the long obscuration of which the ordinance had been deprived of so much of its power and efficacy. Then, touching the doctrine of the Real Presence, there can be no doubt that the Revisionists retained "the ancient belief from which no Apostolic branch of the Church had ever swerved," viz., that the consecrated elements were in some way the Body and Blood of Christ. [68] The words of administration used by them in either kind were the first part only of the formulas now in use, "The Body of our LORD, Jesus Christ. which was given for thee," -- and the "Blood of our LORD Jesus Christ which was shed for thee, preserve thy body and soul unto everlasting life," and they necessitate this view. It is strengthened moreover by the manifested anxiety of the ultra-Protestant divines to get rid of them, which clearly indicates how they were interpreted. Their

wisdom in avoiding definitions of doubtful matters. But while they "affirmed in unequivocal language, and as the basis of all Eucharistic truth, what the consecrated elements were," with a wisdom which cannot be over-estimated, they made no show even of explaining the manner of Christ's Presence, but left it, as it ever should be left, a mystery impenetrable to finite intelligence. Prevalent errors concerning the character of the Revision The character of the work effected by the first revision of the Old Service-books has not unfrequently been misrepresented. There is an idea too widely prevalent, that a complete revolution in Church-worship was carried out at this time, whereas nothing could have been further from the thoughts and intentions of those who undertook the revision, as any one may see who will investigate the principles by which, as we have desired to show, they were really actuated. Their aim was restoration, and in the process of attaining to it, they exercised the most careful discrimination between the old and the new, and, while cutting away without hesitation the later overgrowths, preserved with scrupulous care the ancient landmarks. And the impartial critic will not hesitate to acknowledge that the conservative and reverent spirit which animated them is abundantly evidenced in the result of their efforts. The completion of their work. But we pass on to the close. The arduous labours of the Committee came to an end, and the report of their deliberations was drawn up and laid upon the table to be attested by the sign-manual of the individual members: and it is not a little remarkable that notwithstanding their diversity of opinions, and the warm discussions which many of the questions had provoked, the result which they had attained was held to be so satisfactory, that there was but one dissentient: Day of Chichester alone [69] protesting that his conscience compelled him to withhold his assent to the document. The next step, of course, was to give it legal force. Convocation met in November, but though we have no records of what actually took place, we have the authority of the King for stating that it was agreed to by "the whole clergy . . . of this our realm in their synods and provincial convocations." [70] Then after being presented to the Crown it was laid before the nobility and commons assembled in parliament, and on January 15, 1549 A.D., an Act of Uniformity was passed enjoining the use of the Revised Prayer-book after Whitsuntide, in every parish of the King's dominions "throughout England, Wales, Calais, and the marches of the same." [71] The postponement of the operation of the Act appears to have been unnecessarily long, but this particular time was selected by the Revisers for the purpose of specially dedicating their work to GOD on the Feast of the Holy Ghost, by Whose controlling influence they believed their counsels to have been guided throughout, and brought to a successful issue at last. [72] And now that all the legal formalities had been gone through, let us see how the Book was received. Some of the London Churches set the example of compliance with the law, and superseded the old. Service-books even before the term of respite had expired. [73] Throughout the country, not a few of the clergy, who were averse to any alteration, accepted it because the changes were less violent than they had been led to anticipate; many of the laity also welcomed it gladly, not so much for any modification in doctrine, as from the fact that being written in English, it made their worship more interesting, and converted what in too many cases had been merely a dumb show into a living intelligent transaction. But there were many exceptions. Some of the priests expressed an obstinate determination to resist the operation of the Act, and were contented to suffer for conscience sake. Others openly conformed to the obligation, but secretly continued to celebrate as of old, and, as this created considerable trouble and confusion, the Lords of the Council took violent measures to remedy the evil. This, however, was trifling, compared to other difficulties which arose among the laity, and plunged certain disaffected parts of the country into the miseries

of civil war. Outbreaks of fanatical opposition. In these counties the proclamation of the Act was followed by insurrection. The first outbreak was in Devonshire and Cornwall. In the latter of these, one thing, which had especially recommended the Revised Book elsewhere, had little if any force at all. The change from Latin to English was no gain to the Cornishmen, to whom one was as unintelligible as the other. The primary cause of the rebellion is to be found not in any spontaneous outburst of religious feeling, or general aversion to the Reformed service on the part of the people themselves, but to the fanaticism of a few individuals who urged them on. Body, [74] one of the Royal Commissioners appointed to destroy idolatrous shrines, was stabbed to the heart by a misguided priest, who, to justify murder, called upon the people to imitate his zeal, and save their Churches from desecration. Other priests went about the country preaching what the Mahometans call "a Jihad," and invested the movement with all the character of a religious war; and when open hostilities broke out, they carried the Host on to the field of battle. Misguided views of the insurgents. A secondary cause was an infatuated conviction that in some way the Revisionists were associated with the abolition of the Common Lands. Many of the nobility to whom Abbey estates had been granted attempted to turn them to the best account, and made no scruple of enclosing commons, without any respect to the rights of the poor to pasturage. At Sampford Courtenay in Devonshire, the priest in charge professed his intention of acceding to the change of Liturgy on the appointed day, but had secretly instigated the people to stop him by force, and claim the Latin Mass. From this village the flames of discontent spread [75] rapidly, and within a few weeks no less than ten thousand men, mostly mechanics and deluded peasants, took the field in defence of the old Forms. They marched to Exeter, and from the outskirts of the city sent their demands into the King's camp, couched in insolent language, insisting on the restitution of their Service-books, a recognition of Transubstantiation, and, strangely enough, the re-enactment of the Bloody Statute of the Six Articles. The Exonians determined on resistance, and the straits to which they were subjected, through a prolonged siege, have rarely been equalled in the annals of history. We may form some conception of the miseries they endured, when we read that one of the citizens proclaimed in the market-place, that sooner than surrender he would fight with one arm and feed upon the other! At last, when the Royal troops were sufficiently strong to advance against the rebels (and it was not till three merchant princes had come forward to reinforce the leader with large supplies of money, and a regiment of Italian archers [76] had been enlisted in the service), their fate was sealed. They suffered three successive defeats, and the rebellion was crushed. The revenge was severe. Arundel, Winslade, Berry, and Coffin, the ringleaders, were publicly executed at Tyburn: a multitude of others were unceremoniously hanged, among them the Mayor of Bodmin, and a number of priests; and in Exeter, Welsh, the Vicar of St. Thomas', was suspended from his own Church tower, where he hung in chains till "his Popish apparel" rotted away, and the carrion crows picked his bones. That was the most serious of the Rebellions. The rising in the Eastern Counties. We notice more briefly the rising in Norfolk, June 20, at Attleborough, for this, at least at the outset, was less than the other a protest against the Prayer-book. At first the enclosure of the commons was their cry of complaint, but as their numbers swelled new grievances were sought for, and we hear them expressing themselves in such terms as these: "The miseries of this world might be borne; but when the loss of our souls is the question, the ruin from that quarter must be prevented at the utmost hazard . . . the holy ceremonies of antiquity are abolished, and a new face and form of religion forced upon us." Again the Royal troops were unequal to the task of restoring order. Kett, [77] the rebel chief, established a mock court under the "Oak of

Reformation," [78] and spread terror through the surrounding country. And here occurred an incident which nearly cost the great Reformer of Queen Elizabeth's reign his life. Parker, in despair at the failure of the sword, resolved to try the effect of peaceable measures. He made his way into the rebel camp, and, from a branch of the famous oak, endeavoured to recall the people to counsels of moderation. But they were in no mood to listen, and were about to tear him to pieces for his advice, when the Chaplain of the Rebel Forces, realising the imminence of the peril, called upon the people suddenly to sing the "Te Deum," and in the excitement and enthusiasm which it kindled the future Primate made good his escape. [79]At length, vigorous measures were taken by the government, and the mutiny was quelled. [80] Kett, on Norwich Castle, his brother on the steeple of Wymondham Church, and nine other rebels on as many branches of the "consecrated Oak," paid the penalty of their crime. The unimpeded spread of the Revised Worship. And with their deaths resistance to the Reformed Liturgy ceased; and it was introduced throughout the length and breadth of the land to the increased edification of the people and the greater glory of Almighty GOD.

CHAPTER II THE PURITAN INNOVATIONS

The causes which led to the further change. The Revised Prayer-book, after the opposition in Devonshire and Norfolk had subsided, received very general recognition. Of course there were some who, while grateful for the reforms which had been effected, could ill suppress their conviction that the hands of the Reformers had been stayed too soon. These, however, in England at least, were not a numerous body; and if no influence from without had been brought to bear upon them, they would probably have quietly acquiesced without taking any action in the matter. But there were many restless spirits on the Continent who watched the progress of reform in this country with the keenest interest, and whose hopes seemed to hang upon the English Church. All, they felt, would be safe if only they could indoctrinate England with a truly Protestant spirit, a genuine aversion to anything and everything which received the approval of Rome. The foreign Reformers. Foremost amongst these were Calvin, Melancthon, John à Lasco, Bucer, and Peter Martyr. Unfortunately they found in Cranmer, the Primate of the English Church, a too ready listener to their Proposals. All of them entered into correspondence with him upon ecclesiastical affairs; some of them came over in person, and were welcomed as guests in his Palace, and received much sympathy and encouragement at his hands. Calvin, piqued by the manner in which the Archbishop had met his proposal [81] to take part in the first Revision, hesitated to risk a second rebuff by direct negotiations, but endeavoured first to ingratiate himself with the Protector, hoping through him ultimately to attain his object. He was considerate enough to express his general approval of set forms of prayer, but, with time self-sufficiency which asserts itself in all his letters, enters upon an elaborate criticism and censure of many of those which had so lately received the sanction of the English Convocation, the King, and the Parliament. After this he wrote to Edward VI. pleading for more extensive revision. Cranmer had no personal liking for Calvin, and if he had been the only discontented complainant, probably little notice would have been taken of his grievance, but a far more dangerous Reformer entered into the field of controversy in the person of Melancthon. The connection between him and the Primate begun under most favourable circumstances. It would hardly be possible to find any other two men with such strong natural affinities to each other. The scheme for a general concordat. Now at this time Melancthon was possessed by an intense desire to draw up a Concordat which should commend itself to the Protestant world at large, and act as a powerful engine against the Papacy. So long as this was attacked by the Churches singly he felt that it would always prove formidable, perhaps quite invincible, but if it could once be attacked by a combination of forces its downfall was secured. The possibility of the scheme had been suggested to him by the cordial reception of the Confession [82] which he had compiled throughout the Lutheran communities. It is characteristic, however, of Melancthon's modesty, and strangely in contrast with the self-confidence of his brother Reformer, that he shrank back from all claim to take part even in drawing up the terms of agreement, and more than hinted at the imperfections of the document he had framed. Melancthon refuses to come to England. The idea commended itself to Cranmer's judgment, and he lost no time in inviting its originator to settle in this country, but the invitation was not accepted. Again and again the Archbishop renewed his efforts, holding out every possible inducement, but

Melanchthon persistently refused to leave his native land. The urgency of the invitations we may gather from the astonishment expressed in one of his letters, [83] in which he writes that the English pressed hitting so hard that they took away his breath.'To any one who had interested himself in the work of Reform the union of all the Reformed Churches in such a coalition must in itself have appeared a grand conception; but past experience of such combinations ought to have suggested difficulty and danger. If the alliance was to be more than nominal it would entail many sacrifices before satisfactory terms could be mutually agreed upon. Of these it was inevitable that by far the larger share would be called for from England. Unhappily Cranmer had already begun to drift away from the principles which he so boldly advocated at the Windsor Revision [84] ; and the utter inconsistency of making the required concessions failed to deter him. There was certainly one most desirable object to be obtained by the project, and possibly this weighed largely with him. It would give back to the Lutheran and Calvinistic Churches the Episcopal government which they had lost. [85] But he never calculated how much was to be set over-against this one advantage in the surrender of other Catholic privileges. The Swiss Protestants, [86] for instance, were impatient of everything, either in doctrine or ritual, for which express direction was wanting in Holy Scripture. To conciliate them the authority of antiquity, the witness of tradition, the decrees of general Councils -- all must be disregarded -- and, in a word, the guiding principles of the First Revision completely reversed.

Influences brought to bear upon Cranmer. Cranmer, unless he wilfully closed his eyes, must have seen all this at the outset; but he was egged on by the Privy Council and the King himself. The fiery Scotch Reformer, John Knox, already enrolled among the Royal Chaplains, [87] was actually proposed for a vacant Bishopric, the King expressing a hope that if raised to the Episcopal Bench he might prove "a whetstone to quicken and sharpen the Bishop of Canterbury, whereof he had need." But though the Utopian scheme of Melanchthon was soon relinquished as hopeless, the impulse in the direction of Protestantism which Cranmer had received lost little of its force. Indeed it gathered fresh energy from a new and unexpected cause. This produced ultimately such grave and unhappy results that we cannot pass on till we have traced its origin with care and attention.

The second Diet of Spires. On March 15, 1529 A.D., a diet of the German Empire was ordered by Charles V. to take into consideration the state or religion in his dominions. A resolution was passed ratifying a previous condemnation of Luther, and pledging the members of the Conference to use their endeavours to stem the tide of innovation which was threatening to inundate the land. The Elector of Saxony, the Landgrave of Hesse, the Prince of Anhalt, the Dukes of Lünenburg, together with the Commissioners from fourteen Imperial cities, [88] made a manly defence for the rights of conscience, and solemnly protested against what they held to be an unjust decree, gaining from this circumstance the distinction of being the progenitors of all who have since borne the title of Protestant.

The league of Smalcald. The league of Smalcald, [89] which followed not long after, bound the Protestant States together for, mutual defence against all aggression upon their religious rights. The Emperor determined to leave no stone unturned to defeat their object, but it was not till some time had elapsed that he was able to take any decisive step. In 1548 A.D., by the aid of several divines, he drew up a system of Theology [90] for general adoption, but although it was written with most carefully studied dissimulation, and every artifice which language could provide was employed to conceal its real effect, it was soon discovered to be conformable in all but a few unimportant articles to the old Roman Religion. The document purported to be merely intended as a provisional arrangement, of force only till a general Council could be summoned, and it was designated accordingly The Interim.

The tyranny

of the Emperor. The Emperor was determined to bind the States to his will, and to coerce all who refused compliance. One prince after another suffered imprisonment, taking courage from the noble example of the Elector of Saxony, whom threats and promises alike failed to shake. "I cannot flow," he said, "in my old age abandon the principles for which I formerly contended; nor, in order to procure freedom during a few declining years, will I betray that good cause on account of which I have suffered so much, and am still willing to suffer. Better for me to enjoy in this solitude the esteem of virtuous men, together with the approbation of my own conscience, than to return unto the world, with the imputation and guilt of apostasy, and to disgrace and embitter the remainder of my days." The severity of his confinement was increased, and everything done to compel submission; but throughout Germany and in the Netherlands, there was an obstinate determination not to be drawn back again into the toils from which they had extricated themselves, when Luther threw the Papal Bull into the flames at Wittenberg. The oppressed Foreigners take refuge in England. But in the midst of all this persecution it was not surprising that they looked abroad for a free country where they might hold and proclaim their opinions without molestation; and it was no less surprising that they turned instinctively to England to find what they desired. These were the circumstances which brought the bulk of the Foreign Protestants into this country. We have dwelt upon the history at length, at the risk of being tedious, because it was of such momentous consequence to the Church that it can hardly be too carefully considered. The leading Foreigners who took refuge with us were John à Lasco, Peter Martyr, and Martin Bucer. As they affected for a time the whole character of Liturgical worship in England, we shall adopt the plan which we followed with the First Revisionists, and endeavour to draw out the leading features of their lives and work for the better understanding of the influence which they exercised. John à Lasco. In May 1550 A.D., John à Lasco came to settle in London. Though by birth a Pole, of noble blood, he had been living in the capital of Friesland [91] for some years, and taking a prominent part in all the Ecclesiastical controversies, which agitated the Netherlands, as well as the rest of the Continent. The Protestant cause made great progress in the province, and the Emperor, perhaps confounding its advocates to some extent with the turbulent Anabaptists, took the extreme measure of invoking the aid of the Spanish Inquisition to suppress them. [92] The fear of this, combined with the publication of "the Interim," [93] drove à Lasco to find a refuge in England. His great influence. The wide-spread influence which he exercised over the Foreign Churches, which had formed or were forming congregations in London, is very remarkable, and stamps him as a man of no inconsiderable power. He succeeded without difficulty in gaining the ear of the Lords of the Council, Cecil and Choke, and through them of the Protector Somerset. He held out to him the advantages to trade, especially in the branch of weaving, which was their speciality, likely to accrue to our country if his followers were permitted to dwell unmolested. The king's concessions to him. And he gained even more than he asked. The King was so fascinated by his conversation, and touched by his story, that he actually granted part of the dissolved monastery of the Augustinian Friars as a chapel for his congregation, together with the unprecedented privilege of absolute security from interference, civil and ecclesiastical, in their forms of worship and discipline. This remarkable concession, [94] fraught with so much future trouble to the Church, was signed and sealed on July 24, 1550 A.D. It offered all that the restless spirits of the time could desire in the free exercise of religious worship, after other forms than those established by the law of the land. The home of à Lasco became a rendezvous for persecuted foreigners of whatever denomination or doctrinal opinion, and he reigned like a second Pontiff over a multitude of

communities, Dutch, German, Italian, Florentine, Belgian and French. His doctrinal views. In doctrine, on the crucial question of the day, the nature of the Sacraments, he advocated strongly Zuinglian principles, and condemned as idolatrous the practice of kneeling to receive the consecrated elements. His aversion to Rites and Ceremonies manifested itself in his eagerness to shake off the fetters of English usage; while in the Vestiarian controversy, which was creating such trouble and bitterness at this time, Hooper expressed his satisfaction that though many men of influence and position from whom he expected support held entirely aloof, John à Lasco stood by his side. His piety was most marked, and his learning so profound, that Erasmus pronounced him to be "a man of such parts that he wished for no greater happiness than his single friendship," [95] and as an unmistakable mark of his esteem, he bequeathed to him in his will the then-priceless treasure of his Library. Now while à Lasco was exercising his great influence in favour of Calvinistic doctrine and unrestrained liberty of private judgment in the metropolis, the Universities of Oxford and Cambridge were being brought under the training of foreign minds in many respects of no very different type. Peter Martyr. Peter Martyr was teaching at Oxford; Martin Bucer at Cambridge. Martyr, a man of high birth, spent his early years in a Florentine monastery, but was at last compelled, like so many of his contemporaries, to become an exile for conscience sake. Like à Lasco he found a sanctuary in England. [96] Shortly after his arrival, mainly through the Primate's influence he superseded Dr. Richard Smith in the Chair of Divinity at Oxford. He became at once unpopular with the University authorities; his first offence was taking his wife to live with him in his Canonical lodgings at Christ Church, she being the first woman who had ventured to invade by her presence "the sanctity of College life." Dying shortly after, she was buried by the shrine of St. Frideswide in Christ Church Cathedral, but on the accession of Queen Mary the Celibates had their revenge, for her body was thrown out in scorn and buried in a dunghill without the precincts of the College. [97] In the earliest lectures that he delivered, he took such a low view of the Sacraments as to assert that they were mere "figures of absent things," and the general tone of his Theology roused a spirit of strong opposition, so strong that on one occasion when the Schools were thronged by town as well as gown, he owed his preservation from personal violence to the timely interposition of the Vice-Chancellor and his attendants. [98] Again, on the Vestiarian controversy he took up a position directly opposed to all the traditional usage of the Catholic Church, and was heard to boast that although a Canon of the Cathedral "he had never worn a surplice at Oxford, even when present in the Choir," and his favourite designation for the Eucharistic vestments was "relics of the Amorites." [99] And these are things which we must not forget when we come to consider the changes which the Second Edwardian Prayer-book effected both in doctrine and ceremonial. Martin Bucer. We pass to the third of the distinguished Foreigners. His original name was Kuhhorn, but according to a pedantic fashion of the day [100] he changed it to Bucer, bous keras, or in English "Cowhorn." Much of his early life he spent at Heidelberg as a Dominican Friar, [101] but was at length tempted to abandon the cloister, and entered the married state: and in doing so he not only violated his own sacred promise, but induced another to do the same, for he selected a nun for his partner; and when in the plague which devastated the country in 1541 A.D., she and five of her thirteen sons were carried off, the enemies who professed the old Faith boasted that judgment had overtaken her at last for her broken vows. On coming to England at the same time as Martyr, Bucer was placed in the corresponding Chair of Divinity in the sister University, where he gave a fresh direction to the studies of the place. While his brother Professor at Oxford had been trained in the School of Calvin, he had sat at the feet of Luther. [102] His

doctrinal views. He did not, it is true, accept his master's teaching on the subject of subjects; he nevertheless held Sacramental views many degrees removed from the bareness of Calvin's pupil. The vicious principle that the abuse of a thing is in itself a sufficient argument for its disuse had too much hold upon him, and it led him to oppose with fatal effect the Catholic practice of commending in prayer the faithful dead to the mercy of GOD. He did not hesitate to profess his cordial acceptance of the Revised Prayer-book, but inasmuch as in twenty-eight chapters of criticism of its contents, he finds abundant material for censure, it is difficult to acquit him of the charge of dissimulation, and certainly his views upon the utility of ceremonies, and "the circumstance" of religious worship, are utterly inconsistent with an unreserved approval of the principles of the First Revision. His dislike of ceremonies. For instance, he confessed that the sign of the Cross in Holy Baptism, the symbolical act of investing with the Chrisom, [103] and "the sanctification of water to the mystical washing away of sin," were especially distasteful to him. Even the innocent practice of bell-ringing, except immediately before service, he denounced for reasons quite unintelligible. The separation of the Clergy from the Laity during Divine Service, he designated an "antichristian practice." The manual acts accompanying the words of Consecration in the Holy Eucharist he condemned as useless, and not only did he show an aversion to the Eucharistic vestments, but went so far as to object to wearing the Academic dress, though he shielded what we believe was a genuine detestation under the disguise of a quaint witticism, "that he could hardly be expected to wear a square cap, seeing that his head was round." [104] His personal goodness. But while we find so much with which we can feel little sympathy, we must not omit to bear testimony to his personal attractiveness and an amiability and sweetness of disposition towards those who differed from him, which often proved irresistible in winning them to his side. His residence at Cambridge was of short duration, but sufficiently long to endear him to men of every class, and shade of opinion, and he was followed to his grave by the whole body of the University. The learned ecclesiastic Redmayn, Master of Trinity, Cambridge, who delivered a panegyric upon his merits, confessed that his own high sacramental views might not improbably have undergone material modification had not the influence of the Professor's teaching been so prematurely closed. His labours in the Protestant cause were not forgotten when Queen Mary reigned, for his body was exhumed, and burnt in the market-place; but the dishonour was wiped out at a later date, when a special act of reparation was performed at St. Mary's, and the Church presented a spectacle unique in its history, the walls being covered with laudatory verses and tributes to his worth. It is to the spell of these three men that Cranmer yielded himself up. Whether he actually utilised their direct aid and counsel, during the progress of the Second Revision, or not, is really a matter of indifference, or at least of secondary importance. Changes in the opinions of Cranmer. When we contrast him with what he was when he sat in the Chair in the Windsor Assembly, no one can deny that a vast change had passed over him; and when we go on to consider how the change had taken place in the very direction of the teaching of certain influential men, with whom he had been living in close intimacy or correspondence, there is only one consistent conclusion to be drawn. In the alterations which mark the Revision under present consideration we see again and again such a significant coincidence between the proscription of forms or doctrine, and the peculiar tenets of one or other of these Foreign Reformers, that it is simple blindness to refuse to acknowledge the potency of this alien influence. Now, while Cranmer and the King had been drawn into such close bonds of sympathy with the Exiles, and strongly impelled, as we have seen, to conciliate them by further revision of our Service-books in view of a

great Protestant Alliance, matters were brought to a crisis by the outbreak of the Vestiarian controversy. The Vestiarian dispute. The prominent figure throughout was John Hooper. On the passing of the "Bloody Statute" [105] he fled to Zurich, and there became thoroughly impregnated with Swiss theology, and enamoured of the bareness of Zwingli's forms of service. John Hooper. After his return home upon the accession of Edward VI., he was appointed to preach before the King and his Most Honourable Privy Council, and availed himself of the opportunity of advocating in several sermons a number of sweeping changes and most startling innovations. His first efforts were directed to the destruction of stone altars [106] and the substitution of wooden tables, which he deemed imperative, for the overthrow of the Sacrificial doctrine of the Holy Eucharist; and in this crusade Ridley went heartily with him. [107] As a necessary sequel it was followed up by an attack upon the Eucharistic Vestments, which he said were only "marks of Judaism" calculated to bring us back again to the Aaronic Priesthood. From this he went on to condemn the Academical habits, and the Convocation Robes of the Prelates especially as being of the colour which was held to identify the Papacy with the Babylonian harlot. But here the Bishop of London wisely quitted his side. He even contended so strongly for the mediæval dress, that, sooner than yield, he advised the imprisonment of his old colleague for his obstinate refusal to wear them. Hooper was committed to the Fleet. [108] It was one of those unguarded moves, which so often lead to consequences the very opposite to what is desired. Persecution endured for conscience sake not unfrequently terminates in favour of the persecuted. When the Prison door closed upon Hooper, the battle was won for his cause. No sanction from Convocation for fresh reforms. And, with this agitation, the combination of forces requisite to re-open the reform of Church worship was well-nigh complete. It only wanted the sanction of Convocation to insure recommencement and unimpeded progress afterwards. But, to the honour of the Church, that was never given. At the urgent solicitation of Calvin, the two Houses seem to have taken into consideration the desirableness of proceeding with the work of revision, but though the records of their deliberations have perished, it would appear from contemporary evidence that they did not encourage, certainly not formally authorise, the proposed undertaking. Some of the Upper House, it is true, having been like the Primate brought under Continental influence, did suggest to the Lower House that they should consult upon certain controverted passages in the Book of Common Prayer; but when the latter were called upon by their spiritual superiors to give in the result of their deliberations, they found an excuse in the plea that insufficient time had been allowed, [109] 1 but made no signs of proceeding with the business, which, as far as they were concerned, was altogether dropped. It is quite clear that they were averse to the proposal, and that the King was fully aware of it. Otherwise it would be impossible to account for his declaration, that he was determined to carry it through, despite all opposition, and if the changes he desired were not secured by the ordinary process, he would, as head of the Church, exercise his prerogative and enforce revision. Undertaken on the sole authority of Parliament. Eventually an Act of Parliament was passed directing that the former Liturgy "should be faithfully and godly perused, explained, and made fully perfect." [110] This was the authority upon which the Revision was undertaken. Before we look at the changes which were made, let us prepare ourselves by a rapid glance at the distinctive features of Catholic and Puritan worship. The broad distinction between Catholic and Puritan. The Catholic clings to his Church as an historic Church. In every age of its existence its present is linked with its past. Its faith is a symbol of unity, because it is part of the great heritage of Catholic tradition: not an ever-changing system of religion and worship, but one

inherited through a long line of ancestry, to be transmitted unimpaired to the latest posterity. The Church of the Puritan is essentially unhistoric, with no reverence for ancient forms because of their antiquity, but ready at any time to sacrifice whatever in her judgment has become tainted with error; to supersede by modern innovations the most time-honoured usage. The changes in the revised Prayer-book. And now, in the light of this broad distinction, let us look at the changes themselves. They were so numerous that without attempting to exhaust the list, we shall be satisfied to set forth those which from their significance seem most worthy of our attention.

Title-pageThe title of the book was changed. In the first Prayer-book of Edward VI. It was "The book of common prayer and administration of the Sacraments and other rites and ceremonies of the Church, after the use of the Church of England." In the second Prayer-book "of the Church" was omitted, and an indirect blow given to the claim of the Anglican branch to belong to the Catholic Church. The spirit of the next change is worthy of all praise. Before the revision only such as "served the congregation" were expected to recite daily Matins and Evensong. The obligation to recite the Daily Office. Henceforward an obligation was laid upon all priests and deacons, "except they be letted by preaching, studying of divinity, or by some other urgent cause;" and also upon all Curates to say the same in their Parish Churches, unless they were absent from home or otherwise reasonably hindered. These obligations, with a slight modification, remain in force at the present day. We cannot but think some evil has arisen from the causes of exemption not having been duly recognised. In many villages where the clergyman hesitates because he is single-handed, the Daily Service would be offered, if it were thoroughly understood by priest and people that its intermission from time to time from several causes, provided for in the rubric, would convey no impression of neglect of duty.

Changes in the Calendar. In the Calendar the names of three Saints were admitted, viz., SS. George, Laurence, and Clement; upon what grounds the two former were so honoured it is difficult to divine, considering the strong objections felt by the Revisionists to the principle of commemorating any other than those whose place in Scripture history entitled them to distinction. At the same time Mary Magdalene was allowed to drop out, probably from a doubt in their minds that she was the woman who was "a sinner," to whom the portion of Scripture, St. Luke vii. 36-50, read for the Gospel referred. There are few traditions more improbable and baseless than that which has resulted in the popular belief. And though the Revisionists might have acted more wisely by substituting an appropriate passage, and thus retaining her place in the services of the Church, almost anything is better than the perpetuation of an error, which stained the memory of one of the most beautiful saints of Gospel story. Then we notice the introduction of a rubric directing that the Prayers shall be said, "in such place of the Church, chapel, or chancel, and the Minister shall so turn him as the people may best hear." This was intended as a relaxation of the rule or custom of the First Prayer-book, which placed the Reader in the Quire, where he stood or knelt facing eastwards, [111] turning, that is, in the same direction as the congregation -- a position which seemed fit and appropriate to one who was acting for the time being as their head and representative. The modification was a concession to Bucer and Calvin, whose vehement denunciations of the prevailing practice as "Antichristian" and as an "insufferable abuse" are still extant.

Additions. Another change was the prefixing of the Sentences, Exhortation, Confession, and Absolution to the Matins, [112] which had begun hitherto with the LORD's Prayer. This was necessary when the frequency of divine service had ceased to solemnise the minds of the congregation, and for this purpose a better preparation could hardly have been devised. It is when the conscience is relieved from the burden of its sins, that man is in

the fittest mood to praise and give thanks to GOD. The main object however of the Revisionists in this was to discourage private confession and absolution by providing through the public ministrations of daily service the benefits which had been sought hitherto from the priest singly and alone. At the same time the "Alleluia," which had been sung from Easter to Trinity before the "Venite," and which had become most closely associated in the minds of the people with that joyful season, was omitted. Alternative Canticles. The use of the "Te Deum" and the "Benedicite" had varied largely in the Church services. In the Sarum Breviary the latter was to be substituted for the former both in Advent and from Septuagesima Sunday to Easter; in the First Prayer-book in Lent only. Henceforward it was made a simple alternative for it, and in a similar manner was the "Jubilate" for the "Benedictus," the "Cantate" for the "Magnificat," and the "Deus misereatur" for the "Nunc dimittis." In the first instance the intention was probably to allow of greater freedom in using the "Benedicite," which before had been confined to a definite season. On the score of ancient usage the claims of the two are equal: for if the "Te Deum" be regarded as a development of the hymn which the early Christians in Pliny's time sang "to Christ as GOD," we have on the other hand the testimony of St. Chrysostom to the fact that the "Benedicite" had been sung from the beginning "everywhere throughout the world." In point of propriety the one is the hymn of the Church, the other the song of the universe; while then the former is more adapted for general use, the latter may be fitly substituted on numerous occasions, when the blessings of creation are brought prominently forward, and for this reason its relegation to Lent was a patent inconvenience. In the case of the "Jubilate," the obvious intention was that it should only be substituted for the "Benedictus" on the occasions when the latter occurred elsewhere in the service, though this has been completely frustrated, and the special hymn has for the most part superseded the general. For obvious reasons this supersession is much to be deprecated. What influences led the Revisionists to offer the "Deus misereatur" for the "Nunc dimittis" we have no means of determining, but in the case of the "Cantate" for the "Magnificat" their motive was unmistakable. It was a needless compliance with the unreasonable objections of the Puritans, who did not scruple to banish from its time-honoured [113] position one of the very noblest outpourings of inspired song, to gratify their aversion to everything which expressed the slightest reverence for the Mother of our LORD. The Incarnation was the special idea embodied in the ancient Vespers, and it was very forcibly expressed in the thankful acknowledgment alike of the Blessed Virgin and of the aged Simeon. To attempt, therefore, to supersede their Canticles tended to break the continuity which the First Revisionists had been so careful to preserve. The Athanasian Creed. In the First Prayer-book the Athanasian Creed was directed to be recited on the six great Festivals of Christmas, Epiphany, Easter, Ascension, Whitsunday, and Trinity. At the Second Revision seven Saints' Days were added, the selection being made, so as to provide for its being said, as nearly as possible, once a month. Touching the Litany, Creed, etc. The limitation of the use of the Litany to the penitential days of the week was withdrawn, as also the permission to omit the Litany, "Gloria in excelsis," Creed, Homily and Exhortation to Holy Communion, if there was to be a sermon, or for other causes which were considered important. The wish of the Revisionists to enforce the Litany on all Sundays is quite intelligible, when read in the light of their austere and gloomy views of Sabbath observance; and though we may regret the course they adopted in regard to this, they deserve all praise for refusing to sanction the omission of the Creed and the "Gloria in excelsis." It is true we cannot trace the recitation of a creed in the Liturgy without interruption from primitive times, but it is obviously most desirable that every safeguard against heresy should be taken in

celebrating the great Mysteries; neither is it wise to curtail that which helped to express our thanksgiving, and make the service a "sacrifice of praise." Divers rites and ceremonies in the baptismal office discontinued. In the Baptismal office the following rites and ceremonies were abolished: viz., the trine immersion, the anointing with oil, the signing the breast with the mark of the Cross, the form of exorcism in which the priest commanded the unclean spirit to come out and no more exercise tyranny over the infants whom Christ was calling to be of the number of his flock, and the investiture of the newly-baptized with the Chrisom, [114] as the priest said "Take this white vesture for a token of the innocency, which by GOD's grace in this holy sacrament of baptism is given unto thee; and for a sign whereby thou art admonished, so long as thou livest, to give thyself to innocency of living, that after this transitory life, thou mayest be partaker of the life everlasting." At the same time the custom of the sponsors laying their hands upon the child preparatory to this ceremony was given up, as well as the dedication of the Chrisom by the mother when she presented herself in Church at her purification. Some of these ceremonies may have been fitly removed, some might be now recovered with advantage. What, for instance, could be more appropriate than the triple affusion accompanying the utterance of the triple Name of the Triune GOD? And amongst ignorant people, who, as all experience proves, are taught most easily by signs and pictures, is it possible to conceive of anything more instructive of the whole teaching of Holy Baptism, than the immediate investiture of the newly-baptized in a robe of spotless purity? An important addition was made by the introduction of the five prayers: "O merciful GOD, grant that the old Adam," etc., of the form of reception into "the congregation of Christ's flock," and of the declaration of the child's regeneration, "Seeing now," etc., together with the thanksgiving for the same, "We yield Thee hearty thanks," etc. The Confirmation Service. In Confirmation the rubric [115] was withdrawn directing the Bishop to "cross them in the forehead," and the beautiful prayer "Defend, O LORD, this thy child," etc., substituted for another [116] referring to "the sign" as well as the unction of the Holy Ghost. The Matrimonial Office. In Matrimony the sign of the Cross hitherto made when the priest blessed the man and the woman was omitted, and a reference to the apocryphal mission of the Angel Raphael to "Thobie and Sara" gave place to that of a Scriptural fact, viz., the blessing of GOD upon Abraham and Sarah. Changes in the Office for the Visitation of the Sick. In the Visitation, and the Communion of the Sick, the ancient rite of anointing with oil was no longer mentioned. The rubric providing that the form of absolution used in this service should be available for all private confessions was erased; and the liberty of reserving the Blessed Sacrament from an open Communion celebrated on the same day, or from a Celebration in one sick-room for Communion in another, was withdrawn. No doubt abuses had sprung up in connexion with the practice of reservation, but now that there is little probability of their breaking out afresh, a return to primitive custom might be allowed, and with every prospect of affording relief to the clergy and benefit to the sick. Instances of wide-spread sickness and mortality arising from some special cause must be within the experience of most parish priests, where they have had no alternative but to transgress the existing law, or leave men to die without the Food of eternal life. In the Burial Service. In the Order for the Burial of the dead, the service was robbed of its most comforting element when, as touching prayer for the departed, the mourners' lips were sealed, and not even a pious aspiration was allowed to relieve a stricken and sorrowful heart. Prayers for the Dead discountenanced in the Burial Service. Two special forms for commending the soul into the hands of the merciful GOD were altogether expunged from the Office, and a prayer that the sins which the departed had committed might not be imputed to him, was turned

into a thanksgiving that he had been delivered out of the miseries of this sinful world; and further a petition for our perfect consummation and bliss was couched in such ambiguous phrase that it is impossible to say whether it comprehends the dead as well as the living, or not. The intention of the framers of it, judging from their general course of action, most likely was to pray for the latter alone; but their language was providentially so ordered that pious men in every generation since have been able to use it with larger views and in a more Catholic spirit. And here I may be pardoned if I dwell awhile, because the action of the Foreign Reformers in this matter has not only left a most lamentable blot on the Book, but illustrates very clearly the principles by which they were guided. Their boast was that they cared little for antiquity, and had no reverence for the past the guidance to which they trusted was that of private judgment which many of them came at last to believe in as infallible. For fourteen or fifteen centuries, prayers had been offered for those who died in the LORD: there was not a Liturgy [117] from the very beginning, either in the East or the West, which did not contain such petitions, and yet in the face of this usage, the unbroken usage of the Church universal, because the Catholic belief in the intermediate state had been confounded with the errors of Purgatory, they paraded their pernicious rule, "the abuse is a sufficient reason for the disuse," and disallowed in their cold and loveless creed even thanksgiving for the good example of a departed saint. The Holy Eucharist no longer ordered. From the earliest times a celebration of the Holy Eucharist had been associated with the burial of the dead, and the Revisionists of 1549 A.D. made full provision for a continuance of the custom. When their successors in 1552 A.D. omitted the Introit, Collect, Epistle and Gospel appointed for the Service, thereby discountenancing a Celebration, they left a void in our Prayer-book for which nothing but its full restoration can ever supply adequate consolation. The Psalms altered. In this Revision Psalms 116, 136, and 146, which were said in the First Prayer-book either before or after the burial of the corpse, were dropt out. One object observable throughout appears to have been a desire to curtail the service as far as possible; a desire which developed in their successors to such an extent that in the next century Bishop Cosin [118] testifies that "they would have no minister to bury their dead, but the corpse to be brought to the grave and there put in by the clerk, or some other honest neighbour, and so back again without any more ado; "and Hooker [119] laments the miserable days in which an orderly burial service was deemed "unmeet, undecent, and unfit for Christianity." Changes in the Communion Office. When we open the Communion Office we are confronted by the same reckless indifference to Catholic doctrine and practice, and an ever-widening divergence from the lines laid down by the first Revisionists. The title was changed from "The Supper of the LORD and the Holy Communion, commonly called the Mass," into "The order for the administration of the LORD's Supper or the Holy Communion." And here we cannot but commend them at least in part for the alteration. "Mass," [120] as most of us are aware, was derived from the Latin missa, in the formula "ite, missa est" -- "Depart, it is the dismissal," at the utterance of which words the congregation left the Church. Now on the grounds that the designation is not Scriptural nor primitive nor significant, the action of the Revisionists in discontinuing it finds full and ample justification. We think they would have shown further discretion if they had eliminated also the title of "the LORD's Supper." It is supposed to rest on the authority of St. Paul, "When ye come together into one place, this is not to eat the LORD's Supper," [121] but a careful examination of the passage leads to the conclusion that the Apostle there applies it to the Agape or Love-feast in combination with the Holy Eucharist, not to the latter considered by itself. Indeed, had this not been so, the extreme rarity of the designation among the early Fathers

[122] would be quite unaccountable. Not till the latter half of the fourth century is it adopted by any writer; and it is worth mentioning that at two of the early Councils, [123] the title is distinctly appropriated for another Feast. The language is, "One day in the year in which the LORD's Supper is celebrated," where it refers not to the Holy Communion, but to a commemorative Feast on Maundy Thursday evening in imitation of our Lord's Last Supper with His disciples preceding the institution of the Eucharist. Apart then from the uncertainty of its usage in Scripture and its extreme rarity in Patristic literature, it might well have yielded to titles with better claims and with no tendency to create confusion. [124] In the Exhortation read at the time of the Celebration the passage in which a blasphemer, adulterer, and any one guilty of grievous crime was exhorted not to Come to the Holy Table before he had bewailed his sins, was transposed and inserted in the exhortation to be read on the Sunday or holy day preceding. The propriety of this change is patent, "For," writes Bishop Cosin, [125] "is any person who comes at that time purposely to receive the Communion likely to discover himself (if he be guilty) in the presence of all the congregation by rising up and suddenly departing from it?" Omissions. There is a long array of omissions, as was naturally to be expected. Besides some especially significant, to be considered presently, the following are to be noticed : -- The Introits, which were the shorter Psalms or portions of the 119th selected one for each Sunday or holy day, and sung immediately before the Collect and Epistle. It has been conjectured that they were omitted with a view to the substitution of the metrical version, [126] which was partly composed by Sternhold at this time, but the speedy discontinuance of the Prayer-book at the accession of Queen Mary prevented them carrying out their intentions. A second Service for Celebration on Christmas Day and Easter was erased from the Book. The concluding paragraph of the Exhortation, following the direction for such as were troubled in conscience to resort to the priest "for comfort and absolution," previously ran thus: "requiring such as shall be satisfied with a general confession, not to be offended with them that do use, to their further satisfying, the auricular and secret confession to the priest; nor those also which think needful or convenient, for the quietness of their own consciences, particularly to open their sins to the priest, to be offended with them that are satisfied with their humble confession to GOD and the general confession to the church. But in all things to follow and keep the rule of charity, and every man to be satisfied with his own conscience, not judging other men's minds or consciences; whereas he hath no warrant of GOD's word to the same." Few persons, who recognise the real teaching of the Church upon Confession and Absolution, can fail to regret that such valuable counsel should have been removed. In opposition to the Roman view it distinctly repudiates the necessity of private confession, by implying that in principle there is no advantage in private over public absolution: as one of the most eminent of our bishops writes to his clergy: [127] "Any one who is sincerely penitent, even in the largest congregation, will receive as the absolving words are uttered, precisely the same benefit as if he knelt before the priest singly and alone." . . . "He may die without having ever made a private confession, and yet he may have passed again and again with fulness of effect under the keys of the kingdom." But at the same time it distinctly admits full liberty of conscience to have recourse to this special ordinance of the Church in time of need. In the "Prayer for the whole state of Christ's Church," all reference to the dead was left out, and thus the immemorial practice of remembering in the Eucharist those who had departed in the faith was disregarded. A few others of more or less importance require notice: such as the withdrawal of the rubric directing the minister to put to the wine "a little pure and clean water." No reason was assigned for this, nor can any be conjectured. The custom of admixture was a natural one, if it be

true, as most Jewish authorities maintain, that it was the habit of the Jews generally to dilute their wine with water; and so we find the practice almost universal in the Primitive Church. [128] It continues in the Eastern and Roman Churches, and as it is impossible to find in it any doctrinal symbolism of dangerous or doubtful import, and as many leading Divines [129] since the Reformation have not hesitated to consecrate "the mixed Chalice," it is to be regretted on Vincentian principles that the rubric should have been erased. Two other less important directions were omitted: one that at the administration the Bread should be "unleavened and round" in shape: the other that it should be placed in the mouth of the Communicant at the priest's hands. Additions. We next consider two additions to the Service, viz.: the Decalogue and the Second Exhortation. The Revisionists desired to introduce some rule or standard for self-examination before communicating, in view of St. Paul's direction, "Let a man examine himself, and so let him eat of that bread and drink of that cup." The Decalogue probably suggested itself to them from the existing practice of reading and expounding it during this service from time to time. It was a happy thought which prompted them to take the Kyries, which in the First Prayer-book were repeated nine times at this part of the service, and with the addition of another, adapt them as ten responsory petitions for the ten commandments. Whether it would have been more in harmony with the highest Christian service to have introduced the standard of self-examination from Christ's commentary in the Sermon on the Mount, rather than the stern formula of the Jewish code itself, may be an open question. The American Liturgy supplements it by St. Matt. xxii.37-40, and the Scotch Liturgy directs the people to "the mystical importance" of the commands, as well as "the letter." The second addition was an Exhortation for occasional use when the Curate found the people "negligent to come to the Holy Communion." From 1552 A.D. to 1662 A.D. the following passage occurred in it; "And whereas ye offend GOD so sore in refusing this holy banquet, I admonish, exhort, and beseech you that unto this unkindness ye will not add any more; which thing ye shall do if ye stand by as gazers and lookers on them that do communicate, and be no partaker of the same yourselves. For what thing can this be accounted else than a further contempt and unkindness unto God? Truly it is a great unthankfulness to say nay, when ye be called; but the fault is much greater when men stand by and yet will neither eat nor drink this Holy Communion with others. I pray you, what can this be else but even to have the mysteries of Christ in derision? It is said unto all, Take ye and eat: take and drink ye all of this; Do this in remembrance of Me. With what face then, and with what countenance shall ye hear these words? What will this be but a neglecting, a despising and mocking of the testament of Christ? Wherefore rather than ye should do so, depart you hence and give place to them that be godly disposed. But when you depart, I beseech you, ponder with yourselves from whom ye depart. Ye depart from the LORD'S Table, ye depart from your brethren, and from the banquet of most heavenly food." This was what Cosin calls a "religious invective" against the principle of solitary Masses in which the priest alone communicated. It has in disregard of its true purpose been mixed up with the modern controversies on the legitimacy of "non-communicating attendance." Neither in its introduction in 1552 A.D. was it intended to discourage anything as practised in the present day; nor in its subsequent withdrawal was it designed to sanction it. An examination of its language will show that it is wholly irrelevant to the case. The Revisionists had in their mind irreligious men who never communicated, and therefore profaned the service by an irreverent presence, for they contrast them with "the godly disposed." With such they are certainly not to be confounded, who, being frequent communicants, and realising fully that the greatest value of the

ordinance lies in participation, are unwilling to forego a lesser blessing, if they have already partaken on the same day, or from some cause are unprepared for it. But we pass to matters of greater moment. The sacrificial aspect obscured. In this revised Service, the Sacrificial aspect was greatly obscured by that of Communion. Sacrificial terms were for the most part suppressed: sacerdotal vestments forbidden: the position of the altar was changed, and the arrangement of important parts of the service disturbed. Everything, in short, was done, as the Revisionists fondly hoped, to dissociate the mind of the worshipper from all thoughts of oblation or sacrifice. The direction was cancelled which ordered that at the appointed time the Celebrant should "put upon him a plain alb or surplice, with a vestment or cope," which, whether invariably so from the beginning or not, was unquestionably and universally associated at this time with the idea of sacrifice. The term "Altar," which was the correlative of sacrifice, was erased from this and every other rubric, and Table or Holy Table substituted. The most honourable place occupied by the Altar all through the Church's history was left vacant, and the Table brought down to the body of the Church, and as a necessary consequence regarded simply as a Board from which holy Food was distributed, and nothing more. The Celebrant who had stood "humbly afore the midst of the Altar" was directed to stand "at the north side of the Table." And lastly, a displacement [130] of the Prayer of Oblation was effected. It had long been inseparably united with the Act of Consecration by which the Bread and Wine were declared to be the Body that was broken and the Blood which was shed; but by disconnecting them, and placing the prayer after the consumption of the consecrated elements, the idea of offering these to the Father as a commemorative Oblation of Christ's Blessed Body and Blood was cast into the shade. Not content with emptying the words of their obvious force by the change of position, the Revisionists went further and made its entire omission possible by allowing the Thanksgiving Prayer to be used as an alternative. This was a direct breach of Catholic usage. To pass on, they were no less anxious to discountenance the doctrine of the Real Presence. Four things especially betray their design. The doctrine of the Real Presence discountenanced in divers ways. The discontinuance of the Invocation of the Holy Ghost upon the Elements, and of the singing of the "Agnus Dei;" the substitution of the second clause, "Take and eat this," . . . and "Drink this" . . . for the first, "The Body of Our LORD," etc. . . . "The Blood of our Lord," etc.; . . . and lastly, the insertion of the "Black Rubric" or "Declaration of Kneeling:" upon each of these it will be necessary to dwell. In almost every Primitive Liturgy [131] there had been a distinct prayer that the Spirit of GOD would sanctify the Elements that they might become the Blessed Body and Blood of Christ. To eliminate this then was break away from Catholic usage as well as to ignore the immediate action of the Holy Ghost, which is the great vivifying Agent in holy things. And here we would observe that this is happily recognised in the administration of the other great Sacrament, the operation of the holy Spirit being mentioned no less than three times in the opening of the Service. The Agnus Dei. With the discontinuance of the "Agnus Dei," beautiful as it is, we can find no fault if we are satisfied not to overstep the paths of Primitive Antiquity. It had no place in the early Liturgies or Sacramentaries, and was probably not introduced in England till the times of Ælfric, in the middle of the tenth century, nor much earlier in any foreign Churches. The formula of distribution. The Form of Words previously used at the distribution of the Elements was deliberately abandoned in violation of an almost uniform tradition from the beginning. However far we go back we trace an inseparable connexion not only in idea, but in expression, between the Bread and the Body -- the Wine and the Blood. Often when the Priest gave the Sacramental Elements he simply said, "The Body of our LORD Jesus Christ," "The

Blood, etc.," and the Communicant indicated his assent or his desire for its realisation by adding "Amen." In the Sarum Missal the Formula had expanded into "The Body of our LORD Jesus Christ keep thy soul unto eternal life," Amen; and the same had been unhesitatingly adopted in the First Prayer-book. But when the second Revisionists approached it with the knowledge that it admitted of only one interpretation, viz., that the Body of Christ was given in the Sacrament, they determined to eliminate it altogether and substitute another which would give no countenance to the belief of those who maintained that the words of the institution, "This is my Body," were more than a mere figure of speech. The last of the four was the "Declaration of Kneeling," in which it was asserted that that posture did not indicate that any adoration was offered unto the Sacramental Elements or to any "Real and Essential Presence" of Christ's natural Body. The words "Real and Essential" are to be noted, because they are no longer in the Rubric, having yielded to "Corporal" at the final Revision. The history of this Rubric [132] affords sufficient evidence that its introduction was intended as a concession to pacify the foreigners, who never ceased to characterise kneeling to communicate as a superstitious and idolatrous act. Now the above is a long and heavy bill of indictment against the Second Revisionists for departure from Catholic doctrine. Suggested explanations of the principles adopted. Can anything be urged generally in mitigation of the verdict which the Catholic mind is impatient to pronounce? Apologists [133] here and there have argued in their defence, that they did not in reality intend to abandon the doctrines and usages which they appeared to supersede: that many of the changes were made with the view of bringing into prominence principles which had been thrust out of sight to the great loss and injury of the Church in mediæval times and at the first Revision. "Altar," for instance, was not withdrawn, as intimating a denial that what was offered thereon was in some sense sacrificial, but "Table" was substituted because the predominance of the Sacrificial aspect had completely obscured the other side of Eucharistic teaching, viz., the Communion of the Blessed Body and Blood. Again, touching the words of administration and "the Black Rubric," the First Book, they say, had affirmed what the Elements were -- the Second Book aimed at explaining what they were not. It is a very plausible defence, and finds some support in the official statements of the Revisionists themselves. In the Act of Uniformity which gave legal force to their Revision they stated upon what grounds they had entered upon the work, and what their general opinion was of the Book they superseded. The Revision had been necessitated, they said, because "divers doubts had risen for the fashion and ministration" [134] of the services, which proceeded "rather by the curiosity of the minister and mistakers than of any worthy cause." And the First Prayer-book the Statute declared to be "a very godly order, agreeable to the Word of God and the Primitive Church, very comfortable to all good people desiring to live in Christian conversation, and most profitable to the estate of this realm." [135] These statements seem well-nigh inexplicable on any other theory than that which the Apologists have set forth, viz., that the Revisionists, had not really wished to renounce in any essential matters the teaching of the First Prayer-book. But if we could bring ourselves to accept it, we should still have to hold them up to rebuke for the weakness of their judgment and a strange ignorance of the ways of the world. It saves them from Scylla to plunge them into Charybdis. The difficulty of accepting the explanation. The way to supplement is not to begin by taking away; and to remove one word or usage and replace it by another is substitution, not addition. If a particular phraseology, ever connected with one set of ideas, was ousted by another phraseology which had always been used to clothe ideas of a totally different order, no amount, of side-notes, still less general assertions, in a Statute, bound up at its first publication with the Service-book, but

disconnected from it for ever afterwards, could insure later generations from the danger of being misled. It seems difficult to acquit the Revisionists of hypocrisy or infatuation. He who best understands the times and circumstances will be best fitted to decide whether they had desired in their hearts to revolutionise the worship of the Church, and were too cowardly to own it, or whether they had only aimed at developing obliterated features, but had proved by their bungling their incompetence for the task; and it will be a matter of no little surprise if the verdict is not, that they were guilty of insincerity rather than mismanagement. Their conduct explained by their views. The study of their lives and opinions forces upon us the conviction that their object was to eradicate the ancient Catholic doctrines; and we may be thankful that though they were able to prosecute their end in so far as they succeeded in eliminating the most salient features, the principles were too firmly embedded in the whole framework of the Liturgical Office to be rooted out by their action. Their aims defeated. The reverent student will trace with satisfaction the over-ruling influence of GOD's good Spirit frustrating their designs, and leaving them so far hopelessly baffled, that at the final Revision, the Church was able solemnly to declare that the true Eucharistic doctrine had remained essentially unchanged from the first Revision to the last. The judgment of the Church upon this. In the Preface to the Prayer-book of 1662 A.D., which is now in use, the Revisionists expressed their conviction of this in unhesitating language. "We find, that in the Reigns of several Princes of blessed memory since the Reformation, the Church, upon just and weighty considerations her thereunto moving, hath yielded to make such alterations in some particulars, as in their respective times were thought convenient: Yet so, as that the main Body and Essentials of it, as well in the chiefest materials, as in the frame and order thereof, have still continued the same unto this day and do yet stand firm and unshaken." It is impossible to exaggerate the weight of this declaration, which we must never forget is "the assertion not of individual theologians, but the deliberate pronouncement of the Church speaking for herself." [136]

CHAPTER III: The Elizabethan Reaction

When King Edward breathed his last the Reformed Worship of the English Church hung for a moment in the balance. The prospects on the accession of Queen Mary. "No compulsion of her subjects in the matter of religion" was the promise by which Mary gained supporters in Norfolk and Suffolk against her rival for the throne; and her words were taken up and repeated in every part of the kingdom. And when she entered the Tower and lifted the imprisoned Gardiner [137] from his knees, and let him go free, it was, she might have urged, one fulfilment of her promise, but it was interpreted very differently. Anglican and Protestant began to tremble for their faith; and as soon as her Crown was secure she threw off the disguise. A dagger launched by some fiery zealot against a preacher [138] at St. Paul's Cross, who divining his mistress's mood inveighed against the Prayer-book, was the signal for decisive measures to begin. Cranmer was confined within the walls of his Palace, Ridley was committed to the Tower, Cox was shut up in a cell in the Marshalsea, from which Bonner was released, and many others [139] were imprisoned. In Canterbury Cathedral the suffragan Bishop, seizing the advantage of the Primate's confinement, stopped the legal service, and with all the pomp and circumstance of the Roman Ritual restored the Mass : and from this beginning the old use regained its position step by step till the last vestige of opposition, that of the Legislature itself, entirely disappeared. The Houses of Parliament, with scarcely a dissentient voice, passed a vote of repentance for their schism, and after receiving, in behalf of the nation, absolution from the Papal legate on their bended knees, they heard the proclamation read, that England had entered again into union with Rome. For four years no language of prayer and praise but that which spoke in the Breviary and Missal was ever heard in the Churches. The Reaction upon her death. But Mary died, and Elizabeth reigned: and a fresh epoch in the religion and worship of the Church began. Never in the world's history was a movement initiated under more difficult circumstances than the Elizabethan Reaction. It was well for England that the Sovereign, who was to guide it, was possessed of an unconquerable will and a tenacity of purpose rarely equalled, perhaps never surpassed. The difficulties by which Queen Elizabeth was confronted. Let us look awhile at the difficulties by which she was confronted when she resolved, as she did in heart from the beginning, to re-establish the Reformed Worship of the Catholic Church, unimpaired if possible alike by Papal and Puritan innovations. The clergy of the country were pledged to Rome; the posts of dignity and influence from Bishopric to Prebend were filled, with rare exceptions, by men who were intensely Roman; the Parish priests were the same, in a less degree no doubt, but in overwhelming majority, for the vigilant eye of Bonner had promoted none that were lukewarm, and spared from deprivation few that were disaffected. Here then was one obstacle of appalling magnitude. And there was a second hardly less formidable. For Edward it would have been trivial: for Elizabeth it was overwhelming. The one would have seized it and made it a vantage ground: the other would be satisfied with nothing short of victory over it, or at least in spite of it. From the exiled clergy. This was the Puritan Party which long banishment [140] and depression had embittered, and which now the prospect of release made buoyant with hope and eager for reascendancy. When Mary declared herself for the Roman Faith, and the Second Prayer-book was suspended, all who held views that were decidedly Protestant

determined to escape from the intolerance which threatened them at home. An exodus to the Continent took place of some hundreds of the clergy, and Strasburg and Frankfort, Zurich and Geneva became for the English, what London had been a few years before, when it afforded a sanctuary from the Inquisition of Charles V. and the Papal Interim. And many of the exiles were seized at once with a spirit of unrestrained freedom. Calvin, who at Frankfort was looked upon as an oracle, denounced the English Prayer-book, and his denunciation produced a powerful effect. Knox, the fiery revolutionist in Church government, placed himself at the head of those who wished to shako themselves free from the forms and ceremonies to which they had been tied. Thus a party was created of what we may call ultra-Protestants. [141] A few held out vigorously against these democratic innovations, under the leadership of Cox, and for a time they succeeded in preserving the English ritual in its integrity, but time and circumstances told upon them. Living as exiles in want and penury, they found that they had little to spend on vestments and ornaments, on the luxuries and beauty of an elaborate worship, and indifference to externals crept in, and the laxity of rule and discipline of their neighbours had its effect upon them and made them impatient of order. And so it came about that when they returned to England, even the bareness of worship which the close of Edward's reign had encouraged was made barer still by Genevan and Frankfort usage. Confronted by these, what was the Queen to do? She was determined to overthrow the Roman worship, because with all the pomp and ceremonial which she loved, it involved doctrines which she (lisbeheved, and she shrank from an alliance with the power which would have made the task so easy, because her nature rebelled instinctively against the unattractive nakedness of Puritanical worship. There was yet a third party, albeit apparently a small one, with which she decided to identify herself. The Anglican Party. When the Romanists came in, the Protestants fled; but there were some who dreaded the association of the foreign Churches more than contact with Rome, and they determined to remain in England, some of them conforming to the Roman worship, and retaining their posts, others, whose consciences were more tender, resigning their livings and retiring into privacy, contented to bide their time and hope for better days. With this third party, the less violent portion of the exiles, who had clung to the Prayer-book through all their vicissitudes, were practically united on their return. It was reinforced too no doubt by the adherence of numbers of the laity, for this is the only explanation of the conduct of the representatives of the people, in the Houses of Parliament, when they were called upon to declare their opinion on the Acts of Uniformity. The Queen's doctrinal views. It will be well to ascertain as clearly as possible what the Queen's doctrinal views really were, at the time when she was called upon to assume the direction of affairs. There can, I think, be little question that they underwent considerable modification in her later years, and it has been a common practice to lose sight of this and to speak of her as though she had held in the beginning the faith and opinions in which she died. Every surrounding had tended to lower the standard. Of the Bishops of her reign Parker was the nearest in sympathy, but with none of the Queen's enthusiasm and ever ready to make concessions. Of her councillors Cecil was most faithful to her wishes, but in the maintenance of Catholic faith and worship only a half-hearted minister; while Essex was an avowed patron of nonconformity, and Leicester, "the wicked Earl," seemed to have been born for the destruction of the Church. Such a combination of evil influences could hardly fail to affect her. At the beginning of her reign she was distinctly Catholic in the true and proper sense of the term: and we shall see how she succeeded in more ways than one in stamping her Catholicity upon the revised Liturgy which was shortly put forth. And this point can hardly be too carefully considered or too clearly

established, because it must have a most important bearing upon the "Vestiarian Controversy," and the right interpretation of the disputed Advertisements. In proclaiming her title she designated herself "of the true and ancient and Catholic faith." When the adoption of a Prayer-book was mooted, she expressed a strong predilection in favour of the First of Edward VI. She had long been a student of patristic lore and the early history of the Church, and it had created in her an intense love for antiquity and reverence for old and time-honoured rites and observances. Her views upon the crucial point of the Presence of Christ in the Holy Eucharist she was known to have expressed with a caution and reverence which might well be imitated." 'Twas GOD the Word that spake it, He took the Bread and brake it, And what the Word did make it, That I believe and take it." [142] And once when the preacher in the Royal Chapel confessed with reverence and becoming humility the mystery of the Real Presence in the Blessed Sacrament, she expressed her satisfaction by giving thanks to him openly for his pains and piety at the conclusion of the service. [143] And when de Feria, [144] Philip's ambassador, pressed her to explain the doctrines which her people would be expected to believe, she assured him that "she held that GOD was really present in the Sacrament," though she was not prepared to accept the teaching of the Roman Catholics upon the manner of His Presence. All this shows very plainly the bent of her mind. Accused of Roman tendencies. The Puritans made a perpetual grievance of her allowing the Crucifix and Lights to remain on the altar in her chapel, [145] and Dean Nowell, when preaching before her in Lent, took occasion to speak by the way with little reverence of the symbol of the Cross; whereupon Her Majesty called to him from her closet window "to retire from that ungodly digression and return to his text." [146] The figure of the Crucified nailed to the Cross had become an object of intense aversion, but sober-minded judges would deem it an extreme measure to condemn her for Roman tendencies in using it, especially if her own avowed objections are allowed their legitimate weight. It is true that in selecting her Privy Council she retained a number of statesmen who had served the same office to Queen Mary, [147] but she was actuated herein by prudential motives which admit of ample justification; and she was careful to provide against an undue preponderance of influence by the addition of others [148] of very different views and policy. Again, she has been blamed for continuing to attend the Celebration of the Mass far longer than was necessary after her accession, but she exercised a wise discretion in determining to feel her way cautiously and avoid irritating her opponents by precipitate change. On one or two occasions, however, she thought fit to resist what she believed to be innovations upon Catholic usage. The Romans, for instance, elevated the Host that it might be worshipped, and against¹ this she protested. It is recorded that on Christmas day she directed the Bishop, [149] who was about to celebrate in the Royal Chapel, not to elevate the Host in her presence, and that, when he replied that "his life was the Queen's but his conscience was his own," she marked her disapproval by rising before the Gospel and leaving with her attendants. We pass now to see what, under these circumstances, was the tendency of the ecclesiastical measures with which she began her reign. Her first steps towards important changes. Her first act was the introduction of certain parts of the Service in English in the Royal Chapel, viz., the Litany, the LORD'S Prayer, Creed, Epistle, and Gospel. Then with the intention of checking the intemperate zeal of the advanced Reformers, who, in the belief that she was on their side, began at once a number of innovations, she issued a proclamation prohibiting any further departure from the established order of worship than such as she had sanctioned in her own chapel, till such time as "consultation should be had by her Majesty and her three estates of the realm." Her next step was to take into her confidence Sir Thomas

Smith, a man of great learning, and, what was especially helpful to her at such a crisis, profound knowledge of the laws of the country. He at once drew up suggestions and embodied them in a document entitled "Device for the alteration of religion" [150] it is singularly interesting as expressive at every turn of the legal mind, which saw things chiefly from the opponent's side, and was occupied in forestalling the objections which would be raised. A committee appointed. His advice, which was acted upon, was the immediate appointment of an intimate cabinet of trusty Councillors, who should be made privy to the Queen's designs and wishes, and aid her in the selection of a Committee of Divines to review the service and ceremonies of the Church. The inner circle was formed of Cecil, Gray, Northampton, and Bedford, and the revision of the Liturgy committed to eight learned and able men, Parker, Grindal, Cox, Bill, Pilkington, Whitehead, and May, with Sir Thomas Smith to render such legal and lay assistance as they were likely to require. The Catholic and Protestant views were equally represented, but those who held the latter, though chosen from the returned exiles, were of the more orthodox side, all having resisted the lax discipline and libertinism of Knox and his colleagues, and adhered throughout to the English order. They met for deliberation without any appointment under the great seal, but as a private body gathered together to advise the government how to proceed in the matter of religion. Their place of meeting was the lodging of their legal adviser in Cannon Row, Westminster: and the chair was taken by Parker. His health broke down shortly after, and Guest [151] was appointed to fill his place whenever he was unable to attend. The first question which they were called upon to decide was the basis of the proposed revision. The basis of the proposed revision. Sir Thomas Smith, as representing the Queen's opinion, advised the First Prayer-book of Edward VI. It not only expressed those Catholic doctrines which she was prepared to uphold, but the authority under which it had been issued was unimpeachable. Convocation had drawn it up, the voice of the people in Parliament had ratified it, the King had sealed it, and beyond all this it had been acknowledged by its Revisionists to have been compiled under the guidance and influence of the Holy Ghost. These were weighty arguments in its favour, but the returned exiles interposed. They felt themselves to be the representatives of the whole Protestant body, and realising what a violent shock it would be to them to hear that a Book, which many of them disliked only one degree less than the Roman Use itself, was about to be presented to Parliament for adoption, they pleaded eagerly for that which had been last in use. And their arguments prevailed. The office of conciliating the Queen was undertaken by Parker. He was known to have great influence with her, and he succeeded in overcoming her determination. His own inclinations were entirely with hers, but he was a far-seeing and sagacious counsellor, and he knew that to alienate the Protestants would be to leave the government, if not entirely without support, yet face to face with two bitterly hostile parties, which they would be powerless to resist. It is very probable that he gave the Queen assurances that the Second Prayer-book would only be nominally presented to Parliament: he had every hope that such alterations would be made as should strip it of its most obnoxious features, and so prevent her from doing any violence to her conscience in accepting it. Legislation proposed. After this preliminary was settled, the Committee had repeated sittings, and on the 15th of February, a Bill was laid before Parliament for Uniformity of worship, but deferred on the ground that the subject was not yet ripe for legislation. The Queen thereupon directed the Archbishop of York to make arrangements for a public disputation between the Roman and Reforming parties in Westminster Abbey. Eight disputants were chosen on either side. [152] Public debate in Westminster Abbey. On the Roman side were Heath, Archbishop of York, four Bishops, White of

Winchester, Bayne of Lichfield, Scott of Chester, Watson of Lincoln, Fecknam, Abbot of Westminster, Cole, Dean -- and Chedsey Prebendary of St. Paul's, and two Archdeacons, Langdale of Lewes, and Harpsfield of Canterbury. On the side of the Reformers were Scory, late Bishop of Chichester, Cox, late Dean of Westminster, Horn of Durham, Sandys, Whitehead, Grindal, Guest, Elmar, and Jewel. Of the Advocates of Rome apart from Archbishop Heath, who however took no part in the discussions, there are only two whose names bear any distinction in history, -- Cole and Harpsfield, -- the former as having been chosen for his learning to preach the Sermon at Oxford in justification of Cranmer's sentence, the latter, for the unenviable reputation he gained in the Marian persecutions, as "the inquisitor of Canterbury," in pitiless cruelty second only to "the bloody Bonner." The other list presents a far different aspect, almost the whole number having left the mark of their names upon the annals of the age. Friday, March 31, was the day appointed for the commencement of the combat. It must have been a striking spectacle even in a building which, excepting only St. Peter's, has witnessed grander assemblages than any other in Europe. The gathering of the disputants and the spectators. It was the arbitrament to which the Queen had resolved to submit the rival claims of her divided subjects, and on the result of the disputations the gravest consequences appeared to depend. And the spectators were not unworthy of the occasion. The Lord Keeper of the Seal, Sir Nicolas Bacon, came representing the Crown, and as Moderator of the Assembly, may have occupied for the occasion the Abbot's stall, which would only be vacated for the Queen or her delegate. The Privy Council, as next in order of dignity, were placed in the stalls of the Monks. The Prelates, and the rest of the disputants, some in their Convocation robes, others in their Academical dress, were seated in the Quire beneath, the one on the North, the other on the South. The Houses of Parliament, Nobility and Commons, were provided for where room could be found, for their sittings had been suspended that all might attend that momentous contest. And such was the excitement and eager expectation of the populace, wherever sight could be obtained or hearing found, the Abbey was crowded with a dense mass of human beings. Three subjects had been agreed upon for discussion: -- The points of debate. Firstly, That it is repugnant to GOD'S Word, and the usage of the Primitive Church, that the service should be conducted in an unknown tongue. Secondly, That every Church has authority to vary or modify its forms of worship, with a view to edification. Thirdly, That the Mass is not a propitiatory sacrifice for the living and the dead. The terms of the discussion agreed upon were, that the Roman advocates should begin, their adversaries follow. It was pretended that the arrangement was made in deference to the superior rank and position of the Romans, and they accepted it, without thinking apparently, and were placed at a manifest disadvantage. Intellectually the Marian party were inferior, and could ill afford to make any such concession. The debate was opened with the question of the use of the Latin tongue in public service, and it ended as every one expected in the total discomfiture of the defenders of the Roman practice, [153] and so completely did their adversaries overpower them in argument that they carried the audience completely with them, the vast assemblage raising loud plaudits at the conclusion, and the Prelates being covered with confusion and dismay. The following Monday was fixed for the continuation of the dispute, but when they reassembled, the Bishops demanded that the order of proceedings should be reversed: and argued that alike by the practice of the Schools and the Law Courts, as they maintained the negative of the question to be discussed, they were entitled to the second place in the debate. And certainly they had justice on their side. Until the Law had deprived them of their position, they were the recognised guardians of the Religion of the country; and it

was obviously their duty to continue at their post, and when assailed to repel the assault if they could, or to succumb if they must. But the Moderator ruled that the orders [154] drawn up by the Queen admitted of no modification, and must be strictly complied with, or the discussion would be closed. Angry recriminations and bitter invectives were bandied from side to side, but neither party would yield. The Romanists were conscious of being overmatched, and decided that it was better to retire with at least a show of unfair treatment, than risk being fairly beaten. The Queen's Representative rose from his seat and pronounced the discussion closed, but forgetting that an arbiter should know no favour, he turned with anger to the Bishops, and said, "You have refused to let us hear you; ere long, it may be, you will hear of us." And the ominous threat was soon put into execution; the Bishops of Winchester and Lincoln, who had been foremost in defying the Queen's mandate, were committed to the Tower for contempt of court, and the rest were bound over in heavy recognisances to come up for judgment whenever they should be called upon, and eventually sentenced to considerable fines. [155] In a short time, the Parliament-sittings were recommenced, and one of the earliest measures brought on was the Bill which had been dropped three months before for Uniformity of worship. Proposals for legislation renewed. The debate in Westminster Abbey facilitated its progress. The Commons accepted it, as far as we can find, without a division, satisfied that it had received full consideration from competent commissioners; but the Lords, whom the presence of the spirituality in their councils had affected with a deeper concern for matters of religion, were in a far different mood, and offered vigorous opposition both at the second and third readings of the Bill. Opposition from the Abbot of Westminster and Bishop Scott. The first to rise was the Abbot of Westminster, and ashamed no doubt of the miserable exhibition which his party had made in the Abbey, and eager to retrieve the credit they had lost, he made a vigorous attack upon its principles. The arguments of his speech were directed to the establishment of three propositions: -- Firstly, That the Faith which was imperilled was that which had come down from ancient times. Secondly, That it was the only Faith which had ever been held with perfect consistency. Thirdly, That it fostered loyal obedience to the Crown and to all in authority. At the third reading, Scott, the Bishop of Chester, made a final effort to throw it out. His appeal was addressed especially to the lay members of the House, and he tried to overawe them by dwelling upon the weightiness, the darkness, the difficulty of the subject, "one touching life and death, upon which damnation depended;" and he drew a terrible picture of the danger and peril which hung over their heads if they erred in their judgment: and then, traversing the history of the past, and the settlement of the great disputes of Arius, Macedonius, Nestorius, and Eutyches, in which no voice of the temporal power was suffered to be heard, he called upon his brethren of the laity to imitate the modesty of Emperors like Theodosius and Valentinian, and leave the settlement of Religion to the judgment of the Episcopate. Both speeches [156] have happily been preserved, and they are full of interest to those who study the turning-points of history. How they were answered or by whom, the annals of Parliament have left us no record; but when we remember that notwithstanding the fact that the occupants of the Episcopal benches were pledged to support them, they were defeated, we may fairly conclude that their fallacies were exposed, and the fears which they conjured up disarmed of their sting. The Act of Uniformity passed. The Bill passed [157] by a majority of three, the non-contents including the names of nine lords temporal and nine spiritual. It provided that the Second Prayer-book of Edward VI., as revised by a Committee of Divines, should be adopted throughout the kingdom on or after the Feast of St. John the Baptist next ensuing. Now let us see how the mind of the Queen was reflected in the changes. Changes

introduced. All but one perhaps involved important principles. That was simply the removal of an uncharitable petition in the Litany, which fostered a spirit of unchristian hatred, by praying for deliverance "from the tyranny of the Bishop of Rome and all his detestable enormities." The reader's place. Of the others the first was a direction that prayers should be said "in the accustomed place;" and the words, "as the people may best hear" were erased. There can be no question that "the accustomed place" was the Quire, where the prayers were wont to be said during the three years and a half, when the First Prayer-book of Edward was in use. It has been asserted that it may have been simply a return to the usage of the Second Book, but as that was only used for eight months in the metropolis, and probably much less time in the provinces, no usage it enforced or sanctioned could have been of sufficiently long duration to be designated by such an epithet as "accustomed." Indeed it is extremely probable that, owing to the difficulties of communication, many of the more remote parishes never adopted the Book at all. [158] The Ornaments Rubric. The second was the introduction of an "ornaments rubric," which brought back the Eucharistic vestments, and repealed the prohibition of 1552 A.D. An additional clause was appended referring to an Act of Parliament which gave the Queen power by her Royal prerogative "to take other order." "Provided always, and be it enacted, that such ornaments of the Church and of the ministers thereof shall be retained and be used, as were in this Church of England by authority of Parliament, in the second year of the reign of King Edward VI, until other order shall be therein taken by authority of the Queen's Majesty, with the advice of her Commissioners appointed and authorised under the Great Seal of England for causes Ecclesiastical, or of the Metropolitan of this Realm." "And also that if there shall happen any Contempt or Irreverence to be used in the Ceremonies or Rites of the Church, by the Misusing of the Orders appointed in this Book, the Queen's Majesty may, by the like advice of the said Commissioners or Metropolitans, ordain and publish such further Ceremonies or Rites, as may be most for the Advancement of GOD'S glory, the Edifying of His Church, and the due Reverence of Christ's Holy Mysteries and Sacraments." When these clauses are read together (and they were printed as one in all the Elizabethan Prayer-books) it points to the interpretation of the objects of the provision being in both cases rather a development than a restraint or modification of Ceremonial. The words of administration. The third was the happy combination, as we have it now, of the two clauses in the Form of administration of the Elements: the first only having been used in the First Prayer-book, the second only in the Second. The Black Rubric. The fourth and last of any real import was the striking out of the "Black Rubric," which, the Queen insisted, had been illegally foisted into the Prayer-book after the revision was completed. [159] Such were the changes, exhibiting a marked determination of the Revisionists to recover from the retrograde movement of the close of Edward's reign. That the whole ground was not regained is not so in such a matter of surprise, as that, in the face of such opposing forces, they were able to regain so much. Even after Parliament had given legal force to the re-establishment of the reformed worship, efforts were made to stay the execution. The Queen was inexorable, and before the term of respite expired she resolved to summon the discontented Prelates into her presence and declare her unalterable resolve. Her Privy Council was called. The Queen meets her Privy Council. [160] and the whole Episcopal order and other ecclesiastics of distinction; and Archbishop Heath rose in the name of GOD and the Church he represented, to entreat her even at the eleventh hour to reconsider her determination: and in a speech full of foreboding predicted the consequences if the See of St. Peter should cease to be obeyed. The Queen replied with a dignity and calmness that fills us with wonder in one so

young before such an assembly, and the words she used have become so familiar on her lips, as almost to have lost their original application: "As for me and my house, we will serve the LORD," [161] adding, "My aim is to bind myself and my people to Christ, the King of kings, and not to the Roman See." And the Assembly broke up. Within six weeks from that date, Breviary and Missal were superseded, and Forms of worship in which the laity were enabled to take an intelligent part restored to the Churches. But the Queen was not satisfied to leave the enforcement of the Act to be carried out in the ordinary way. Before the year closed she issued a body of "Injunctions" to insure conformity in some essential particulars. Let me mention two by way of illustration. The first was for the promotion of music in Divine Service. Recognising its value not only as a vehicle of praise but as a help to devotion, she made provision for the due maintenance of singing men and children, with a wise precaution that the service should not be made thereby less intelligible. And for the special comfort of those who delighted in music, she enjoined that at the beginning and end of Common Prayer a hymn or song in praise of Almighty GOD should be sung in the best melody that could be conveniently devised. Could she have foreseen that under the shield of her royal sanction, the barbarous strains of Sternhold and Hopkins would thrust out even the "Te Deum" and "Magnificat," she would have hesitated to pen such an injunction. The second direction was to insure becoming reverence in the outward gesture of the worshipper: and she embodied a general principle in the following orders [162] which dealt with a familiar case: "That whensoever the Name of Jesus should be pronounced in any lesson, sermon or otherwise in the Church, due reverence should be made of all persons young and old, with lowliness of courtesy, and uncovering of the head of the men kind, as thereunto did necessarily belong and heretofore hath been accustomed." It shocks our ideas of reverence to hear of men having their heads covered in a consecrated building, but the practice was general at this time. Whether it was confined to the hearing of the sermon only, or extended to the whole service, is doubtful. The well-known picture in the Palace of Ely, representing the funeral of Bishop Cox, exhibits the whole congregation wearing their hats within the choir. Anglican worship fully restored. And with the Act of Uniformity, passed April 28, 1559 A.D., and the Injunctions which followed, the Anglican Reformed worship, with the Ritual of Edward's early years, was in the main re-established. The priests, according to the service in which they were engaged, were free to wear the Edwardian vestments: outward reverence for holy things and places and for the Sacred Name was revived: and music, wherever it could be had, lent added beauty to the service of GOD'S House. And, what was of no little importance, the Queen herself, by whose happy efforts these results had been mainly attained, was careful to set before her subjects a fitting pattern of the worship which she desired to be offered throughout her dominions. The Royal Chapel was a model to all Churches, in furniture and ornaments, as well as in the frequency and the reverential conduct of its services. Accepted by the Roman party. But how far was the copy imitated? In proof that in many places it was done with no little success, we may appeal to the fact that multitudes of Roman Catholics, to whom the absence of Ritual would have been intolerable, were, if not satisfied, yet at least able to worship in our Churches. The Queen [163] writing some years after testifies to this: many of the nobility, who still remained true to Papal allegiance, she says, "did ordinarily resort in all open places to Divine Services in the Churches without contradiction or show of misliking." And if the higher classes did deliberately accept the Reformed worship, the common people very probably did the same unconsciously. The changes not felt at first. It is almost certain that in many parishes the transition was practically unobserved by the congregation. The altars were vested very much as under the

Marian rule, the "ornaments of the minister," which the Elizabethan Revision enjoined, were not so divergent from the Roman as to strike the eye, while the gestures, the manner of the officiant priests, the intonation of the voice, all would in the nature of things remain the same, for no direction was given for change in any of these points. The real change was effected in the substance and doctrine of the Liturgy, but as it had been recited for six years in Latin, which was quite unintelligible to the masses, it is highly improbable that they would recognise the modifications. The only alteration which they would be certain to realise, they must have hailed with satisfaction and delight, viz., the substitution of the tongue which they spoke themselves, in place of one which, from their utter inability to comprehend it, had made their worship a cold and lifeless formality. But it would have been far too much to expect that such acquiescence would be universal. Opposition at length aroused. In places opposition would be stirred up and fostered by the priests, who hated the Reformation, and outbreaks of rebellion, for the restoration of the Roman Faith and worship, were by no means infrequent. That which assumed perhaps the most dangerous proportions was headed by the Earls of Northumberland and Westmorland, [164] "the hereditary leaders of the North," we may add also "the hereditary chiefs of English Revolution." It reached its height in Durham, when they strode defiantly into the Cathedral with a crowd of followers armed to the teeth, headed by a massive Crucifix, and the old banner of the Pilgrimage on which the five Sacred Wounds were emblazoned. They tore the English Bible and Prayer-book to pieces: set up the ancient altar, replaced the holy water vessel, and then, as the historian relates, "amidst tears, embraces, prayers, and thanksgivings, the organ pealed out, the candles and torches were lighted, and the mass was said once more in the long desecrated aisles." [165] This rebellion however, like the rest, was crushed, and the Roman worship driven out. Then came the Papal Bull [166] of Excommunication against the Queen, and no Romanist was suffered any longer to worship in the English Church. But there was a party in England from whom the Elizabethan Reforms had more to fear than from any threatened rebellion of discontented Papists. Causes contributing to the advance of the Puritans. The Puritans had come in like a flood, and Acts and Injunctions and Royal proclamations proved powerless to stay their advance. Multitudes of important posts in the Church suddenly fell vacant. There had been an unprecedented mortality among the Bishops; the Plague had entered their Palaces, [167] and no less than nine had died, as Fuller puts it, to form "the death-guard" of Queen Mary. The rest, with a single exception, [168] refused either the oath of Supremacy or the Act of Uniformity, and were deprived. And not only those in the highest office, but many Deans, and Archdeacons, and other dignitaries shared a similar fate. And what followed? Their places were far from being adequately filled. In the dearth of competent men of Catholic views, there was no alternative but to draw from the Protestant ranks. Men were appointed with strong Puritan tendencies, not only satisfied with a meagre ritual, but pledged in principle to encourage it. Bishops like Scambler at Lincoln, Pilkington at Durham, Sandys at Worcester, and even Grindal in London, made no show even of enforcing the Act, but lent all the aid of their countenance to nonconforming clergy, till in many parts almost every feature of Catholic worship was obliterated. [169] The Queen's rapacity. And then there was another cause contributing largely to the same untoward result, for which the Queen herself must be held responsible, we mean the impoverishment of the Church. There is a noble protest among Whitgift's Letters, [170] which must be remembered to his honour: "Madam," he writes, "religion is the foundation and cement of human societies: and when they that serve GOD'S Altar shall be exposed to poverty, then religion itself will be exposed to scorn and become contemptible; as you

may already observe it to be in too many poor vicarages in this nation. And therefore as you are by a late Act or Acts of Parliament entrusted with a great power to preserve or waste the Church's lands, yet dispose of them, for Jesus' sake, as you have promised to men and vowed to GOD, that is, as the donors intended : let neither falsehood nor flattery beguile you to do otherwise; but put a stop to GOD'S and the Levite's portion, I beseech you, and to the approaching ruins of the Church, as you expect comfort at the last day; for kings must be judged." Many a Bishopric was sequestered. Ely, for example, was vacant for twenty years after the death of Cox, and his successor Heton found the estates of the See frightfully curtailed. And Elizabeth seized the revenues with unblushing rapacity, and appropriated them with unaccountable inconsistency, to enrich courtiers like Cecil and Leicester, as well as herself. The richest endowments were the first to suffer. The Cathedrals soon presented an appearance of most appalling neglect. The only sign of life among the Deans and Canons was the principle of self-interest, with which the example of the Queen had infected them. They suffered the daily services to cease: the altars to be stripped: flagons and chalices stood on their side-boards; and the copes and vestments were slit into gowns and bodices for their wives and children. In the towns and villages things were but a few degrees better. The Parish Priests who conformed and retained their benefices, made a struggle to maintain at least the decencies of Ritual, but at last, "drawing foul ensample from fair names," they became like the rest. The Puritan clergy, to whom even a surplice was an abomination, could hardly be expected to check the prevailing desecration. The neglected condition of the Churches. So early as 1561 A.D. we read in a legal document, [171] in which there is no probability of exaggeration, of the deplorable state to which the Chancels were reduced. "In sundry Churches and Chapels . . . there is such negligence and lack of convenient reverence used towards the comely keeping and order of the said Churches, and specially of the upper part called the chancels, that it breedeth no small offence and slander to see and consider, on the one part, the curiosity and costs bestowed by all sorts of men upon their private houses, and, on the other part, the unclean or negligent order and spare keeping of the house of prayer, by permitting open decay . . . and by appointing unmeet and unseemly tables, with foul cloths, for the Communion of the Sacraments, and generally leaving the place of prayer desolate of all cleanliness and of meet ornaments for such a place whereby it might be known a place provided for Divine service." Much of this deplorable neglect was inherited from the close of Edward's reign. The change of Altars into Tables and also of their position in the Churches had almost necessitated the disuse of the rich vestments in which they had been clothed. To replenish his exhausted coffers the King issued a Commission with power to seize upon the plate and hangings and other furniture and ornaments which, it was said, being no longer available for their original purpose, would be better appropriated than suffered to fall into decay. The demolition of images too had led to a great defacement of Churches and Chapels; and the east wall in many cases, from having been a favourite position for sculpture, presented a ruinous appearance, while no attempt at restoration had been made during the Marian rule. This state of neglect, which the Romans, with all their love of the externals of religion, had done nothing to correct, was aggravated by the carelessness of the Elizabethan clergy, and the Preamble of the Queen's "Order" to her Commissioners is a terrible revelation. The object of the injunctions. Her Injunctions were issued not merely to stay further desecration but to recover what was lost. In destroying the Roods, the Screens on which they were placed had away: but former, she to efface the distinction between the Chancel and the Nave [172] should not be acknowledged, and she peremptorily ordered that the partitions should

be replaced. Further to hide the disfigured wall above the Altar, she directed that the Table of the Decalogue should be set up. In Cathedrals, "the exemplary Churches," [173] they were to be embellished with "costly painting," but in Parish Churches where poverty was sure to be pleaded, printed copies pasted upon board were sanctioned. We could hardly have a more forcible and telling description than is given by the fact that what bore no more traces of beauty than a modern "School Board Time Table" should have been accounted as a "comely ornament," calculated to recover something of the reverence in which the Chancel had once been held. But the Injunctions failed to stay the progress of decay, and the Worship of GOD and everything connected with it fell into contempt. Even Convocation shared the indifference of the times, and a proposal to abolish some of the simplest ceremonies [174] was only rejected by fifty-nine to fifty-eight votes. Then came the Advertisements, 1566 A.D. They were an honest attempt of the Archbishop to enforce the laws which were everywhere persistently broken. Even the surplice had been discarded in the administration of the Holy Communion, and some received kneeling, some standing, some sitting. The superficial reader will be struck with the triviality of the points at issue, the use of a dress, the sign of the Cross, the outward reverence at the Sacred Name; but the discerners of the times knows that in the greatest struggles the immediate battle is often fought over apparent trifles, and sees here that the conflict was in reality between antiquity and novelty, between the voice of the Church and private judgment, between Catholic truth and sectarian error. The result of the first attempt to enforce Uniformity proves how necessary an appeal to force had become. The London Clergy. When the London clergy were summoned before the Primate and the Bishop of London, no less than thirty-seven out of ninety-eight, more than one-third, refused compliance, and their livings were sequestrated. Of the Universities, the natural feeders of the Ministry, Oxford, after the suppression of the Roman influence, to which it yielded itself up in Queen Mary's reign, became "Calvinistic in the extreme." Sampson, Dean of Christ Church, and Humphrys, the President of Magdalene, came back from exile, and soon succeeded in creating a reaction. Their party was reinforced shortly after by the institution of a new Divinity Professorship, to which the Secretary of State appointed Dr. Rainolds, "a learned and rigorous Puritan." [175] Cambridge too, though traditionally less liable to fluctuations than the sister University, passed rapidly from Roman under Puritan influence, and fanatical preachers excited the undergraduates to rise in rebellion against the operation of the Act for Uniformity of worship. Many of the Heads of Houses took an active part in the "Vestiarian controversy," and gained the nickname of "cap and surplice fanatics." [176] Others vented their Protestant spleen in stripping their Chapels of every vestige of beauty and ornament, and many fine paintings and stained glass windows fell victims to their iconoclastic zeal. Then came the libellous acts of Martin Mar-prelate, [177] which fostered the spirit of insubordination to the last degree, and the evil genius of the University, Thomas Cartwright, appeared to add to the confusion. [178] The evil influence of Cartwright. It would be impossible to name any one who did more to impregnate that generation with an uncatholic system of Theology, and to stereotype in the Schools of the clergy principles which aimed at divesting the Worship of the Church of all that was attractive and beautiful. His Lecture-room was thronged by admiring students, and his sermons were so popular that "the very windows were taken out of Great St. Mary's Church that the multitudes might come within reach of his voice." But amidst so much that was sad and discouraging there was a gleam of sunshine and it must have gladdened the heart of the Queen before she died with at least the prospect of a brighter future for the Church which she loved. The opportuneness of Hooker's writings. The Protestant invasion had stifled the "new

learning" which was born when the century began. It breathed again in the immortal pages of Hooker when the century closed. The Puritan rested the authority for the doctrines and worship of the Church upon the narrow ground of express Scripture direction. Nothing whatever, he said, in faith or practice may claim our acceptance, or has even any right to receive it, unless it is clearly laid down in GOD'S written Word. Hooker [179] showed that this narrow ground must be abandoned, and that "a divine order exists, not in written revelation only, but in the moral relations, the historical development, and the social and political institutions of men," and he claimed for human reason the province of determining the laws of this order. "The Ecclesiastical Polity" was exactly what was wanted in the crisis, and though the impression which it made was not immediately felt, it was deep and lasting. It informed the minds of men like Overall, and Andrewes, and Laud, and Cosin, and a great host of others who drew from its pages the spirit which gave them courage to meet the onslaught of the Commonwealth, and enabled them to raise the Church from her temporary overthrow, and place her securely in that position from which every effort has been powerless to dislodge her.

CHAPTER IV: The Caroline Settlement

The Parish Churches of England experienced a second revolution in their worship at the beginning of the Long Parliament: but of a very different nature from that which ensued upon the accession of Queen Mary. The bitter hostility to the Rites and Ceremonies of the Church which had been gathering for many years culminated in 1645 A.D., when a vote of the House established the Directory "for the public worship of GOD in the three kingdoms," [180] and proscribed by fine and imprisonment the use of the Prayer-book, not only in Divine Service in Churches, but even in private dwellings. Men's feelings during the proscription of the Prayer-book. Henceforward the attachment of devout Churchmen to the forbidden Liturgy became greatly strengthened, and was regarded "with a degree of veneration such as is felt for a saint who has suffered martyrdom." Men were courageous enough to brave the consequences for the sake of that they loved, and in secret chambers met from time to time to worship GOD according to the old ceremonies and the prayers of their fathers. We know of hardly anything sadder than the few scattered notices in Evelyn's Diary. [181] These are examples. Advent Sunday: -- "There being no Office at the Church, but extemporary prayers after the Presbyterian way, for now all forms were prohibited and most of the preachers were usurpers, I seldom went to Church upon solemn feasts, but either went to London, where some of the orthodox sequestered Divines did privately use the Common Prayer; . . . or else I procured one to officiate in my house . . . on the 10th, Dr. Richard Owen, the sequestered minister of Eltham, preached to my family in my Library, and gave us the Holy Communion." Again he writes, "People had no principles, and grew very ignorant of even the common points of Christianity: all devotion being now placed in hearing sermons and discourses of speculative and notional things." And in one of his entries for Christmas Day, several of which strike the same note of sadness, he tells how with some devout worshippers, he was surprised in Exeter Chapel in the Strand by a troop of soldiers, who held their muskets against them as if they would have shot them at the altar, and kept them in confinement. And there is one more notice in the same journal which bears melancholy evidence to the condition of the Parish Churches: they "were filled with sectaries of all sorts, blasphemous and ignorant mechanics usurping the pulpits everywhere." And he sums up all in one pregnant line : "The Church now in dens and caves of the earth." The expected destruction of the Church. And in corroboration of all this we might point to the lamentation of Chancellor Hyde, where he bemoans the fact that Papists awl Puritans were both computing in how few years the enfeebled Church of England would expire. [182] But the Providence of God defeated their expectations. The death of the Protector and the deposition of his weak and irresolute son revived the hopes of the oppressed. The re-establishment of the Church was inseparable from the restoration of the Monarchy; but it was for some time a matter of anxious doubt whether her worship should be brought back in its integrity, or only when shorn of most of its ancient glory. Loyal deputation to the King in Holland. The Lords and Commons and the City of London sent a deputation to the King, who had taken up his abode in Holland, during his exile, to convey to his Majesty expressions of loyalty. Eight Presbyterian Divines [183] seized the opportunity for enlisting his sympathy, and succeeded in drawing from him the famous Breda Declaration, His manifesto. [184] to which they clung so pertinaciously but so hopelessly through

all their after troubles. He assured them that in consequence of the passion and uncharitableness of the times having produced diversity in religious opinions, by which men had become engaged in parties and animosities against each other, he would grant "liberty to tender consciences." There was some reserve in his promise which they did not examine very closely, viz., provided such differences did not interfere with the peace of the kingdom, and that Parliament were ready to sanction the indulgence. There is no doubt however that his manner was conciliatory, perhaps more so than he intended, for emboldened by their reception, they pushed on at a later interview to extract a promise that neither the old Liturgy nor the abhorred surplice should be reintroduced even in his own chapel for fear of giving offence to their brethren. The replied with no little indignation, "that Since he gave them their liberty, he should by no means resign his own; that he had always used that form of service, which he considered to be the best in the world, and he would have no other," and touching the minister's habit while officiating, he told them that it had been retained by him under more difficult circumstances and would certainly not be discountenanced now.

The return of the King. On the 26th of May 1660 A.D., Charles reached the English shores, and the following day the joyful sounds of the disused Liturgy echoed once more through the aisles of the metropolitan Cathedral at Canterbury. Under the date of July 8th, there is a brief entry in Evelyn's Diary, almost as full of hope as the last which we quoted from it was of sadness: "From henceforth was the Liturgy publicly used in our Churches, whence it had been for so many years banished." In the autumn of the same year, the King issued a second Declaration upon Ecclesiastical affairs. A second manifesto. It Was a repetition in the main of the less formal promise given at Breda, and conceived in the same conciliatory spirit towards Nonconformity. It contained much which would have curtailed very seriously the independent authority of the Episcopate; but this we pass by, as our present object is to deal with that part only which concerns the Worship of the Church. Pending a revision of the Prayer-book full liberty was granted to discontinue the use of it, as well as "the ancient ceremony" of bowing at the Name of Jesus, and the wearing the surplice, provided only that such liberty did not extend to those who ministered in Cathedrals and Collegiate Churches. Probably the King felt confident of the ultimate result, when the projected Council of Divines should have held their debates, and so was anxious to make temporary concessions, to avoid being charged with a breach of faith, and to save himself from alienating a large portion of his subjects at the outset of his reign. The unreasonableness of the Presbyterians. Each fresh concession, instead of satisfying the Presbyterians, made them wax bolder in their demands, till at last they completely overreached themselves, and, as we shall see, in the end lost everything by their grasping. It is often asserted that they received hard measure at the hands of their opponents; if it be true, it must be attributed in a great measure to their own disregard of the feelings and interests of others. The Church too was then rising after a long and severe depression, and it was only natural that as she found herself secure of the recovery of her ancient prerogatives, some of her ministers should feel but little sympathy for the alleged grievances of those, by whom in the hour of their triumph they had been so ruthlessly treated. Still further, it must be remembered that the differences were religious and doctrinal, and it was not a time for orthodoxy to yield even an inch to the demands of men whose teaching the Apostolic Church distinctly repudiated.

The proposals for a Conference. It was not till the spring of the following year that the King was able to carry out his intention of bringing matters to a final issue between the contending parties. Steps were then taken for submitting the vexed questions of Liturgical worship and ceremonial observance to the decision of a formally constituted assembly of

Divines selected in equal numbers from either side. No pressure of any kind was exercised in the selection, but each party was left free to name its own Commissioners. Twelve Bishops and twelve Presbyterian ministers with nine coadjutors on either side formed the deliberative Council from which so much was expected, so little realised. Twice before the disputant parties had been arranged on opposite benches, once at Hampton Court, [185] once at Westminster. [186] At all three meetings the subjects of debate were practically identical, but the circumstances under which they were debated, most widely different. When King James, in reply to the Millenary Petition, summoned a conference in 1604 A.D. to consider the Presbyterian grievances, the Episcopalians were in undisturbed power; and they took their seats under the Presidency of the King, who, they were secretly convinced, was strongly averse to any concession, as Ecclesiastical Commissioners appointed to adjudicate rather than to debate on terms of equality. The aggrieved party moreover were placed at a manifest disadvantage in point of numbers, having no more than four to confront an array of nine Bishops, seven Deans, and three others. And there was the same inequality at Westminster, 1643 A.D., but then the tables were reversed, the Presbyterians appearing in an overwhelming majority, outnumbering the representatives of the Church in the proportion of twelve to one, or even more. On the present occasion the champions of the two rival systems met face to face, equal in numbers, and not altogether unequal in intellectual power and learning; and as far as human judgment could foresee, there was every prospect of a fair trial of strength, and a full and unprejudiced consideration upon their merits of the questions to be debated. That these anticipations were not fulfilled was due far more to the unwisdom and unyielding spirit of men like Baxter than to any other assignable cause. The meeting in the Savoy. The place of meeting by the Royal Proclamation was the Palace of the Savoy. It was a spot rich in historic memories, and worthy of the occasion. In the noble Hall of the Master's lodging, looking out on the Thames, the Conference met for the first time on April 15, 1661 A.D. The representation of the Episcopalians. And now let us look at the portraits of the representative Divines of that eventful time, for such were those who formed that memorable Assembly. [187] Inasmuch as, to all outward seeming, the gravest issues for the future of the Church were likely to flow from its deliberations, we should have expected to see the Primate of England occupying the Presidential Chair, but Juxon was bowed down with the weight of years, -- years of such anxiety that they would have made a young man prematurely old, -- and he pleaded the infirmity of age as an excuse, deputing Sheldon. Sheldon, the Bishop of London, and by a happy coincidence also Master of the Savoy, to fill his place. His character has been severely criticised by Nonconformist historians, but he was far from deserving the wholesale condemnation which they have dealt out to him. We may find it difficult to maintain that the Episcopate suffered no loss in its sacred dignity from his public conduct, or that his spirituality and piety in private life were such as beseeems a Father in GOD, but it cannot be denied that he possessed many of the qualifications which fitted him for a post, in which he was called upon to control the discussions of men of such widely different opinions. He had mixed much with the world, and acquired in society a wonderful aptitude for discerning character: and with this shrewd discrimination and quickness of apprehension, he combined great courtesy in manner and gentleness of speech. He had the good fortune to be supported by Bishops and Divines, fully competent to maintain the honour and rights of the Church, men whose names have become familiar as household words in the world of Ecclesiastical Literature and debate. Cosin. Foremost in importance, not perhaps from every point of view, but unquestionably in connection with the subjects to be discussed, was Cosin, Bishop of

Durham. He was almost without a rival in any age for acquaintance with Liturgical lore, the decrees of Councils, and Patristic teaching. In his early days he had sat at the feet of Andrewes and Overall, [188] and afterwards, when Chaplain to the Bishop of the See to which he succeeded, he drank in the opinions of Laud and other like-minded Divines, for Durham house in London was the centre of high Ecclesiastical society. It was here that he gathered many of the "Notes," which were destined to play such an important part in the final settlement of Anglican worship. From the first he was exposed to obloquy, and for his efforts to restore the decency of worship in the Cathedral of Durham after his appointment to a Canonry, he was publicly delated as "a young Apollo who sets out the Quire with strange Babylonish ornaments," and for his zeal in reviving a fitting ceremonial at the Coronation of Charles I., he was contemptuously designated "Popish Master John." Unless it could be proved that he changed his views, his conduct during his exile at the Court of Queen Henrietta Maria is sufficient to acquit him of any tendency Romewards. When brought into contact with the Jesuits, he held frequent discussions with them upon doctrinal questions, and at last gathered up his arguments into a treatise in denunciation of their supreme dogma of transubstantiation. But whether the charges were wholly unfounded or not, we can hardly be surprised that he had made himself hateful to the Puritans, or that he should have been selected as the first Episcopalian to suffer vengeance by a vote of the Commons. [189] Such being his history, such his character, we can well imagine the dismay which the Presbyterians must have felt when they saw him taking his place in the ranks of their opponents at the Savoy. From him at least they could expect no concession; and though it was by no means in a spirit of retaliation, for he was of a most generous temper and the strictest sense of rectitude and justice, he did not disappoint them, but stood firm and unbending to the principles for which he had suffered. Morley. For the active part that he took in the proceedings, Morley, Bishop of Worcester, deserves to be noticed next. He had followed the fortunes of the King throughout the war, and had shared his banishment, and for his devotion to the Royal cause was selected as the fittest person to preach the Coronation sermon in Westminster Abbey. He was a most brilliant speaker, quick in reply, and of ever ready wit, but unfortunately of such a hasty temper that he often spoke without weighing his words considerably. It is said too that he was so impulsive that he manifested the greatest impatience of a sustained argument, and frequently interrupted a speaker from whom he disagreed. These failings materially damaged his influence and weakened the force of those qualities which should have made him the most formidable member of the Conference. As it turned out, others were more feared by the Presbyterians, but no one was more obnoxious to them: indeed they disliked him more than all the rest of his party together. Sanderson. Sanderson, Bishop of Lincoln, had qualifications, which placed him from time to time in the President's seat in the absence of Sheldon. He was a staunch upholder of the rights and prerogatives of the Church, when forbidden by the Commonwealth to read the Book of Common Prayer, he committed its pages to heart, and habitually repeated them from memory all through the times of the proscription. His reputation as a Casuist is such that his works on the Conscience are studied in the present generation. As a writer of English, he was almost unapproachable for the purity of his language, as the most familiar though by no means the best example of which, we may read the Preface to the Prayer-book which came from his pen. He was especially disliked by the Presbyterians for the scathing severity with which he criticised the Solemn League and Covenant. The portrait gallery of the Churchmen would be grievously deficient, if Pearson and Gunning were wanting, though they only acted as Coadjutors. Pearson. The former, as the

Theologian of the Conference, rises above all his fellows. The solidity of his learning and the cogency of his argumentative skill earned for him a reputation which the lapse of two centuries has hardly deteriorated. In Catholic doctrine, it is true, he took lower ground than Cosin or Gunning, but the extraordinary abilities which he possessed commanded the respect of his opponents, though they contributed not a little to their discomfiture. Gunning. The latter, Gunning, deserves a fuller notice. He was a scholar of no mean attainments, and being possessed of an unusually retentive memory and readiness of speech, was able to enforce his arguments by telling illustrations drawn from history and a wide experience. Among the uneducated, however, this fertility of allusion made him obscure and difficult; and Charles II. is said to have ridiculed the Court ladies for their admiration of his preaching, which he explained on the principle "omne ignotum pro magnifico." His views on Ecclesiastical questions were thoroughly Catholic; the Presbyterians stigmatised them as Roman, but they had been much irritated against him by his refusal to administer the Sacrament to Prynne, when he obstinately declined to kneel for its reception. As a polemic he loved discussion, and was in many ways the counterpart of Morley, with the same ready wit and quick reply. He differed, however, in that he combined great courtesy and goodness, with the utmost gravity and dignified control over his temper. It is recorded as an instance of his readiness that once he engaged in argument with an enthusiast whom he happened to hear declaiming on the immediate nearness of the Advent in the presence of a great crowd who were completely carried away with his words. Gunning, after trying in vain to turn them from their convictions by Scriptural arguments, seized upon an observation casually dropped to the effect that his opponent had lately invested in an estate, and offered him two years' purchase for the transfer. Taken off his guard, the man demanded twenty as its real value, and his converts left him. As a writer, he has left his mark upon our Service-book in the beautiful prayer for "all conditions of men." [190] We think no one can look at his monument in Ely Cathedral without being impressed with the majesty of his bearing, and the strength of character exhibited in his face, or stand upon the huge stone engraved with the pregnant title "Petrus Episcopus Eliensis," without feeling a profound sense of gratitude to him, as he recalls his history as of one of the saviours of the Church in her most troublous time. We turn now to those who represented the opposite party. By far the majority of them were men of distinction: a few stand out from the rest with names which would command the admiration of any generation in history: and at these we look more closely. Reynolds. The first, however, that attracts our attention is Reynolds, whose position as a Bishop is not a little remarkable. To sit as a Commissioner on the same bench with men who were ready to burn the Prayer-book, and to take his place in Convocation, which was almost sworn to defend it, is an anomaly almost without parallel. It makes us suspect his integrity, and is indicative of no little instability of mind and purpose. Though the Presbyterians were glad to avail themselves of his advocacy, he completely lost their confidence, when in later days he elected to retain his Bishopric and conform, while those in whose ranks he had stood, and who had looked to him for guidance, had the courage of their opinions, and were ejected. But whatever judgment we may pass upon him for his inconsistency, he has left a mark upon the Prayer-book, which the strongest Episcopalian can have no wish to efface, as the author of "the General Thanksgiving." [191] Richard Baxter. The moving controlling spirit of their party was Richard Baxter. One act of his, to be considered hereafter, will enable us to form a correct estimate of his character better than the most lengthy description. But we may sum up his faults by saying that he was far too self-reliant, seeing only with his own eyes and wholly incapable of understanding the position of an

opponent: and his good qualities, by pronouncing him absolutely without an equal in guilelessness and personal piety. Though his work in the Conference was in its spirit subversive of all that every loyal Churchman holds most dear, he has won our affections and healed many a wounded heart by the touch of his "Saints' Everlasting Rest." Calamy. Calamy gained great renown as a preacher, and had a larger following of distinguished persons than any minister in the seventeenth century. He was profoundly learned and conversant with writings not usually studied by men of his views, having read through (as his Biographers assert, though it can only be by a figure of speech) all the works of St. Augustine no less than five times, and being equally at home in the disquisitions of the Schoolman Aquinas. Lightfoot. Lightfoot, the last to be noticed, was the first of English Divines to penetrate deeply into the mysteries of Hebrew Literature, and to lay bare for the Christian the secrets of Rabbinic and Talmudic Science. Though two hundred years have elapsed since he entered upon the then-untrodden field, few, if any, have extended their investigations further. Such were the men who were called together at this crisis to debate and adjust the rival claims of the two systems of Church Government and Worship. The object of the Conference. The President of the Conference opened the proceedings by reciting the instrument under which they had been summoned. It enjoined them "to review the Book of Common Prayer, comparing the same with the most ancient Liturgies which have been used in the Church in the primitive and purest times: . . . to advise and consult upon the several objections which should be raised against the same, and (if occasion be), to make such reasonable and necessary alterations as should be agreed upon to be needful and expedient for the giving satisfaction to tender consciences . . . but avoiding (as much as may be) all unnecessary abbreviations of the forms and liturgy, wherewith the people are altogether acquainted and have so long received in the Church of England." The presiding Bishop ruled that the summons directed them to the consideration of exceptions and additions to the Prayer-book, and maintained that as the Episcopal party were well-satisfied with the Book as it stood, it was obviously the duty of those who were aggrieved to set forth their objections and to suggest such additional matter as they thought fit. He ordered also that, to insure full consideration, they should be laid before the Conference in writing. The Presbyterians, after many fruitless protests against a course which they foresaw would fetter the freedom of debate, yielded an unwilling assent, and agreed among themselves that the main body should undertake to draw up the exceptions, and leave to Baxter alone the compilation of the additions. The former work was speedily accomplished. The grievances had been stereotyped for years, [192] and only required to be placed in categorical order and expressed in the most trenchant terms. The nature of the exceptions. Those which related to Church Worship may be comprehended briefly under these heads: -- I. The mode of expressing both prayer and praise. II. The ceremonies attendant upon the same. III. The restriction of times for public service. The first claim put forward was for the omission of responses, and the alternative reading of Psalms, and for time consolidation of time divided petitions of the Litany into one continuous prayer. This struck at the root of a very important principle, and though the objectors hardly realised it, it would have debarred the laity from the right which they possess in virtue of their priesthood [193] of taking a recognised part in the public service. Of a somewhat kindred nature was their exception to separate Collects, which, usually embodying only one brief petition, were unnecessarily encumbered, each with a preface naming the attributes of GOD, as well as a conclusion appealing to the merits of Christ's intercession. It would be less interruption, they said, to the general flow of prayer to combine the subjects of several in one of greater length. Another claim under the first head was that the Liturgy should not

be so strictly imposed as to exclude the exercise of "the gift of prayer," and that liberty of curtailing the stated forms be granted in view of affording opportunity for extempore effusions at the minister's discretion. Under the second head they desired the abolition of the ornaments of the ministers and ceremonial usages, singling out for especial animadversion the wearing of the surplice, the sign of the Cross, and kneeling at the Holy Communion. Under the last, restricting public worship as far as possible to Sundays, they took exception to the observance of Saints' Days and Vigils, and pleaded for the discontinuance of the title of Holy Days by which they had been commonly designated. The Bishops feel their strength and resist concession. These objections [194] were laid before the Assembly at their next sitting. Written replies were drawn up, followed by rejoinders on the Presbyterian side, and time passed on without any advance being made towards union or reconciliation. The Bishops became daily more and more encouraged by a variety of circumstances to make a bold stand for the absolute integrity of their worship; and they assumed a more peremptory tone towards their antagonists. They were provoked to the last degree by the conduct of Baxter. In defiance of the terms under which they had been called together, in total disregard for antiquity, for the accumulated treasure of Liturgical forms, in many of which thirty generations had expressed their wants and done homage to the Creator, he was bold to substitute for the sanction of the Conference a Service-book of his own, [195] whose claim for acceptance he based upon the fact that it contained nothing in common with the existing Liturgy, with a Book, that is, which his opponents next to the Bible held dearest in the world. Baxter's Liturgy. The story of its composition, though it fills us with wonder, cannot but touch us by the simplicity of character which it betokens. He tells in his own words how, when the idea of a Reformed Liturgy had been conceived, he laid everything aside and shut out the world till he had carried the work to completion. "Hereupon," he says, "I departed from them and came among them no more till I had finished my task, which was a fortnight's time." While all the pomp and circumstance of Religious worship was breaking out with fresh vigour after long suppression, while every Rite and Ceremony which could enhance the splendour of the Coronation Service was being enacted in Westminster Abbey, a single divine in solitude and retirement, with no other help than his Bible and Paraphrase, was elaborating page by page a book which, in the infatuation of a beclouded judgment, he persuaded himself would be acceptable to the nation. And this, the result of fourteen brief days' labour, he did not scruple to propose as a substitute for one which had grown with the Church's growth, and rooted itself in the heart and affections of the people. The laying on the table of the Committee-room of that Reformed Liturgy did almost more than anything to wreck the Presbyterian cause. His work indorsed by his colleagues. It may be said that Baxter was only one, but his colleagues fathered his proposal, and so made themselves responsible for his act. That the adoption of a course so ill-timed, so devoid of all common sense, so certain to carry destruction with it, should have been even possible, is almost past belief. It is evidence of no little forbearance in the party in power that they did not break up the Conference in disgust at the revolutionary spirit in which their opponents were prepared to sacrifice most hallowed traditions, and at the self-confidence which demanded every concession from others, but refused to make any in return. A final attempt at agreement. However, after much written matter had been interchanged between them, the Bishops consented to a debate on equal terms. Three were chosen on either side, Pearson, Gunning, and Sparrow on one, Baxter, Jacomb, and Bates on the other. It is needless to tell with what result. We know the respective characters of the chief disputants, Gunning and Baxter, and no annalist is required to record the issue of a debate

between them. Cosin makes a definite proposal. Before, however, the expiration of the time to which the Session of the Conference was limited, Cosin made a final effort to gather up the threads of controversy, by calling upon the complainants to divide their objections to the Prayer-book, stating what they opposed as sinful, what as inexpedient. A subtle argument was carried on for some time, in which the Presbyterians attacked the Book as unscriptural, and therefore sinful, in eight particulars, [196] but it was as hopeless as the discussions which preceded it, and the Conference terminated, Morley and Baxter having consented to report to the King that they were all agreed as to the ends, viz., the unity, peace, and welfare of the Church, but after all their debates were disagreed on the means. During the sittings or shortly after, several events occurred which tended greatly to the re-establishment of the ancient Forms of worship in the Church. Divers causes contributing to the restoration of Episcopacy. I. The Coronation in Westminster Abbey. II. The burning of the Solemn League and Covenant. III. The passing by the house of Commons of an Act of Uniformity with the restored Prayer-book. IV. The introduction of a Bill for the return of the Spiritual Lords to their seats in Parliament. Let us look at them separately. The Ceremonial of the Coronation. I. As soon as the Coronation-day (April 22d) was fixed, the records of the past were ransacked to furnish precedents for all the details of the solemnity, that nothing in the way of Ecclesiastical pomp which had characterised similar occasions might be wanting. So strong in the minds of the King's counsellors was the reaction from the studied absence of Ceremonial which had marked the Commonwealth, that the Ritual exceeded in splendour and magnificence anything that even Westminster Abbey with all its tale of Ecclesiastical and Regal pageant had ever witnessed. The Presbyterians who were present must have heaved a deep sigh as they read the unmistakable evidence that Catholic worship was on the eve of full restoration, and that Episcopacy, which they had dethroned and trampled in the dust, would soon lift up its head on every side. It was an Episcopal ceremony from beginning to end. The Archbishop poured the anointing oil. A Bishop preached the sermon: a second read the Gospel, a third the Epistle. Bishops were foremost in the procession, and foremost in the reception of: Royal favour, chosen to walk at the King's side under a Canopy borne by the Lords temporal, and permitted to kiss the King's cheek before any one not of royal blood. No matter that Presbyterians had been placed on the list of His Majesty's Chaplains, [197] they were rigidly excluded from taking any official part in the proceedings. This was the first direct blow which their cause received. II. It was followed by a second quickly after. The Solemn League. The Solemn League and Covenant [198] pledged the Covenanters to uphold in this country the Reformed worship and discipline, which had been established in Scotland, and to extirpate Prelacy, which was said to be linked with superstition and heresy, and contrary to sound doctrine and the power of godliness. It had been accepted by the Assembly of Westminster Divines, when with circumstances of an unusual significance they had met, Sept. 25, 1643 A.D., in St. Margaret's Church under the shadow of the Abbey, and in the presence of the House of Commons, who adjourned to witness the solemnity, they lifted up their hands and swore to maintain its provisions. It was not enough that it had been set aside informally at the King's restoration; the nation must wash its hands from the stain, and the renunciation be as publicly marked as the acceptance had been. The House of Commons resolved that the ill-starred document should be destroyed in such a manner as to leave no doubt of their utter abhorrence of it. A decree was accordingly passed that a copy of it should be burnt by the public hangman in Palace Yard at Westminster, and another in the most crowded parts of the city, that all might see. [199] And the

journal [200] of the period describes the execution of the sentence: "The hangman did his part perfectly well, for having kindled his fire he tore the document into many pieces and first burned the preface and then cast each part solemnly into the flames, lifting up his hands and eyes, and not leaving the least shred, but burnt it root and branch." And the scene was reproduced in the provinces. At Southampton, [201] amidst the firing of cannon and public rejoicing, the hated scroll was plucked from a neighbouring Church, where it had been honoured with a stately setting in a conspicuous position, and thrown into the fire. At Bury St. Edmunds an effigy of a notorious criminal, who had been hanged, was paraded through the streets with a copy of the League fastened under his arm and the Directory in his hand, and after being subjected to every possible indignity was torn piecemeal and destroyed.

The Act of Uniformity.III. The third step towards the re-establishment of the old worship was the introduction of a Bill into Parliament to bring back the Book of Common Prayer.The very day after the King landed on the English shores, to the unspeakable joy of many who heard it, the proscribed Liturgy was read in Canterbury Cathedral, whither he turned aside on his journey to give thanks to GOD. Again, in the Houses of Parliament the old forms had been revived after the silence of well-nigh twenty years, and in many Churches where the incumbents sympathised with the Restoration the Directory was at once discarded, for though the law for its enforcement was not yet repealed, they had no misgivings that it might be broken with impunity. But the newly elected Parliament, Royalist and Episcopalian as they were in overwhelming numbers, were impatient to place everything connected with the worship of the Church in an unassailable position. So long as the Directory was sanctioned by the Statute-book, those who professed the Presbyterian Faith were free to use it without molestation. Such liberty must be curtailed without delay. On June 29th "A Bill for Uniformity of Public Worship and the administration of Sacraments" was introduced in the House of Commons. Search was made for the original manuscript of the Second Prayer-book of Edward VI. to be affixed to the Bill; but whether it could not be discovered, or whether it was discovered but proved distasteful to the promoters of the Bill, or from some other unknown cause, its intended place was taken by that of King James, as amended at Hampton Court. [202] The Bill passed its third reading on July 9th, and was sent to the Upper House. They, however, deferred the consideration of it, both because they wished to await the result of the Savoy Conference, and also from a feeling that such a question could only be discussed at a disadvantage till after the readmission into their body of the spiritual Lords.IV. And this brings us to the last measure, which paved the way so securely and effectively for the Caroline Settlement.The Bishops restored to their seats in the Legislature.In the first year of the Long Parliament a determined effort was made, and again and again renewed, to exclude the Bishops from their seats in the Legislature. Hatreds of them was stirred up and fostered by a variety of charges. On one occasion they were actually threatened with personal violence on their way to the House. They appealed for the protection of the law, and not satisfied with this, injudiciously went on to declare that any measure passed during their enforced absence would be null and void. They were at once impeached for high treason, condemned and sent to the Tower, and the Bill to deprive them of their privilege was hurried forward and passed its third reading within a few days, Feb.5, 1642 A.D.This was the history of their exclusion. When Charles II. returned the Bishops' Bench had been vacant for eighteen years.The House of Commons voted for the restitution of their ancient rights, but strangely enough, owing mainly to the hesitation of the King founded on some Papist misrepresentations, [203] it was Nov.20th before they were able to take their seats. To commemorate the event the King went to the House in person, and the junior

Bishop [204] was desired to open the sitting with prayer. The combination of forces was now complete, and the total discomfiture of the Presbyterian cause was only a matter of time. The results of the Conference. The result of the Savoy Conference was duly notified to the King. After waiting till October, he sent letters to the Primate to lay before Convocation, ordering them to proceed with the revision of the Prayer-book. They met on Nov. 21, and without delay nominated a Committee of Bishops to carry out the work. Considering the action which the House of Commons had taken, they regarded the business of pressing urgency, and directed that they should meet daily except Sundays till the revision was completed. It has been asserted [205] that the appointed Revisionists did not act separately, but that immediately after their appointment Convocation repented of having delegated its powers to a small body, and resolving themselves into a Committee of the whole House, proceeded at once with the work. The uncertainty of the course adopted by Convocation. There is unquestionably much uncertainty, but on the whole we are disposed to think that the appointment was not rescinded, but that the members of Convocation decided to sit simultaneously with the Revisionists, so as to consider without delay the recommendations of the Committee to be laid before them day by day. An incidental note in Sancroft's handwriting, in Cosin's "corrected copy," in reference to proposed alterations in the Communion Office, stating that "my lords the bishops at Elie House ordered all in the old method," seems inexplicable on the theory that the work had been taken out of their hands. Let us look in now upon the Committee of Revision. The place of meeting was the house situated in the Hatton garden of historic memory in connection with Queen Elizabeth's threat to unfrock the "proud Prelate." [206] The Committee appointed for the final revision. They were eight in number, Cosin of Durham, Morley of Worcester, Warner of Rochester, Sanderson of Lincoln, Henchman of Salisbury, Nicholson of Gloucester, Skinner of Oxford, and Wren of Ely. Sancroft was appointed to act as Secretary. Of the Bishops the first four had been members of the Savoy Conference. Wren. Of the remaining three Wren alone was greatly distinguished. Memories of the most touching interest cluster round his name. Perhaps no one suffered more persecution at the hands of the Puritans, and in the estimation of his persecutors he deserved even more than he underwent. From his early years he was fiercely opposed to dissent, and for this reason was translated from Hereford to the turbulent See of Norwich, where schism was rife than elsewhere. It is said that he ruled with such a high hand that its chief town was crippled in its manufacture and suffered great loss of wealth from the immense emigration of weavers who sought liberty of conscience on foreign shores. [207] From Norwich he was transferred to the important diocese and Palatinate of Ely, owing his promotion to his knowledge of law, both civil and ecclesiastical, which was requisite for the office. His rigid enforcement of Church discipline, and his attachment to Catholic doctrine, raised bitter hostility against him during the Commonwealth, and after being subjected to a succession of calumnious slanders, he was impeached before the Commons for "high crimes and misdemeanours," condemned, and thrown into the Tower. Here he remained for eighteen years, so cheerful throughout and resigned to the seventies of his confinement that, as the Historian says, "the Church beheld his sufferings and saw by him that nothing in Christianity was impossible, and the world did almost pardon his enemies for the pleasure and benefit of his example." It was round his table at Ely House in that memorable winter that the Commissioners sat to establish for many generations the Liturgical forms and ceremonies in which the worship of the English Church was to be offered up. They carried out their work with such expedition that they laid themselves open to a charge of inconsiderate haste, but in reality the revision had long been

anticipated and prepared for with the utmost care and judgment. Revision made easy by Cosin's preceding labours. There was a great mass of well-digested material ready to hand, which had been accumulating almost from the beginning of the century. Wren [208] himself; in conjunction with Laud, had revised the Scotch Liturgy, and during his long imprisonment had weighed well the questions in dispute, particularly the deficiencies of the Anglican Ritual, always buoying himself up with the conviction that the time for a reaction was not far distant. But the man whose labours contributed most to the final result was Cosin, who had been named as President of the Commission. So early as 1619 A.D., he had made a collection of "notes" in an interleaved Prayer-book, and three or four [209] documents of a similar kind succeeded at intervals. The Revisers had little more to do than decide which of the proposed alterations should be accepted, and desire their Secretary to note down their decision for the approval of Convocation. A careful comparison of "the notes" with the Book as finally published shows that about ninety in every hundred alterations were in accordance with Cosin's suggestions. There was a departure from the ordinary rules in respect to the Northern Convocation. In consequence of the difficulties and delay in transmitting messages between the North and South, the habit of discussing the questions separately was broken through, and deputies were sent from York, to sit and vote in the Houses of Canterbury. The changes very numerous. When the Revision was finished it was found that six hundred changes [210] great and small had been made. The doctrinal changes were by no means numerous, but, such as they were, they testified definitely to the Catholic spirit of the Revision. Doctrinal changes. The "priesthood" was more distinctly marked. At the Savoy Conference, the Presbyterians had pleaded for the substitution of "minister" throughout the rubrics in place of "priest." The object of their request was fully understood, for it went to the very root of the dissensions between the Church and Nonconformity. "No Priest, no Church" was a maxim which had been handed down from St. Jerome's [211] time, and the Bishops might have appealed to it with no little force, but they replied with calmness and simplicity that it was "unreasonable that the word minister should only be used in the Liturgy, since some parts might be performed by a deacon, others by none under the order of a priest, viz., absolution and consecration; it was fit therefore that some such word as priest should be used for these offices, and not minister, which signified at large every one that ministered in that holy office of whatsoever order he might be." [212] And now the Committee determined to place the meaning of the Bishop's reply beyond dispute. They displaced "minister" and "pastor" and substituted "priest" [213] in two important places. The Absolution was henceforward to be pronounced by a "priest," and the suffrage in the Litany for "Bishops, pastors, and ministers," was in future to be made for "Bishops, priests, and deacons." Again, the Presbyterians in their arguments for the identity of the office of Bishop and Priest had laid stress on the fact that no distinction of functions was recognised in the Ordinal. The old form in the Consecration of a Bishop, "Take the Holy Ghost, and remember that thou stirre up the grace of GOD which is in thee by imposition of hands," was altered to the present form: "Receive the Holy Ghost, for the office and work of a bishop in the Church of GOD." [214] A corresponding addition was made to the words used in the ordering of Priests: viz., "for the Office and Work of a Priest." In the Prayer for the Church Militant, [215] though they were unable to recover all that had been lost by the omission of a prayer for the dead with which it closed in the First Prayer-book of Edward VI., they took an important step for vindicating a recognition of "the Communion of Saints" by inserting the beautiful thanksgiving for the life and example of those who had departed in the faith and fear of GOD. The Presbyterians had conceived a dislike for the title

of "Church," and adopted "congregation" instead. No less than four [216] changes were made in connection with this to avoid even the slightest suspicion, to which the adoption of the latter term might have given rise, in favour of the Presbyterian form of Church Government. In the Communion Office, other changes were introduced. Provision was made for the "Lesser Oblation," the presentation of the Elements on the Altar, by prefixing the rubric to the Prayer for the Church Militant, "and when there is a Communion, the Priest shall then place upon the Table as much Bread and Wine as he shall think sufficient," and further by inserting the word "oblation," to be used in the prayer itself, of the Elements after their dedication to GOD. Again, greater reverence was shown for that portion of the Consecrated Bread and Wine which remained unconsumed, by a direction that the same should be covered "with a fair linen cloth;" and also by the introduction of the sixth of the final rubrics, ordering that "if any remain of that which was consecrated . the Priest and such other of the Communicants as he shall then call unto him, shall, immediately after the Blessing, reverently eat and drink the same." The Black Rubric reintroduced in an altered form. It has often however been maintained that the reintroduction of the "Black Rubric," or the "Declaration of Kneeling" may well be set over against all the alterations which were made in a Catholic direction; but such a theory will be found untenable when subjected to examination. It was no doubt originally introduced into the Second. Prayer-book of Edward VI. as a concession to the Puritan party. There is therefore some prima facie force in the above argument, but it is entirely destroyed by the alteration of the wording which the Revisionists made before reinserting it. On its first appearance it ran thus: "We do declare that thereby (i.e. by kneeling) no adoration is intended . . . unto any real and essential presence there being of Christ's natural Flesh and Blood." On its reintroduction by the Caroline Revisionists it was worded, "unto any Corporeal Presence of Christ's natural Flesh and Blood." The first traversed the Catholic doctrine of a Real Presence: the second simply denied Transubstantiation. This Declaration, which has been interpreted as a concession to the Presbyterians, who shrank from kneeling on doctrinal grounds, was really couched in such well-chosen language, that while it appeared conciliatory to them, it in no way discredited the highest Sacramental teaching of the Anglican Church. Such were some of the chief changes resulting from the last revision. It has been thought a matter for wonder that with Cosin in the Chair, and Wren to sympathise with and support him, the Committee should not have carried restoration further on the lines of the First Prayer-book. Cosin precluded from very extensive reform. Attempts we know were made, but unhappily without success. [217] Cosin had remodelled the Prayer of Consecration, introducing the Invocation of the Holy Ghost for the sanctification of the Elements, and had brought back the Prayer of Oblation to its proper place. The Revisionists, however, declined to accept his proposals. Their motive in doing so was not prompted by disapprobation, but by a desire to adhere as strictly as possible to their letters of instruction. And these were so unfortunately worded that they could hardly fail to be diversely interpreted. One party laid stress upon that portion which directed them "to compare the services with the most ancient Liturgies," while the other attached paramount importance to another portion in which they were ordered "to avoid all unnecessary alterations." The Committee finished their work, and the Revised Book was subscribed on December 20th. The Sealed Books. Measures were taken to insure its integrity being preserved. [218] Certain printed copies were carefully examined by a Committee appointed for the purpose, and each attested by the Great Seal of England. [219] Each Cathedral was ordered to procure one of these, and after having its name legibly stamped on the cover, to lay it up among its archives as an ultimate standard of reference in case of dispute. A

copy was also sent to the Tower, while four more were deposited in the several Courts at Westminster. It was an omen of sinister import for the part the Book was unhappily destined to play in legal proceedings, that it should be deemed necessary that each Court should be furnished with a copy of its own. The copy which belonged to the King's Bench has come down to us in good preservation, and retains that which was their distinguishing feature, viz., the Great Seal perfect, still attached by the original cords; the rest of the Legal Copies are preserved, though in a far less perfect condition, in the Public Record Office. Of those acquired by Capitular bodies, three, which are in possession of St. Paul's, Christ Church, and Ely Cathedral respectively, have been collated. The Act of Uniformity. The Act of Uniformity received the Royal Assent, May 19, 1662 A.D. And it was enjoined by Statute that the use of the Revised Book of Common Prayer should be obligatory upon all ministers after the ensuing Feast of St. Bartholomew (Aug. 24), before which date they were called upon to declare their "unfeigned assent and consent to all and everything contained and preserved therein." The King, felt scruples in signing the document, after the readiness he had so frequently expressed to grant "liberty to tender consciences," but he was overruled by the wisdom of his Parliament and Convocation, and the principles and worship of the Church were preserved whole and entire. The consequences. The result is known to every one. "Black Bartholomew" witnessed the ejection of eighteen hundred [220] Presbyterians who refused to conform. The terms perhaps unduly stringent. It can hardly be denied that there is some justice in the complaints of those who suffered, both as to the manner in which the operation of the Act was enforced, as well as to the stringency of the terms of conformity. The first proposal was that it should not come into force till Michaelmas, but the time was afterwards curtailed, and apparently not without malice prépense, in order that the nonconforming ministers might lose the tithes for the current year, the Feast of St. Michael being the day when they fell due. Again, the hardship was aggravated by an unwarrantable delay in not publishing the Revised Book till the beginning of August, so that the Presbyterians were kept in suspense as to whether they would be able to conform to it or not; it was actually said that in some cases assent was demanded before the Book had even been seen. [221] Baxter sets an example of constancy. One of the first to refuse was Richard Baxter. After the eagerness which he had shown at the Savoy Conference in attempting to supersede the Prayer-book entirely, compliance with it at this juncture would have exposed him to the reproach of every honest-minded man: and he lost no time in making his decision known, hoping that its publicity at this early stage would influence the conduct of others who looked to him for guidance. Reynolds yields. Reynolds, on the other hand, subscribed and retained his preferment. He was not so deeply committed as his friend, but it was a bitter disappointment to many, who would have rejoiced in the deposition of a Bishop as affording the strongest evidence of the force of Puritan convictions. The Presbyterian farewells. The Sunday preceding the ill-fated Saint's Day was commonly agreed upon for the pastors who stood firm to take leave of their flocks. Nonconformist writers have excited compassion by the graphic pictures they have drawn of the scenes enacted on that mournful day. Happily we may compassionate men in affliction without admitting the justice of their grievance. Calamy had gathered into his chapel, Sunday after Sunday, greater crowds than congregated anywhere else. Thomas Lye, Philip Henry, Oliver Heywood, Jacomb, Lamb, and many others were deservedly beloved, and their parting words drew tears of genuine sorrow from many eyes, but neither the faith which they professed, nor the commission which they bore as unepiscopally ordained, belonged to the Church whose offices and ministry they had unjustly usurped. The impossibility of reconciliation without sacrifice of great principles. It

is idle to talk of opportunities of comprehension lost, and bewail that men who might have been friends were confirmed in hostility; any compromise which would have satisfied them would have ruined the Church. It was not merely that they advocated a system of worship alien to long-established usage, but they claimed for the Presbytery a right which all through the Church's history has been the sole prerogative of the Episcopate. However much then we may be touched with the sufferings of the ejected ministers, we cannot call them wrongs, nor see how they could have been averted without surrendering fundamental doctrines, and severing the Church of the Restoration from the Church of the Apostles. The last settlement at the bar of history. The Caroline Settlement has amply justified itself, and proved the soundness of the principles upon which it was made. Criticism is well-nigh disarmed when we point to the fact that it has maintained its ground for two centuries and a quarter. It survived the shock of the Nonjuring Secession, it survived the deadness and coldness of the Georgian period, which would have destroyed the vitality of a weaker constitution, and has become in this generation the root and source of a new outburst of Catholic faith and zeal almost unequalled in the Church's history. We believe then that every loyal Churchman may look back to it with satisfaction. The Church passed through a crisis of almost unparalleled gravity. Her enemies were never more formidable either in numbers, or influence, or intellectual power. Happily it befell at a time when she was able to confront them at every point, and it is a matter for most grateful acknowledgment that with many temptations to yield for the sake of peace, her defenders maintained the contest to the end without making a single concession calculated in any way to compromise her position as a true and rightful branch of the ONE CATHOLIC AND APOSTOLIC CHURCH.

APPENDIX I. In the Gallican Liturgy.

In the Introductory Chapter we spoke of the earliest Form of Liturgical Worship traceable in the records of the British Church. The Gallican Liturgy brought into Britain. And while we attributed its adoption to the visit of Germanus and Severus, who were sent as a deputation from Gaul to help the Britons to combat the Pelagian heresy, we observed that it was highly probable that the Liturgy which they brought with them was modified in some particulars, yet for the leading features we turn to the Gallican Form as it was used in the country from which it derived, its appellation. It was superseded, we said, by the Roman in England at the Council of Cloveshoo, 747 A.D., but one of the effects of the Norman Conquest was the Gallicanising of the country, and many variations from the Roman introduced into the Sarum have been attributed to the national prejudices of the Liturgical Reformer Osmund, the Norman Count. Points of resemblance between the Sarum Missal and the Gallican Liturgy. One peculiarity pointing in this direction has lately been noticed. [222] In the Sarum Liturgy the rubrics are cast in the imperative mood instead of the present or future indicative, as is usually the case. Now we may fairly conjecture that this was adopted from the Gallican; we are unable to speak positively, because no rubrics have yet been found belonging to this Liturgy. But in the Sister-Liturgy, the Mozarabic, used in the neighbouring country of Spain, and bearing such a close resemblance in its structure that their common origin has never been doubted, this characteristic distinction is found: e.g., In the Sarum, Let the Priest say; in the Mozarabic, Let the Priest say; but in the Roman, The Priest says. The original source of the Gallican Liturgy. The Gallican belonged by origin to the Ephesine family of Liturgies, and was in the first instance connected with St. John. The Church of Ephesus established Christianity in Gaul at an early date, radiating in all probability from Lyons over a great part of the country. In the second century, 177 A.D., we find the Christians of Lyons and Vienne [223] writing to the Churches in Asia and Phrygia, and seeking sympathy in their sufferings like children from a common mother. The Liturgy of Ephesus, varying in some degree to suit the country, became the Liturgy of France, and continued in use there till Pepin first introduced the Roman chant and psalmody, and Charlemagne completely supplanted it by imposing the Sacramentary of St. Gregory, and issued an edict that this should be strictly adhered to. Our interest however is but little diminished by the knowledge that before the Conquest it had ceased to be used in Gaul, or by the fact that the eighth century witnessed the discontinuance of it in its Anglican form in this country. The manner in which the highest act of Worship was performed during those centuries when this land was being claimed for Christ, and the Church set up upon the ruins of Paganism, is well worthy of our careful consideration, and on these grounds we have subjoined an outline of the Gallican Liturgy according to the plan which, with considerable difficulty and perhaps some uncertainty, the best Liturgiologists have been able to construct. The following is an outline of the structure of the Gallican Liturgy: [224] -- An Anthem or Introit with "Glory be to the Father," etc. The mutual salutation of Priest and People, "The LORD be with you," etc. The Trisagion (in Greek and Latin), followed by Kyrie eleison. The Benedictus. A Lesson from (i.) the Prophets, (ii) an Epistle. The Benedicite. [225] The Gospel read at the Ambon, the clerks at the beginning making response, "Glory be to Thee, O LORD," and at the end, "Glory to GOD Almighty." Homilies,

Prayers, and Collect, post precem. Departure of the Catechumens. The Preface or address on the day, and Collect. An Anthem, during which was made the oblation of the Elements, and prayer for their sanctification. The recitation of the Diptychs, with prayers for the souls of the Saints named. Collects post nomina. Kiss of peace, [226] and Collect ad pacem. The Preface, i.e. the part beginning "It is meet and right," etc. The Tersanctus. The commencement of the Canon. The Consecration. The Collect post mysterium, or post secreta. The Fraction and the Commixture during the singing of an Anthem. A proper Preface. The LORD'S Prayer recited by the Priest and People. The Blessing, and the Priest's Communion. The Communicants approach the Altar. Two Collects, one post communionem, the other consummatio Missæ, with which the Service closed.

Appendix II. The Order of the Communion

On December 20th, 1547 A.D., an Act of Parliament was passed bearing the title, "An Act against such as shall unreverently speak against the Sacrament of the Body and Blood of Christ, commonly called the Sacrament of the Altar, and for the receiving thereof in both kinds." This was drawn up in accordance with certain recommendations emanating from the Lower House of Convocation. The Committee of Divines, who had been appointed to revise the Liturgy, issued in the spring of the following year, March 8, 1548 A.D., their first instalment entitled "The Order of the Communion." It provided not only for the restoration of the Cup to the Laity, but supplied them with a Service-book, which was to be used whenever they communicated. We have thought fit to print it at length, not only because it has been frequently referred to in the preceding pages, but because from the nature of circumstances it must be full of interest to all who desire to trace the growth of the English Liturgy. The following is the Order of the Service: -- First, the Parson, Vicar, or Curate, the next Sunday or Holyday, or at the least one day before he shall minister the Communion, shall give warning to his Parishioners, or those which be present, that they prepare themselves thereto, saying to them openly and plainly as hereafter followeth, or such like. The Order of the Communion Dear friends, and you especially upon whose souls I have cure and charge, upon day next I do entend, by God's grace, to offer to all such as shall be thereto godly disposed, the most comfortable Sacrament of the Body and Blood of Christ; to be taken of them in the remembrance of his most fruitful and glorious Passion: by the which Passion we have obtained remission of our sins, and be made partakers of the kingdom of heaven, whereof we be assured and ascertained, if we come to the said Sacrament with hearty repentance of our offences, stedfast faith in God's mercy, and earnest mind to obey God's will, and to offend no more: wherefore our duty is, to come to these holy Mysteries with most hearty thanks to be given to Almighty God for his infinite mercy and benefits given and bestowed upon us, his unworthy servants, for whom he bath not only given his Body to death, and shed his Blood, but also doth vouchsafe, in a Sacrament and Mystery, to give us his said Body and Blood spiritually to feed and drink upon. The which Sacrament being so divine and holy a thing, and so comfortable to them which receive it worthily, and so dangerous to them that will presume to take the same unworthily; my duty is to exhort you in the mean season to consider the greatness of the thing, and to search and examine your own consciences, and that not lightly, nor after the manner of dissimulers with God; but as they which should come to a most godly and heavenly banquet; not to come but in the marriage garment required of God in Scripture, that you may, so much as lieth in you, be found worthy to come to such a Table. The way and mean thereto is, The Order of the Communion First, That you be truly repentant of your former evil life, and that you confess with an unfeigned heart to Almighty God your sins and unkindness towards his Majesty, committed either by will, word, or deed, infirmity or ignorance ; and that with inward sorrow and tears you bewail your offences, and require of Almighty God mercy and pardon, promising to him from the bottom of your hearts, the amendment of your former life. And amonges all others, I am commanded of God especially to move and exhort you to reconcile yourselves to your neighbours whom you have offended, or who hath offended you, putting out of your hearts all hatred and malice against them, and to be in love and charity with all

the world, and to forgive other, as you would that God should forgive you. And if there be any of you whose conscience is troubled and grieved in any thing, lacking comfort or counsel, let him come to me, or to some other discreet and learned Priest taught in the law of God, and confess and open his sin and grief secretly that he may receive such ghostly counsel, advice, and comfort, that his conscience may be relieved, and that of us, as a Minister of God, and of the Church, he may receive comfort and Absolution, to the satisfaction of his mind, and avoiding of all scruple and doubtfulness : requiring such as shall be satisfied with a general Confession not to be offended with them that doth use, to their further satisfying, the auricular and secret Confession to the Priest; nor those also, which think needful or convenient, for the quietness of their own consciences, particularly to open their sins to the Priest, to be offended with them which are satisfied with their humble confession to God, and the general Confession to the Church; but in all these things to follow and keep the rule of charity; and every man to be satisfied with his own conscience, not judging other men's minds or acts, where as he hath no warrant of God's Word for the same

The Order of the Communion The time of the Communion shall be immediately after that the Priest himself hath received the Sacrament, without the varying of any other rite or ceremony in the Mass, (until other order shall be provided,) but as heretofore usually the Priest hath done with the Sacrament of the Body, to prepare, bless, and consecrate so much as will serve the people; so it shall yet continue still after the same manner and form, save that he shall bless and consecrate the biggest Chalice or some fair and convenient Cup or Cups full of Wine, with some Water put unto it. And that day not drink It up all himself, but taking only one sup or draught, leave the rest upon the Altar covered, and turn to them that are disposed to be partakers of the Communion, and shall thus exhort them as followeth. Dearly beloved in the Lord, ye, coming to this holy Communion, must consider what S. Paul writeth to the Corinthians, how he exhorteth all persons diligently to try and examine themselves, or ever they presume to eat of this Bread and drink of this Cup. For as the benefit is great, if with a truly penitent heart and lively faith we receive this holy Sacrament; (for then we spiritually eat the Flesh of Christ, and drink his Blood; then we dwell in Christ, and Christ in us; we be made one with Christ, and Christ with us): So is the danger great, if we receive the same unworthily; for then we become guilty of the Body and Blood of Christ our Saviour; we eat and drink our own damnation, because we make no difference of the Lord's Body; we kindle God's wrath over us; we provoke him to plague us with divers diseases, and sundry kinds of death. Judge therefore yourselves (brethren), that ye be not judged of the Lord; let your mind be without desire to sin; repent you truly for your sins past; have an earnest and lively faith in Christ our Saviour; be in perfect charity with all men; so shall ye be meet partakers of these holy Mysteries. But above all things you must give most humble and hearty thanks to God, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost, for the redemption of the world by the Death and Passion of our Saviour Christ, both God and Man; who did humble himself, even to the death upon the Cross, for us miserable sinners, lying in darkness and the shadow of death; that he might make us the children of God, and exalt us to everlasting Life. And to the end that we alway should remember the exceeding love of our Master and only Saviour Jesus Christ, thus doing for us, and the innumerable benefits which by his precious blood-shedding he hath obtained to us; he hath left in these holy Mysteries, as a pledge of his love, and a continual remembrance of the same, his own blessed Body and precious Blood, for us spiritually to feed upon, to our endless comfort and consolation. To him therefore, with the Father and the Holy Ghost, let us give, as we are most bound, continual thanks; submitting ourselves wholly to his holy will and pleasure, and studying to

serve him in true holiness and righteousness all the days of our life. Amen. Then the Priest shall say to them that be ready to take the Sacrament, If any man here be an open blasphemer, advouterer, in malice, or envy, or any other notable crime, and be not truly sorry therefore, and earnestly minded to leave the same vices, or that doth not trust himself to be reconciled to Almighty God, and in charity with all the world, let him yet a while bewail his sins, and not come to this holy Table, lest, after the taking of this most blessed Bread, the devil enter into him, as he did into Judas, to fulfil in him all iniquity, and to bring him to destruction, both of body and soul. Here the Priest shall pause a while, to see if any man will withdraw himself: and if he perceive any so to do, then let him common with him privily at convenient leisure, and see whether he can with good exhortation bring him to grace. And after a little pause, the Priest shall say, You that do truly and earnestly repent you of your sins and offences committed to Almighty God, and be in love and charity with your neighbours, and intend to lead a new life, and heartily to follow the commandments of God, and to walk from henceforth in his holy ways; draw near, and take this holy Sacrament to your comfort, make your humble Confession to Almighty God, and to his holy Church, here gathered together in his Name, meekly kneeling upon your knees. Then shall a general Confession be made, in the name of all those that are minded to receive the holy Communion, either by one of them or else by one of the Ministers, or by the Priest himself; all kneeling humbly upon their knees, Almighty God, Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, Maker of all things, Judge of all men; We knowledge and bewail our manifold sins and wickedness, which we, from time to time, most grievously have committed by thought, word, and deed, against thy divine Majesty, provoking most justly thy wrath and indignation against us. We do earnestly repent, and be heartily sorry for these our misdoings; the remembrance of them is grievous unto us; the burthen of them is intolerable. Have mercy upon us, have mercy upon us, most merciful Father; for thy Son our Lord Jesus Christ's sake forgive us all that is past; and grant that we may ever hereafter serve and please thee in newness of life, to the honour and glory of thy Name; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Then shall the Priest stand up, and turning him to the people, say thus: Our blessed Lord, who hath left power to his Church to absolve penitent sinners from their sins, and to restore to the grace of the heavenly Father such as truly believe in Christ; Have mercy upon you; pardon and deliver you from all sins; confirm and strength you in all goodness; and bring you to everlasting life. Then shall the Priest stand up, and turning him to the people, say thus: Hear what comfortable words our Saviour Christ saith to all that truly turn to him Come unto me all that travail and be heavy loaden, and I shall refresh you. So God loved the world, that he gave his only-begotten Son, to the end that all that believe in him should not perish, but have life everlasting. Hear also what S. Paul saith. This is a true saying, and worthy of all men to be embraced and received, That Jesus Christ came into this world to save sinners. Hear also what S. John saith. If any man sin, we have an Advocate with the Father, Jesus Christ the righteous: he it is that obtained grace for our sins. Then shall the Priest kneel down and say, In the name of all them that shall receive the Communion, this prayer following: We do not presume to come to this thy Table (O merciful Lord) trusting in our own righteousness, but in thy manifold and great mercies. We be not worthy so much as to gather up the crumbs under thy Table. But thou art the same Lord, whose property is always to have mercy: Grant us therefore, gracious Lord, so to eat the Flesh of thy dear Son Jesus Christ, and to drink his Blood, in these holy Mysteries, that we may continually dwell in him, and he in us, that our sinful bodies may be made clean by his Body, and our souls washed through his most precious Blood. Amen. Then shall the Priest rise, the people

still reverently kneeling, and the Priest shall deliver the Communion first to the Ministers, if any be there present, that they may be ready to help the Priest, and after to the other. And when he doth deliver the Sacrament of the Body of Christ, he shall say to every one these words following, The Body of our Lord Jesus Christ which was given for thee, preserve thy body unto everlasting life. And the Priest, delivering the Sacrament of the Blood, and giving every one to drink once and no more, shall say, The Blood of our Lord Jesus Christ which was shed for thee, preserve thy soul unto everlasting life. If there be a Deacon, or other Priest, then shall he follow with the Chalice; and as the Priest ministereth the bread, so shall he, for more expedition, minister the Wine, in form before written. Then shall the Priest turning him to the people, let the people depart with this blessing: The peace of God, which passeth all understanding, keep your hearts and minds in the knowledge and love of God, and of his Son Jesus Christ our Lord. To the which the people shall answer, Amen. Note, that the Bread that shall be consecrated shall be such as heretofore hath been accustomed. And every of the said consecrated Breads shall be broken in two pieces, at the least, or more, by the discretion of the Minister, and so distributed. And men must not think less to be received in part than in the whole, but in each of them the whole Body of our Saviour Jesus Christ. Note, that if it doth so chance that the Wine hallowed and consecrate doth not suffice or be enough for them that do take the Communion, the Priest, after the first Cup or Chalice be emptied, may go again to the Altar, and reverently and devoutly prepare and consecrate another, and so the third, or more likewise, beginning at these words, *Simili modo postquam coenatum est*, and ending at these words *Qui pro vobis et pro multis effundetur in remissionem peccatorum*, and without any levation or lifting up.

APPENDIX III In the Hampton Court Conference.

It may have been thought that, in treating of the crises through which the Book of Common Prayer has passed, we might have added a fifth epoch, and drawn out the details of its history at the beginning of King James I.'s reign. The reason which has prompted, us to relegate this to a brief and supplementary page against our inclination (for no episode could be found which lends itself so readily for description), is the consciousness that, in estimating the importance of its results, this period is found to be wholly unworthy of the attention which the rest are entitled to. The hopes of the Puritans revived on the accession of James I. Inasmuch, however, as it did leave its mark upon the contents of the Service-books, we have placed before our readers a summary of the proceedings of the Council, drawn in the main from original documents. [227] Upon the accession of James I. in 1603 A.D., the Puritans were full of hope that their grievances against the existing Forms and Ceremonies of Worship would receive a favourable consideration. Accordingly a Petition purporting to be signed by "a thousand of His Majesty's subjects and ministers," hence called "the Millenary Petition," in which they embodied their objections, was presented to him shortly after his arrival in England. It was couched in these terms: -- "MOST GRACIOUS AND DREAD SOVEREIGN, "We, the ministers of the gospel in this land, neither as factious men, affecting a popular parity in the Church, nor as schismatics, aiming at the dissolution of the state ecclesiastical, The Millenary Petition. but, as the faithful servants of Christ and loyal subjects to your Majesty, desiring and longing for the redress of divers abuses of the Church, could do no less, in our obedience to God, service to your Majesty, and love to his Church, than acquaint your princely Majesty with our particular griefs. "Our humble suit, then, unto your Majesty is that these offences following, some may be removed, some amended, some qualified: -- In the Church service: that the cross in Baptism, interrogatories ministered to infants, Confirmations, as superfluous, may be taken away: Baptism not to be ministered by women, and so explained: the cap and surplice not urged: that examination may go before the Communion: that it be ministered with a sermon: that divers terms of priests and absolution and some other used, with the ring in marriage, and other such like in the book, may be corrected: the longsomeness of service abridged: Churchings and music moderated to better edification: that the Lord's day be not profaned: the rest upon holidays not so strictly urged: that there may be an uniformity of doctrine prescribed: no popish opinion to be any more taught or defended: no ministers charged to teach their people to bow at the name of Jesus: that the Canonical Scriptures only be read in the Church." Subsequently the Puritans asked for a Conference of representatives to discuss the disputed questions. Such a course was vigorously opposed by the Universities as well as by the rest of the Episcopal Clergy, but the King, confident in his powers of controlling the debate, and thinking it prudent to yield to the wishes of so large a body, granted their request. The constitution of the Conference. The Conference was summoned to Hampton Court, where the King resided, for its first session on January 14th, 1604 A.D. The Divines selected to represent the discontents were Dr. Rainolds or Reynolds, and Dr. Sparkes, with Mr. Knewstubb and Mr. Chaderton. The advocates of the Church invited to take part were Archbishop Whitgift, eight Bishops, of whom Bancroft of London, Matthew of Durham, and Bilson of Winchester were chief, six or seven Deans, embracing

Andrewes, Overall, and Barlow, two doctors of Divinity, and one Archdeacon. The first meeting. On the first day the King did not invite the attendance of the Puritan representatives, but held a consultation with the Bishops and Deans on these subjects, Confirmation, Absolution, and Private Baptism, upon which he required information. The second meeting. Two days afterwards, January 16th, the aggrieved party were admitted to a discussion with a portion of their opponents. The King opened the proceedings by expressing his readiness to hear any objections which they had to bring forward. These were reduced by Dr. Reynolds to four, the last of which was aimed at the unfitness of the Book of Common Prayer to promote true piety. Judging from the following admonition of the King, the Bishops were disposed to take advantage of their position and not conduct the debate on fair terms. It was the conduct of Bishop Bancroft which called for his Majesty's interposition. "My Lord Bishop, something in your passion I may excuse, something I must dislike. I may excuse you thus far, that I think you have just cause to be moved in respect that they traduce the well-settled government, and also proceed in so indirect a course, contrary to their own pretence, and the intent of this meeting. I dislike your sudden interruption of Dr. Reynolds, whom you should have suffered to have taken his liberty; for there is no order, nor can be any effectual issue of disputation, if each party be not suffered, without stopping, to speak at large. Wherefore, either let the Doctor proceed, or frame your answer to his motions already made, though some of them are very needless." One of the objections which received much attention, as indeed it has done in other times besides, was the use of the Sign of the Cross in Baptism. The Sign of the Cross objected to. The King consulted with his Divines, and was satisfied of its antiquity from the learned testimony of Dean Andrewes, who appealed to the authority of the Primitive Fathers. But such evidence was of no value in the eyes of the objectors: even allowing that it had been in use, it had been abused, and that of itself was sufficient argument against the continuance. Dr. Reynolds called upon the King to follow the example of Hezekiah, who had crushed the brazen serpent to powder, because it had been perverted to idolatrous purposes. The King's reply is highly characteristic: -- "Though I be sufficiently persuaded of the cross in baptism, and the commendable use thereof in the Church so long, yet, if there were nothing else to move me, this very argument were an inducement to me for the retaining of it, as it is now by order established; for inasmuch as it was abused, so you say, to superstition, in time of Popery, it doth plainly imply, that it was well-used before Popery. I will tell you, I have lived among this sort of men, (speaking to the lords and bishops,) ever since I was ten years old, but, I may say of myself as Christ did of Himself, Though I lived amongst them, yet since I had ability to judge, I was never of them; neither did anything make me more to condemn and detest their courses, than that they did so peremptorily disallow of all things, which at all had been used in Popery. For my part, I know not how to answer the objections of the papists when they charge us with novelties, but truly to tell them, that their abuses are new, but the things which they abuse we retain in their primitive use, and forsake only the novel corruption. By this argument, we might renounce the Trinity, and all that is holy, because it was abused in Popery: (and speaking to Dr. Reynolds merrily) they used to wear hose and shoes in Popery, therefore you shall now go barefoot." "Secondly," quoth his Majesty, "what resemblance is there between the brazen serpent, a material visible thing, and the sign of the cross made in the air?" Thirdly, he was informed by the Bishops, and found their account true, that "the Papists themselves never attributed any spiritual grace to the sign of the Cross in Baptism." To say, that in nothing they may be followed which are of the Church of Rome, were violent and extreme." "Some things they do in that they are men, in that they are wise men,

and Christian men; some things in that they are misled and blinded with error."The next scruple was the wearing of the surplice: this, it was pretended, was a habit worn by the priests of Isis."This objection," the King said, "was somewhat new, because it was usually called a rag of Popery.' But granting the supposition, we do not live now amongst heathens, and therefore there is no danger of reviving Paganism."The third day of meeting.On the third day of the Conference, January 18th, the Bishops laid before the King the result of their deliberations upon the points on which he had consulted them when they first met. Thereupon his Majesty decided what alterations should be made in the Prayer-book, the exact wording being left to a small committee of the Bishops and Privy Council. The following may be regarded as concessions to the Puritans, though they were quite insignificant compared with the changes which were asked for.A few unimportant points conceded to the objectors.The Apocryphal Lessons were modified, and the title "Confirmation" was explained by the additional words, "or laying on of hands upon children baptized and able to render an account of their faith."Otherwise the Prayer-book strengthened.The grievances against vestments, the ring in Matrimony, and the Cross in Baptism were left unredressed. An explanation of the Sacraments from the pen of Overall, which must have been far from acceptable, if they rightly understood it, was added to the Catechism. Further, the title of the Absolution was enlarged by the addition of the words, "or Remission of sins." With all these decisions the Puritans who were present at the Conference expressed their concurrence, though their conduct in doing so was a disappointment to the body whom they represented.Forms of Prayer added.An additional Prayer for the Queen, the Prince, and other King's and Queen's children, with corresponding insertions in the Litany, was introduced, together with numerous Thanksgivings for diverse Benefits, -- For Fair Weather, For Plenty, For Peace and Victory, and For Deliverance from the Plague.The new translation of the Scriptures.By far the most important however of the results of the Conference was the appointment of a Committee of Divines to make a new translation of the Holy Scriptures. The suggestion was made by Dr. Reynolds, but some years elapsed before the plan was matured. On July 22nd, 1604 A.D., the King writes to Bishop Bancroft that fifty-four translators, to meet in various companies at Oxford and Cambridge and Westminster, had been nominated, and would shortly be prepared to proceed with their work. There was still further delay before the companies met, and the Translation was not given to the world till 1611 A.D. How far it became at once connected with the Services and worship of the Church is a disputed question. There is a statement on the title-page that it is "appointed to be read in Churches," but there is an entire absence of testimony to its having ever received any public sanction from Convocation or Parliament or the Privy Council or the King.

Appendix IV The Scotch Service-book

1637 A.D. The history of the Revisions of the Prayer-book during the Reformation period can hardly be considered complete without some account of that which was made for the Church in Scotland. [228] Its interest lies in the two facts, that it was the nearest approach to the First Prayer-book of Edward VI. yet made, and that, though failing in its immediate object, it had important results in its influence upon the Caroline Settlement in this country. King James's desire for a Liturgy. In 1610 A.D. King James turned his attention to the restoration of order and government in the Scotch Church, by the appointment of several Bishops and the recovery of Episcopal jurisdiction from the encroachments of the laity. His next step was to prepare the way for the introduction of an uniform Liturgy, but this was surrounded by so many difficulties that he was able to do little more than set an example by establishing the English Service-book in his private chapel at Holyrood. When Charles I. succeeded to the throne he determined to carry out his father's object, and intrusted the negotiations to Laud. Maxwell, one of the leading Scotch clergy, came over to England, and in opposition to Laud, who was anxious for the introduction of the English Liturgy, with a view to uniformity of worship throughout the King's dominions, pleaded for one compiled by his own countrymen. The arguments he used were that it would meet with a better reception, as the people were ill-disposed towards the English Church, and also that such a course would afford them the opportunity of obtaining a more perfect Liturgy, which, if lost then, might never recur. King Charles I. Encourages the project. The request was acceded to by the King, who in 1633 A.D. intrusted the compilation to a Committee of Scotch Bishops, of whom the chief were Spottiswood, Archbishop of Glasgow, Maxwell, Bishop of Ross, and Wedderburn, Bishop of Dunblane. It was arranged that the work, as it progressed, should be submitted for the approval of Archbishop Laud and Bishops Juxon and Wren. Juxon took little or no interest in the matter, but the other two threw themselves heartily into the revision, and examined the suggestions of the Commissioners with the greatest care and attention. The King too was most desirous of promoting the efficiency of the work, and not only took part in criticising the draft sheets submitted for his sanction, but made many provisions in Scotland, which he hoped would insure for the Service-book a ready acceptance. But unfortunately all his efforts were defeated. The King being ill-advised courts failure. The course he adopted, under the misguidance of his advisers, seems, as we look at it, to have been so shaped that no other result was possible. It may well be doubted whether under any circumstances a nation which was rooted in its attachment to such principles of Public Worship as John Knox had bequeathed to them, could have risen at once to the level of the First Prayer-book; but it is quite certain that they could not do it under such arbitrary compulsion as the King exercised. The first false step was the publication of the Canons [229] before the Liturgy, for one of them enforced, under pains and penalties, the use of Forms of Worship which were not even framed at the time. The next error was made when the Service-book after receiving the Royal sanction, was thrust upon the nation with the most inconsiderate haste, without any opportunity given either to the Clergy or the laity to examine or express any opinion upon it. The King's Proclamation simply appointed it to be read throughout the Churches on the Sunday following its first publication. [230] The Scotch Presbyters, apart from their innate dislike to

the use of any precomposed Form of Prayer, regarded the action of the King as an inroad upon the rights of the Church and roused themselves to resistance. The introduction of the Liturgy into the Cathedral was attended by a riot, which the presence of the Chancellor was powerless to suppress. The riot became a revolution. The scare of Popery was raised throughout the country, and soon the whole nation was in arms against the King. We need not follow the history of the civil war which ensued. Suffice it to say that the Liturgy and Canons were swept away, Episcopacy was abolished, and the "Solemn League and Covenant" was signed by every member of the Church under pain of excommunication. Laud himself thus sums up the history [231] "What way soever was taken, or in whomsoever there was a failure, this was certain in the event: the Bishops were deceived in their expectation of a peaceable admission of that Service-book: the King lost the honour and safety of that settlement: and that kingdom such a form of God's Service, as I fear they will never come near again." The interest attaching to the Service-book. But the Scotch Liturgy, as we said above, is full of interest on other grounds than its speedy and eventful rejection. Wren, who watched over its progress with unflinching interest, and made so many valuable suggestions to the Scotch Committee, must have been bitterly disappointed when its adoption in Scotland became utterly hopeless. The labour, however, which he had bestowed upon the revision was by no means wasted, for when, twenty-five years later, he was called to preside over the deliberations of the Caroline Revisionists, he fell back upon the results of his previous efforts, and had the satisfaction of embodying in the Final Settlement not a few of the rejected alterations. The following [232] may be taken as specimens in the Communion Office: -- "Then shall the Presbyter, turning to the people, rehearse distinctly the Ten Commandments." The principle of turning to the people when delivering to them a message from God, as distinct from turning from them when directing petitions to God in their behalf, is recognised in the Rubric at this place for the first time. It was introduced again in 1662 A.D. The Nicene Creed was to be said or sung as at present. The Alms were to be reverently brought in a bason and humbly presented, and set upon the Holy Table: -- a direction enforced almost word for word in the Rubric of the Caroline Prayer-book. In the Prayer for the Church Militant the following commemoration of the dead occurred, and we have no difficulty in tracing its spirit, and to a considerable extent its language also, in that which we now use: -- "And we also bless thy holy Name for all those thy servants, who, having finished their course in faith, do now rest from their labours. And we yield unto thee most high praise and hearty thanks, for the wonderful grace and virtue declared in all thy saints, who have been the choice vessels of thy grace, and the lights of the world in their several generations: most humbly beseeching thee, that we may have grace to follow the example of their steadfastness in thy faith, and obedience to thy holy commandments: that at the day of the general resurrection we, and all they which are of the mystical body of thy Son, may be set on his right hand, and hear that his most joyful voice, Come, ye blessed of my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world." The title "the Prayer of Consecration" is peculiar to these two Revisions. The marginal directions for "the manual acts" during the Consecration, which had been entirely omitted since 1552 A.D., were reintroduced in an enlarged form in 1637 A.D., and almost *totidem verbis*, with a further Rubric providing for the fraction, in 1662 A.D. The reverence paid to the unconsumed Elements, by placing them upon the Holy Table and "covering them with a fair linen cloth," was continued by the adoption of a Rubric to the same effect. Its Catholic teaching. In some particulars the Scotch Liturgy was in advance of the present English Form, Wren and Cosin not being able at the Final Revision to restore all that they wished. [233] It brought out more distinctly

the sacrificial aspect of the Holy Eucharist as well as the doctrine of the Real Presence. In illustration we quote the following instances: -- One of the early Rubrics provides that the Holy Table should be vested in a manner "meet for the holy Mysteries then to be celebrated," and should "stand at the uppermost part of the Chancel or Church," the force of which language is obvious. Another directs that the Presbyter "shall offer up . . . the bread and wine prepared for the Sacrament." On the sacrificial aspect of the Holy Eucharist. In the Prayer for the Church Militant when there was a Communion a clause was inserted which ran thus: -- "And we commend especially unto thy merciful goodness the congregation which is here assembled in thy Name to celebrate the commemoration [234] of the most precious death and sacrifice of thy Son our Saviour Jesus Christ." And the title "Memorial or Prayer of Oblation" was prefixed to the words, "Wherefore, O Lord and heavenly Father, . . . we thy humble servants do celebrate and make here before thy divine Majesty, with these thy holy gifts, the memorial which thy Son hath willed us to make," etc. Furthermore, its position was the same as in the First Prayer-took after the Consecration but preceding the act of communion. On the doctrine of the Real Presence. In support of what we said about its fuller recognition of the doctrine of the Real Presence, the following will suffice: -- The act of Consecration was immediately preceded by the Invocation: "Hear us, O merciful Father, we most humbly beseech Thee, and of thy goodness vouchsafe so to bless and sanctify, with thy Word and Holy Spirit, these thy gifts and creatures of bread and wine, that they may be unto us the body and blood of thy most dearly beloved Son." Again, the Benediction or Form of Administration consisted of the first clause only of that now in use: "The Body of our Lord Jesus Christ, which was given for thee, preserve thy body and soul unto everlasting life:" which was followed by the Rubric, "Here the party receiving shall say, Amen." The second clause, "Take, eat," etc., which was substituted for the above in 1552 A.D. to escape from the obvious conclusion that the Body of Christ was given in the Sacrament, was altogether omitted. Lastly, when all had communicated, it was provided that "he who celebrates shall go to the Lord's Table and cover with a fair linen cloth, or corporal, that which remaineth of the consecrated elements." Nothing could be more significant than this direction, especially when it is remembered that the "fair linen cloth" had acquired the name of Corporal because it was supposed to represent that in which Joseph had wrapped the body of our Lord. It would be interesting to dwell upon other features of this Liturgy, but we trust enough has been said to justify our inserting an account of it in a treatise which deals with the progressive history of the English Service-books.

APPENDIX V In the Directory.

The Westminster Assembly of Divines. As the Parliament grew in power and influence, they determined to submit all questions touching the Religious Worship of the country to an Assembly of Divines selected and appointed by their body. In view of obtaining for it a general acceptance they resolved to give it an air of wide comprehensiveness. Its members may be ranged in four parties: -- Firstly, The Episcopalians, [235] whose number, however, was naturally as limited as possible. Secondly, The advocates of the doctrines and discipline of Presbyterianism, [236] who formed the bulk of the Assembly. Thirdly, Some foreign Nonconformists, [237] who had settled chiefly in Holland. Fourthly, A Committee of Laymen, [238] taken out of the two Houses of Parliament. With the Assembly so constituted certain Scotch Commissioners [239] were subsequently associated. The whole number of members nominated was one hundred and twenty, but when their names were called over at their first meeting in the chapel of Henry VII. at Westminster, July 1, 1643 A.D., only sixty-nine presented themselves, and of these not a few appear to have withdrawn. The Church party retire. The Episcopalians, on learning the constitution and the objects of the Assembly, saw at once that their position as members was quite inconsistent with their loyalty to the King and their adherence to the Ecclesiastical Discipline of the Church. Their withdrawal has been regarded as a mistake, but their numbers were far too small to have influenced the decisions of the Council; and we cannot regret that their conduct has acquitted the Church of any, even the least, participation therein. And now let us look at the part which the Assembly took touching Public Worship. The Parliament resolved to abolish the Book of Common Prayer, and called upon the Westminster Assembly to frame a model for Divine Service. The publication of the Directory. The result of their labours was a book entitled "A Directory for the Public Worship of GOD, throughout the Three Kingdoms of England, Scotland, and Ireland," ordered by the Lords and Commons assembled in Parliament to be printed and published March 13, 1644 A.D., and again enforced under pain of forfeiture and penalties, January 6, 1645 A.D. As it superseded the Prayer-book and continued in use for a long period, and as it is not easy to be obtained, we have thought fit in this place to subjoin a general statement of its principles, as well as sufficient extracts from its directions to enable the reader to estimate it aright. The disregard of the true characteristic of worship. The first characteristic of this "model of Public Worship," is the insignificant part assigned to that which the Church has always regarded as the chief element, viz., Praise. At the very close, as though it were an after-thought merely, it is declared to be "the duty of Christians to praise GOD publicly by singing of Psalms together in the Congregation, and also privately in the Family," but only once in the order of Service (apart from a parenthetical note) is any direction given, and then in the most indifferent way, "Let a Psalm be sung, if with conveniency it may be done." When this slight notice of praise is contrasted with the minute and lengthy directions for prayer and preaching. it becomes only too patent how selfishness had completely subordinated the higher motives which ought to prompt the worshipper to ascribe honour to GOD simply and solely because it is due unto His Name. [240] The "gift of prayer provided for." The exercise of "the gift of prayer," which was one of the most urgent demands all through the Presbyterian grievances, was provided for on the most liberal scale. The Document, which

throughout is a manual of directions rather than a Service-book, contains detailed prescriptions and numerous suggestions as to the character of the ministers petitions, and it is not a little significant that those, which are to guide "the Prayer before the Sermon," occupy considerably more space [241] than all that bears upon the Celebration of the Holy Communion. The reading of Holy Scriptures. The directions for the reading of Holy Scripture are much less profuse. Of course none but the Canonical Books of the Old and New Testaments were admitted, and the long-standing prejudice against the Apocrypha was satisfied. The aversion to set Forms was carried to such an extent that the compilers seemed unwilling even to have a chapter of the Bible read unless it was accompanied by an exposition. For the Preaching of the Word, the power of God unto salvation, they laid down a series of rules, admirable enough in themselves, but out of place when forced into such prominence as to raise the value of the office far above any ordinance, saving that to which they allowed nothing to be subordinated, viz., extempore prayer. The Rite of Baptism. In the administration of Baptism, while laying the utmost stress upon the Rite as a "seal of the Covenant of grace," they provided against the Catholic doctrine of Regeneration, by asserting of those who come to receive the Sacrament, "that they are Christians, and federally holy before Baptism, and therefore are they baptized." The Sacrament of the Lord's Supper. In the Celebration of Holy Communion, their directions in one instance are more Catholic than we should have expected. The words which accompanied the distribution of the Elements were so framed as to exhibit no trace of a desire to exclude the Catholic doctrine of the Real Presence. This will be more striking if the formula be compared with that adopted by the Puritans in the Second Prayer-book of Edward VI. [242] In the Directory, the order runs thus: "Then the Minister, who is himself to communicate, is to break the Bread, and give it to the Communicants: Take ye, eat ye: This is the Body of Christ, which is broken for you; Do this in remembrance of Him." The obligation to kneel for reception was abrogated by the rule that "the Table should be so conveniently placed, that the Communicants may orderly sit about it or at it." Again, they read the words of the Institution simply as "a lesson of edification" instead of embodying the account in a prayer so as to make the Service "a memorial before God," the same manual acts being used and the same words spoken as by Our LORD on the night of His betrayal. [243] The Burial of the Dead. One Of the most grievous blots on the Directory is the page which touches the Burial of the Dead. It shows how prejudice and fanaticism will drive men to violate the instincts of nature. If there be one time more than another when the heart of man needs the consolation of prayer, it is when he is burying his dead out of his sight. And yet the Westminster Assembly peremptorily forbade anything but "meditations and conferences suitable to the occasion." If a Minister happened to be present, the privilege was conceded of putting the people "in remembrance of their duty." The Sabbatarianism of the Divines. It only remains to point out in what a marked manner their Sabbatarianism and dislike to the observance of Holy Days manifested itself. The whole of Sunday was to be celebrated as holy to the LORD, and an entire abstinence was enjoined, not only "from all sports and pastimes but also from all worldly words and thoughts." It was further ordered that the intervals between the Public Services should "be spent in Reading, Meditation, Repetition of Sermons, especially by calling their families to an account of what they have heard, and Catechising of them, holy conferences, Prayer for a blessing upon the public Ordinances, singing of Psalms, visiting the sick, relieving the poor, and such like duties of piety, charity and mercy, accounting the Sabbath a delight." The Commemoration of Saints, and other Festivals they swept away by the declaration that "Festival Days, vulgarly called Holy Days, having no warrant in

the Word of God, are not to be continued."THE CONTENTS OF THE DIRECTORY.The Ordinance.The Preface.Of the Assembling of the Congregation.Of Public Reading of the Holy Scripture.Of Public Prayer before Sermon.Of the Preaching of the Word.Of Prayer after the Sermon.Of the Sacrament of Baptism.Of the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper.Of the Sanctification of the Lord's Day.Of the Solemnisation of Marriage.Of the Visitation of the Sick.Of Burial of the Dead.Of Public Solemn Fasting.Of the Observation of days of Public Thanksgiving.Of singing of Psalms.An Appendix touching Days and Places of Public Worship.OF PUBLIC PRAYER BEFORE THE SERMON."To acknowledge our great sinfulness; First, by reason of original sin, which (beside the guilt that makes us liable to everlasting Damnation) is the seed of all other sins, hath depraved and poisoned all the faculties and powers of Soul and Body, doth defile our best actions, and (were it not restrained, or our hearts renewed by Grace) would break forth into innumerable transgressions, and greatest rebellions against the Lord, that ever were committed by the vilest of the sons of Men.""To bewail our blindness of mind, hardness of heart, unbelief, impenitence, security, lukewarmness, barrenness, our not endeavouring after mortification and newness of life; nor after the exercise of godliness in the power thereof;" . . ."To acknowledge and confess, that, as we are convinced of our guilt; so out of a deep sense thereof, we judge ourselves unworthy of the smallest benefits, most worthy of God's fiercest wrath, and of the Curses of the Law and heaviest Judgements inflicted upon the most rebellious Sinners; and that he might most justly take his Kingdom and Gospel from us, plague us with all sorts of spiritual and temporal judgements in this life, and after cast us into utter Darkness, in the Lake that burneth with fire and brimstone, where is weeping and gnashing of teeth for evermore.""Notwithstanding all which, To draw near to the Throne of Grace, encouraging our selves with hope of a gracious Answer of our Prayers,""And humbly, and earnestly to supplicate for mercy in the free and full remission of our sins, and that only for the bitter sufferings and precious merits of that our only Saviour Jesus Christ.""To pray for the propagation of the Gospel and Kingdom of Christ to all Nations, for the conversion of the Jews, the fulness of the Gentiles, the fall of Antichrist, and the hastening of the second coming of our Lord;" . . ."To pray for all in Authority, especially for the King's Majesty, that God would make him rich in blessings both in his person and government; establish his Throne in Religion and Righteousness, save him from evil counsel, and make him a blessed and glorious Instrument.""For the comforting of the afflicted Queen of Bohemia, sister to our Sovereign, and for the restitution and establishment of the illustrious Prince Charles," . . ."For a blessing upon the High Court of Parliament," . . ."For all Pastors and Teachers, that God would fill them with his Spirit," . . ."For the Universities, and all Schools and Religious seminaries of Church and Commonwealth," . . ."For the particular City or Congregation," . . ."To pray earnestly for GOD'S grace and effectual assistance to the Sanctification of his holy Sabbath, the Lord's day," . . ."More particularly that God would in a special manner furnish his Servant (now called to dispense the bread of life unto his household) with wisdom, fidelity, zeal, and utterance, that he may divide the Word of God aright," . . .OF PRAYER AFTER THE SERMON."To give thanks for the great Love of God in sending his Son Jesus Christ unto us; For the communication of his Holy Spirit; For the light and liberty of the glorious Gospel, and the rich and heavenly Blessings revealed therein; as namely, Election, Vocation, Adoption, Justification, Sanctification, and hope of Glory; For the admirable goodness of God in freeing the Land from Antichristian Darkness and Tyranny, and for all other National Deliverances; For the Reformation of Religion; For the Covenant; and for many Temporal blessings.""To turn the chief and most useful heads of the Sermon into some few Petitions; and to

pray that it may abide in the heart and bring forth fruit."OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF BAPTISM."That it is instituted by our Lord Jesus Christ: that it is a Seal of the Covenant of Grace, of our ingrafting into Christ, and of our union with him, of Remission of Sins, Regeneration, Adoption, and Life eternal:" . . ."That children by Baptism are solemnly received into the bosom of the visible Church, distinguished from the world and them that are without, and united with Believers; and that all who are baptized in the Name of Christ, do renounce, and by their baptism are bound to fight against the Devil, the World, and the Flesh: That they are Christians, and federally holy before Baptism, and therefore are they baptized. That the inward Grace and virtue of Baptism is not tied to that very moment of time wherein it is administered, and that the fruit and power thereof reacheth to the whole course of our life; and that outward Baptism is not so necessary, that through the want thereof the Infant is in danger of Damnation, or the Parents guilty, if they do not contemn or neglect the ordinance of Christ when and where it may be had."

OF THE CELEBRATION OF THE COMMUNION, OR SACRAMENT OF THE LORD'S SUPPER."Let the Prayer, Thanksgiving, or Blessing of the Bread and Wine be to this effect;"With humble and hearty acknowledgement of the greatness of our misery, from which neither man nor angel was able to deliver us, and of our great unworthiness of the least of all God's mercies, to give thanks to God for all his benefits, and especially for that great benefit of our Redemption, the love of God the Father, the sufferings and merits of the Lord Jesus Christ the Son of God, by which we are delivered; and for all means of Grace, the Word and Sacraments, and for this Sacrament in particular, by which Christ and all his benefits are applied and sealed up unto us, which, notwithstanding the denial of them unto others, are in great mercy continued unto us after so much and long abuse of them all.""To profess that there is no other Name under Heaven by which we can be saved but the Name of Jesus Christ, by whom alone we receive liberty and life, have access to the throne of Grace, are admitted to eat and drink at his own Table, and are sealed up by his Spirit to an assurance of happiness and everlasting life.""Earnestly to pray to God, the Father of all mercies, and God of all consolation, to vouchsafe his gracious presence, and the effectual working of his Spirit in us, and so to sanctify these Elements both of Bread and Wine, and to bless his own Ordinance, that we may receive by Faith the Body and Blood of Jesus Christ crucified for us, and so to feed upon him that he may be one with us, and we with him, that he may live in us and we in him and to him, who hath loved us and given himself for us.""After all have communicated the Minister is also to give solemn thanks to God for his rich mercy and invaluable goodness vouchsafed to them in that Sacrament, and to intreat for pardon for the defects of the whole service, and for the gracious assistance of his good Spirit, whereby they may be enabled to walk in the strength of that Grace, as becometh those who have received so great pledges of salvation."

CONCERNING BURIAL OF THE DEAD."When any person departeth this life, let the dead body upon the day of Burial be decently attended from the house to the place appointed for public Burial, and there immediately interred without any Ceremony. And because the customs of kneeling down, and praying by, or towards the dead corpse, and other such usages, in the place where it lies, before it be carried to Burial are superstitious, and for that praying, reading, and singing, both in going to, and at the Grave, have been grossly abused, are in no way beneficial to the dead, and have proved many ways hurtful to the living, therefore let all such things be laid aside.""Howbeit, we judge it very convenient that the Christian friends which accompany the dead body to the place appointed for public Burial, do apply themselves to meditations, and conferences suitable to the occasion: And that the minister, as upon other occasions, so at this time, if he be

present, may put them in remembrance of their duty." "That this shall not extend to deny any civil respects or differences at the Burial suitable to the rank and condition of the party deceased whilst he was living."

APPENDIX VI In the Changes introduced at the last Revision.

In the account of the Revision of the Prayer-book at Ely House after the Restoration of Charles II., we entered upon a few changes which seemed to have an especial bearing upon the doctrines then under dispute. Many others, more or less important, resulted from the labours of the Committee, and as the history of this period would be manifestly very incomplete without some notice of them, we have subjoined an outline thereof deeming this amply sufficient for the ordinary student. By far the greatest number of changes was made by the alteration of existing rubrics and the addition of new ones. Several which tended to promote greater reverence in the Administration of the Holy Eucharist have already been mentioned. We notice further the directions or side-notes in the Consecration Prayer providing for the manual acts which had been ignored in the Second Prayer-book of Edward VI. and not restored by Elizabeth. An addition was made to the note in the First Prayer-book of Edward VI. of the words, "and here to break the bread," insuring what Bishop Cosin characterised as a "needful circumstance of the Sacrament." The belief in the: Regeneration of Infants in Holy Baptism was strengthened by the transference of a rubric from the Confirmation to the Baptismal Office. In its original place it was intended to satisfy people that Confirmation was not necessary to salvation, for that if children died in their infancy after baptism their salvation was assured. In 1662 it was added at the close of the Baptismal Service as worthy of greater prominence than it received in an Office which was used so rarely as that for Confirmation. The Rubric runs thus: -- "It is certain by GOD's Word that children which are baptized dying before they commit actual sin, are undoubtedly saved." It involved a doctrine so repugnant to the Presbyterians, that Baxter declared, "That of the forty sinful terms for a communion with the Church party, if thirty-nine were taken away and only that rubric concerning the salvation of infants dying shortly after their baptism were continued, yet they could not conform." In the Introductory part of the Prayer-book the following additions were made: -- The Preface, most probably written by Bishop Sanderson. The Table of the Vigils, Fasts, Days of Abstinence, together with certain solemn days for which particular services are appointed. "The five prayers" were transferred from the close of the Litany to the services for Matins and Evensong; and the latter received the addition of the Sentences, Exhortation, etc., which before had been prefixed to Matins only. In the Litany the petition for deliverance from Rebellion and Schism was added with much significance. Among the occasional prayers and thanksgivings were introduced: -- A second prayer for fair weather. Two prayers for Embertide. The prayer for Parliament. The prayer for all conditions of men. The General Thanksgiving. The Thanksgiving for public peace at home. New collects were composed for -- The third Sunday in Advent. The sixth Sunday after the Epiphany. Easter Even. The Collect for St. Stephen's Day was rewritten. A distinct Gospel and Epistle were introduced for the 6th Sunday after the Epiphany. The title of the Feast, "The Purification of St. Mary," was enlarged to its present form, "The Presentation of Christ in the Temple, commonly called The Purification," etc., and a special Epistle provided instead of that for the preceding Sunday. A new Office was composed, for "The Baptism of such as be of riper years." The Catechism was separated from the Confirmation Service, and at the same time an addition was made to the latter of very doubtful expediency. The Revisionists introduced all that portion which provides for a public and solemn

ratification of the Baptismal Vows by the candidate as a necessary prerequisite for the reception of the Rite. It is true that in the First Prayer-book of Edward VI., it was implied that children would previously be examined in the Church Catechism, which was united with the Confirmation Office in view of this, and also that the question, "Dost thou not think that thou art bound to believe and do as they (the Godfathers and Godmothers) have promised for thee?" together with the answer, "Yes, verily," etc., was in some sense a "ratifying and confession of the same." But the first Revisionists never contemplated such a result as has unhappily followed upon the action of the last, viz., the complete over-shadowing of the Scriptural and Catholic doctrine of the Gift of the Holy Ghost, by what is only subsidiary, certainly not essential to the Rite. It is a matter of profound regret that any human institution, no matter how good and useful in itself, should have been allowed to throw into the background a Divine Ordinance. For fifteen centuries and more the Church held that the Divine Gift was imparted wholly irrespective of any such qualification as the renewal of Baptismal Vows, in witness whereof we have only to appeal to the custom of confirming infants immediately after they had been baptized, which prevailed without question down to the eighth or ninth century. [244]The requirement for newly-married people to communicate on the day of their marriage was modified to a recommendation to do so then or at the first opportunity. In the Visitation of the Sick two rubrical changes were made by the insertion of the words in italics: -- "Here shall the sick person be moved to make a special confession," and "After which confession the Priest shall absolve him, if he humbly and heartily desire it."

Name Index

- * Adelphus Bishop of Lincoln: [1]1
- * Andrewes: [2]1 [3]2 [4]3 [5]4
- * Andrewes, Bishop: [6]1 [7]2
- * Andrewes, Dean: [8]1 [9]2
- * Askew, Ann: [10]1
- * Bancroft, Bishop: [11]1 [12]2 [13]3 [14]4
- * Barlow: [15]1 [16]2 [17]3 [18]4
- * Bates: [19]1
- * Baxter, Richard: [20]1 [21]2 [22]3 [23]4 [24]5 [25]6 [26]7 [27]8 [28]9 [29]10 [30]11 [31]12 [32]13 [33]14 [34]15
- * Bayne, Bishop of Lichfield: [35]1
- * Bedford: [36]1
- * Bedford, Earl of: [37]1
- * Bilson, Bishop of Winchester: [38]1 [39]2
- * Bucer, Martin: [40]1 [41]2 [42]3 [43]4 [44]5 [45]6 * Calamy: [46]1 [47]2 [48]3 [49]4 [50]5 [51]6
- * Calvin, Jean: [52]1 [53]2 [54]3 [55]4 [56]5 [57]6 [58]7 [59]8 [60]9 [61]10
- * Colet, Dean of St Paul's: [62]1 [63]2
- * Cranmer, Thomas: [64]1
- * Eborius, Bishop of York: [65]1
- * Gunning: [66]1
- * Jacomb: [67]1
- * Melanchthon: [68]1
- * Pearson: [69]1
- * Restitutus of London: [70]1
- * Smith, Thomas, Sir: [71]1
- * Sparrow: [72]1

- * Taylor, Jeremy: [73]1
- * Tertullian: [74]1 [75]2 [76]3 * Adelphus Bishop of Lincoln: [77]1
- * Andrewes: [78]1 [79]2 [80]3 [81]4
- * Andrewes, Bishop: [82]1 [83]2
- * Andrewes, Dean: [84]1 [85]2
- * Askew, Ann: [86]1
- * Bancroft, Bishop: [87]1 [88]2 [89]3 [90]4
- * Barlow: [91]1 [92]2 [93]3 [94]4
- * Bates: [95]1
- * Baxter, Richard: [96]1 [97]2 [98]3 [99]4 [100]5 [101]6 [102]7 [103]8 [104]9 [105]10 [106]11 [107]12 [108]13 [109]14 [110]15 * Bayne, Bishop of Lichfield: [111]1
- * Bedford: [112]1
- * Bedford, Earl of: [113]1
- * Bilson, Bishop of Winchester: [114]1 [115]2
- * Bucer, Martin: [116]1 [117]2 [118]3 [119]4 [120]5 [121]6 * Calamy: [122]1 [123]2 [124]3 [125]4 [126]5 [127]6 * Calvin, Jean: [128]1 [129]2 [130]3 [131]4 [132]5 [133]6 [134]7 [135]8 [136]9 [137]10
- * Colet, Dean of St Paul's: [138]1 [139]2
- * Cranmer, Thomas: [140]1
- * Eborius, Bishop of York: [141]1
- * Gunning: [142]1
- * Jacomb: [143]1
- * Melancthon: [144]1
- * Pearson: [145]1
- * Restitutus of London: [146]1
- * Smith, Thomas, Sir: [147]1
- * Sparrow: [148]1
- * Taylor, Jeremy: [149]1
- * Tertullian: [150]1 [151]2 [152]3 * [153]Adelphus Bishop of Lincoln
- * [154]Andrewes

- * [155]Andrewes, Bishop
- * [156]Andrewes, Dean
- * [157]Askew, Ann
- * [158]Bancroft, Bishop
- * [159]Barlow
- * [160]Bates
- * [161]Baxter, Richard
- * [162]Bayne, Bishop of Lichfield
- * [163]Bedford
- * [164]Bedford, Earl of
- * [165]Bilson, Bishop of Winchester
- * [166]Bucer, Martin
- * [167]Calamy
- * [168]Calvin, Jean
- * [169]Colet, Dean of St Paul's
- * [170]Cranmer, Thomas
- * [171]Eborius, Bishop of York
- * [172]Gunning
- * [173]Jacomb
- * [174]Melanchthon
- * [175]Pearson
- * [176]Restitutus of London
- * [177]Smith, Thomas, Sir
- * [178]Sparrow
- * [179]Taylor, Jeremy
- * [180]Tertullian * [181]Adelphus Bishop of Lincoln
- * [182]Andrewes
- * [183]Andrewes, Bishop
- * [184]Andrewes, Dean

- * [185]Askew, Ann
- * [186]Bancroft, Bishop
- * [187]Barlow
- * [188]Bates
- * [189]Baxter, Richard
- * [190]Bayne, Bishop of Lichfield
- * [191]Bedford
- * [192]Bedford, Earl of
- * [193]Bilson, Bishop of Winchester
- * [194]Bucer, Martin
- * [195]Calamy
- * [196]Calvin, Jean
- * [197]Colet, Dean of St Paul's
- * [198]Cranmer, Thomas
- * [199]Eborius, Bishop of York
- * [200]Gunning
- * [201]Jacomb
- * [202]Melanchthon
- * [203]Pearson
- * [204]Restitutus of London
- * [205]Smith, Thomas, Sir
- * [206]Sparrow
- * [207]Taylor, Jeremy
- * [208]Tertullian * [209]Adelphus Bishop of Lincoln
- * [210]Andrewes
- * [211]Andrewes, Bishop
- * [212]Andrewes, Dean
- * [213]Askew, Ann
- * [214]Bancroft, Bishop

- * [215]Barlow
- * [216]Bates
- * [217]Baxter, Richard
- * [218]Bayne, Bishop of Lichfield
- * [219]Bedford
- * [220]Bedford, Earl of
- * [221]Bilson, Bishop of Winchester
- * [222]Bucer, Martin
- * [223]Calamy
- * [224]Calvin, Jean
- * [225]Colet, Dean of St Paul's
- * [226]Cranmer, Thomas
- * [227]Eborius, Bishop of York
- * [228]Gunning
- * [229]Jacomb
- * [230]Melanchthon
- * [231]Pearson
- * [232]Restitutus of London
- * [233]Smith, Thomas, Sir
- * [234]Sparrow
- * [235]Taylor, Jeremy
- * [236]Tertullian Subject Index "Rest, Saints' Everlasting," [237]Baxter's "Saints' Everlasting Rest," [238]by Baxter Abbey of Ely, [239]Abbey of Ely, Division of the revenues, [240]Picture of Bishop Cox's funeral Absolution, [241]Absolution, The title of the, enlarged Act against irreverence in the Sacrament, [242]An

Act...unreverently...against the Sacrament Act of Six Articles, [243]Rebellion againstBloody Statute, Enactment of the, [244]Influenced by BullingerAct of Uniformity, [245]Act of Uniformity, [246]Act of Uniformity, [247]Act of Uniformity, [248]Act of Uniformity, [249]Act of Uniformity with the restored Prayer-book, [250]Act of Uniformity, 1549 AD, [251]Act of Uniformity, 1552 AD, [252]Act of Uniformity, 1559, [253]Act of Uniformity, 1559, [254]Act of Uniformity, 1662 A.D.Act of Uniformity, protests against, [255]Act of UniformityAct of Uniformity, re-establish BCP, [256]Act of UniformityAdministration, [257]Administration, The Formula of, [258]Administration, The Formula of, [259]Administration, The Formula of, [260]Administration, The Formula of, [261]Administration,

of Baptism, [262]Administration, of BaptismAdvertisements, [263]Advertisements of Elizabeth, [264]Advertisements of ElizabethAgape or Love-feast, [265]Agape or Love-feastAgnus Dei, [266]Agnus Dei, [267]Agnus DeiAltar, [268]Altar, erased, [269]Altar, the term retainedApocryphal, [270]lessons of, [271]lessons of, [272]lessons ofAriminum Council, [273]Ariminum Council, 359 ADAssessors, [274]At the First RevisionAthanasian Creed, [275]First Prayer-book, recited at FeastsAttleborough, [276]Rising atBaptismal Office, [277]Baptismal Office, [278]Regeneration of InfantsBaxter's Liturgy, [279]Baxter's LiturgyBenedictine Rule, [280]Benedictine Rule of Life, [281]Confession and Absolution, no placeBenedictine monks, [282]Benedictine monksBishopric of Ely, [283]Bishopric of Ely, [284]VacantBlack Bartholomew, [285]Black Bartholomew, [286]Black BartholomewBlack Rubric, [287]Altered and reintroduced, [288]Black Rubric, [289]Introduced, [290]OmittedBreda Declaration, [291]Breda DeclarationBreviary, [292]Breviary, [293]BreviaryBreviary, The, [294]Breviary, TheBritain Christianised, [295]Britain ChristianisedBritish Bishops, Early, [296]Early British BishopsBurial of the Dead, [297]According to the Directory, [298]Changes to ServiceCalendar, [299]Calendar, [300]CalendarCalendar or Pie, [301]Calendar or PieCannon Row, Westminster, [302]Meetings atCanon Law, [303]Canon LawCanonical Hours, [304]Canonical Hours, [305]Sarum Breviary, Morning, [306]Saurm Breviary, Evening, [307]eight in numberCanterbury Cathedral, [308]Mass restored, [309]Proscribed Liturgy read, [310]Return of King CharlesCanticles, [311]Alternative CanticlesCatechism, [312]By whom composed, [313]Explanation of Sacraments, [314]Explanation of the Sacraments, [315]Separated from ConfirmationCathedral, [316]Commandments over the altar, [317]Liberty of ceremony granted, [318]Public worship in, [319]Stripped of vestments, [320]To procure a sealed copyCathedral in Scotland, [321]Scottish Liturgy induces riotCathedral of Christ Church, [322]St Frideswide bones restoredCathedral of Durham, [323]Bishop Cosin, [324]Roman Mass said againCathedral of Ely, [325]Cathedral of Ely, [326]Edition with the Great Seal, [327]Ely Cathedral, [328]Gunning monumentCathedral of St Paul, [329]Vestments desposed ofClergy, Emigration, [330]To the ContinentCode of Justinian, [331]Liturgy understood by the peopleCollects, [332]Sixth Sunday after the Epiphany, new., [333]St Stehpen's, rewritten, [334]Third Sunday of Advent, new., [335]Translation of from Latin., [336]the beauty of the languageCommittee of Revision, [337]1542-8 A.D., [338]1559 A.D., [339]1661 A.D.Commune Sanctorum, [340]Commune SanctorumConcordat, Scheme for a General, [341]Concordat, Scheme for a GeneralConfession, [342]Private, discouraged, [343]Public, Form of, introducedConfirmation, [344]rubricConvocation, [345]ConvocationCornwall, [346]Insurrection inCosin, [347]Bishop of Durham, [348]his Liturgical WorksCouncil, [349]Edict of Privy Council, [350]of Aries, [351]of Cloves-hoo, [352]of Cloveshoo, 747 A.D., [353]of Laodicea, [354]of Lyons, 1274 AD, [355]of Nicæa, [356]of Nicæa, 325 AD, [357]of SardicaCox, [358]Character of, [359]his pluralitiesCranmer, [360]Character of Archbishop, [361]his variabilityCranmer, Archbishop, [362]Declines Calvin's offerDay, Bishop of Chichester, [363]RevisionistDead, [364]Prayers for theDecalogue, [365]Introduction of, into the Communion OfficeDevonshire, [366]Insurrection inDiary, [367]Evelyn'sDirectory, [368]Characteristic features of, [369]for Public Worship, The, [370]the contents ofDissolution, [371]of MonasteriesDivine Office, [372]Use, BenedictinesDivines, [373]The Committee of, for translating the BibleDivinum officium, [374]Divinum officiumDivorce, [375]of Queen CatherineDurham, [376]Insurrection atEccliestiaistical Polity, [377]Ecclesiastical Polity, theEdict, [378]of Privy CouncilEdward VI, [379]Death of KingEly, [380]Bishopric of, [381]vacantEpistolarium, [382]EpistolariumErasmus, [383]revives the study of Greek, [384]the Greek Testament ofEssex, [385]EssexEvangelistarium,

[386]Evangelistarium Evelyn's, [387]Diary Exeter, [388]The siege of Exhortation, [389]Addition of the, to Matins and Evensong, [390]before the Holy Communion, Alteration of Fecknam, Abbot of Westminster, [391]speech of Feria, de, [392]Feria, de First Prayer-book, [393]Preface to Friars, [394]The preaching Gallican Liturgy, [395]Structure of, [396]introduced into Britain Goodrich, [397]Character of, [398]Revisionist Gospel and Epistle, [399]for 6th Sunday after the Epiphany Graduale, [400]Graduale Gray, [401]Revisionist Gregory VII, [402]The Reforms of Gregory the Great, [403]Letter of, to St. Augustine Guest, [404]Gueste or Guest Gunning, [405]Character of Hampton Court, [406]Conference, The Harpsfield, [407]Archp. of Canterbury Hatton, Sir Christopher, [408]Garden, [409]Hatton, Sir Christopher Haynes, [410]Haynes, [411]Opinions of Henchman, [412]Henchman Hereford Use, [413]Hereford Use Herman, [414]The death of, 1087 AD Holbeach, [415]Holbeach Hooker, Richard, [416]Hooker, Richard Hooper, John, [417]and the Vestiarian dispute Horn of Durham, [418]Horn of Durham Hours, Canonical, [419]Condensation of the seven, [420]Hours, Canonical Infants, [421]Regeneration of Injunctions, [422]of Elizabeth Interim, The, [423]Interim, The Jacomb, [424]Jacomb James I, [425]King, at Hampton Court Justin Martyr, [426]Writings of Justinian, [427]The Code of Juxon, Archbishop, [428]Juxon, Archbishop Kalendarium, [429]Kalendarium Kett, [430]The Execution of Knewstub, [431]Knewstub Knox, John, [432]Knox, John LAITY, [433]The priesthood of the Langdale, [434]Archdeacon of Lewes Laodicea, [435]Council of Lasco, John à, [436]Lasco, John à, [437]Life of Latimer, [438]Latimer Latin, [439]The use of, in Divine Service Laud, Archbishop, [440]Laud, Archbishop Law, Canon, [441]Law, Canon Learning, The New, [442]Learning, The New Lectionarius, [443]Lectionarius Leicester, [444]"The wicked Earl," Lessons, The Apocryphal, [445]modified Lightfoot, [446]Lightfoot Lincoln Use, [447]Lincoln Use Litany, [448]Liberty to omit the, withdrawn, [449]The English Liturgy, [450]Outline of the Gallican, [451]The Gallican, [452]of Ephesus Lord's Supper, [453]Title of the Lower House of Convocation, [454]Lower House of Convocation Lyons, [455]Council of Manual, The, [456]Manual, The, [457]Manual, The, [458]Manual, The Martyr, Justin, [459]Writings of Martyr, Peter, [460]Martyr, Peter Mary Magdalene, [461]Saint, Service omitted Maskell's Primer, [462]Maskell's Primer, [463]Maskell's Primer Mass, [464]The title of Matrimonial Office, [465]Matrimonial Office Matthew, [466]Bishop of Durham, [467]Bishop of Durham May, [468]Character of, [469]Revisionist, [470]Revisionist Melancthon, [471]Melancthon Millenary Petition, [472]Millenary Petition, The Missal, The, [473]Missal, The, [474]Missal, The Mission of St. Augustine, [475]Mission of St. Augustine Monasteries, [476]Bangor Iscoed, [477]Bangor Wydrin, [478]Bangor over Conway, [479]Dissolution of, [480]Religion confined to the Monasticism, [481]Spread of, in England Morley, [482]Bishop of Worcester, [483]Revisionist Music, [484]in Divine Service New Learning, The, [485]New Learning, The Nicæa, [486]The Council of Nicholson, Bishop of Gloucester, [487]Revisionist Norfolk, [488]Rising in Northampton, [489]Queen Elizabeth's Councillor Nowell, Dean, [490]Sermon of, before the Queen, [491]reputed author of the Catechism Oak of Reformation, the, [492]Oak of Reformation, the Oblation, [493]The prayer of Office for the Baptism, [494]of adults, The new Order of the Communion, [495]Contents of, [496]Order of the Communion Origen, [497]Origen Ornaments Rubric, [498]Introduction of the Osmund, Bishop, [499]of Sarum Overall, [500]Author of the Explanation of the Sacraments in the Catechism, [501]Explanation of the Sacraments, [502]Overall, [503]at the Hampton Court Conference Parker, [504]Revisionist, [505]in danger of his life Pearson, [506]at the Savoy Conference Petition, The Millenary, [507]Petition, The Millenary Pie, The, [508]Pie, The Pilkington, [509]Revisionist Polity, The Ecclesiastical, [510]of Hooker Pontifical,

The, [511]Pontifical, ThePosition of the Reader in Church, [512]Position of the Reader in ChurchPrayer for The Church Militant, [513]Additions to, in the Caroline Settlement, [514]Prayer for The Church MilitantPrayer of Oblation, The, [515]Prayer of Oblation, The, [516]displacedPrayer-book, [517]Title of thePreface to the Prayer-book, [518]First Prayer-book, [519]in 1662 ADPresbyterian Ministers, [520]Ejection of, [521]The conduct ofPresence, The real, [522]in the First Book, [523]obscured in the SecondPrimer, The King's, [524]Primer, The King'sPrimers, The, [525]Primers, ThePrivate Baptism, [526]Private Baptism, [527]Private BaptismPrivy Council, The, [528]Privy Council, TheProprium de Tempore, [529]Cities, The fourteen, [530]Proprium de Tempore, [531]SanctorumProtestant, [532]origin of the termProtestants, [533]ForeignPsalms, [534]Alternative reading of Psalms, [535]Arrangement of, [536]Dropped from the Burial Order, [537]Evening Prayer, [538]Linked in worship, [539]Morning Prayer, [540]Penitential Psalms, [541]Shorter Psalms, [542]Singing of, [543]Singing of, [544]Singing of Psalms of Praise, [545]Songs of praise, [546]Sung at Matins and Vespers, [547]Table of Psalms, [548]divided into seven portions, [549]the Cantate Psalterium, [550]PsalteriumPuritans, [551]the exceptionsQueen Catherine, [552]Elizabeth, Doctrinal views of, [553]Rapacity of, [554]the Divorce ofQuignonez, Cardinal, [555]Revised Liturgy ofReader, [556]The position of the, in ChurchRedmayn, [557]Opinions of, [558]Opinions of, [559]RevisionistRefugees, [560]Foreign, in EnglandResults of the Hampton Court Conference, [561]Hampton Court ConferenceRevision, [562]Causes leading to, [563]First Committee of by whom appointedRevisionists, [564]Names ofReynolds, Dr, [565]Reynolds, DrRidley, Bishop of Rochester, [566]a RevisionistRising in Norfolk, [567]Rising in NorfolkRituale, [568]RitualeRobertson, [569]a RevisionistRubric, [570]Introduction of the Ornaments, [571]Omission of the BlackRubrical change, [572]general, [573]in 1662 A.DRule, [574]The BenedictineSacrificial, [575]Aspect of the Holy Eucharist, [576]Aspect of the Holy EucharistSaints, [577]Stories ofSancroft, [578]Secretary to the Commission, 1661 ADSanderson, [579]Author of the Preface, [580]a Revisionist, 1661 ADSardica, [581]Council ofSarum, [582]UseSavoy, [583]Conference, TheScory, [584]late Bishop of ChichesterScotch Service-book, [585]History of, [586]Influence of, on the Final Revision, [587]Its recognition of Catholic DoctrineSealed Books, The, [588]Sealed Books, TheSentences prefixed to, [589]Evensong, [590]MatinsService Order, [591]Use, Bangor, [592]Use, Bangor, [593]Use, Bangor, [594]Use, Hereford, [595]Use, Lincoln, [596]Use, York, [597]Use, YorkServices, Table of, [598]in the BreviaryShaxton, Nicholas, [599]Vacillation ofSick, [600]Rubrical Changes in the Service, [601]Visitation and Communion of theSign of the Cross, [602]objected toSkip, [603]a RevisionistSmalcald, [604]The League ofSmith, Sir Thomas, [605]Smith, Sir ThomasSmithfield, [606]The fires ofSolemn League and Covenant, [607]Solemn League and Covenant, [608]Solemn League and CovenantSparkes, Dr, [609]Sparkes, DrSparrow, [610]at the Savoy ConferenceSpecial Prayers, [611]Special Prayers introduced, [612]ThanksgivingsSpire, Diet of, [613]Spire, Diet ofSpiritual Lords, [614]regain their seats in ParliamentStatute, [615]The BloodySurplice, [616]objected toSwiss Protestants, [617]Swiss ProtestantsTable of the Vigils, The, [618]Table of the Vigils, TheTaylor, [619]Doctrinal opinions ofTe Deum, [620]Mistranslations in, [621]Te DeumTertulian, [622]TertulianThanksgiving, [623]The GeneralThanksgivings, [624]SpecialThirlby, Bishop of Westminster, [625]Character of, [626]Revisionist, [627]Roman tendencies ofTranslation, [628]of Holy Scriptures, TheTransubstantiation, [629]The Doctrine ofUniformity, [630]Acts of, [631]Acts ofUniformity, Acts of, [632]Uniformity, Acts ofUses, The, [633]Uses, TheVestiarian, [634]Controversy, The,

[635]Controversy, The, [636]Controversy, The, [637]Controversy, The, [638]Controversy, The Vigils, [639]The table of the Watson, Bishop of Lincoln, [640]committed to the Tower Westminster Assembly, [641]Appointed, [642]Burial of the Dead Westminster, [643]Bishop of Westminster Abbey, [644]Westminster Abbey, debate in Westminster Assembly, [645]The Directory White, Bishop, [646]of Winchester, committed to the Tower Whitehead, [647]Whitehead Windsor Castle, [648]the place of meeting for the First Revisionists Words of Administration, [649]Words of Administration, The, [650]Words of Administration, The Worship, [651]Bill for Uniformity of Public Wren, Bishop, [652]Wren, Bishop York, [653]Use Zuinglianism, [654]Zuinglianism "Rest, Saints' Everlasting,", [655]Baxter's "Saints' Everlasting Rest,", [656]by Baxter Abbey of Ely, [657]Abbey of Ely, Division of the revenues, [658]Picture of Bishop Cox's funeral Absolution, [659]Absolution, The title of the, enlarged Act against irreverence in the Sacrament, [660]An

Act...unreverently...against the Sacrament Act of Six Articles, [661]Rebellion against Bloody Statute, Enactment of the, [662]Influenced by Bullinger Act of Uniformity, [663]Act of Uniformity, [664]Act of Uniformity, [665]Act of Uniformity, [666]Act of Uniformity, [667]Act of Uniformity with the restored Prayer-book, [668]Act of Uniformity, 1549 AD, [669]Act of Uniformity, 1552 AD, [670]Act of Uniformity, 1559, [671]Act of Uniformity, 1559, [672]Act of Uniformity, 1662 A.D. Act of Uniformity, protests against, [673]Act of Uniformity Act of Uniformity, re-establish BCP, [674]Act of Uniformity Administration, [675]Administration, The Formula of, [676]Administration, The Formula of, [677]Administration, The Formula of, [678]Administration, The Formula of, [679]Administration, of Baptism, [680]Administration, of Baptism Advertisements, [681]Advertisements of Elizabeth, [682]Advertisements of Elizabeth Agape or Love-feast, [683]Agape or Love-feast Agnus Dei, [684]Agnus Dei, [685]Agnus Dei Altar, [686]Altar, erased, [687]Altar, the term retained Apocryphal, [688]lessons of, [689]lessons of, [690]lessons of Ariminum Council, [691]Ariminum Council, 359 AD Assessors, [692]At the First Revision Athanasian Creed, [693]First Prayer-book, recited at Feasts Attleborough, [694]Rising at Baptismal Office, [695]Baptismal Office, [696]Regeneration of Infants Baxter's Liturgy, [697]Baxter's Liturgy Benedictine Rule, [698]Benedictine Rule of Life, [699]Confession and Absolution, no place Benedictine monks, [700]Benedictine monks Bishopric of Ely, [701]Bishopric of Ely, [702]Vacant Black Bartholomew, [703]Black Bartholomew, [704]Black Bartholomew Black Rubric, [705]Altered and reintroduced, [706]Black Rubric, [707]Introduced, [708]Omitted Breda Declaration, [709]Breda Declaration Breviary, [710]Breviary, [711]Breviary Breviary, The, [712]Breviary, The Britain Christianised, [713]Britain Christianised British Bishops, Early, [714]Early British Bishops Burial of the Dead, [715]According to the Directory, [716]Changes to Service Calendar, [717]Calendar, [718]Calendar Calendar or Pie, [719]Calendar or Pie Cannon Row, Westminster, [720]Meetings at Canon Law, [721]Canon Law Canonical Hours, [722]Canonical Hours, [723]Sarum Breviary, Morning, [724]Saurm Breviary, Evening, [725]eight in number Canterbury Cathedral, [726]Mass restored, [727]Proscribed Liturgy read, [728]Return of King Charles Canticles, [729]Alternative Canticles Catechism, [730]By whom composed, [731]Explanation of Sacraments, [732]Explanation of the Sacraments, [733]Separated from Confirmation Cathedral, [734]Commandments over the altar, [735]Liberty of ceremony granted, [736]Public worship in, [737]Stripped of vestments, [738]To procure a sealed copy Cathedral in Scotland, [739]Scottish Liturgy induces riot Cathedral of Christ Church, [740]St Frideswide bones restored Cathedral of Durham, [741]Bishop Cosin, [742]Roman Mass said again Cathedral of Ely, [743]Cathedral of Ely, [744]Edition with the Great Seal, [745]Ely Cathedral,

[746]Gunning monumentCathedral of St Paul, [747]Vestments desposed ofClergy, Emigration, [748]To the ContinentCode of Justinian, [749]Liturgy understood by the peopleCollects, [750]Sixth Sunday after the Epiphany, new., [751]St Stehpen's, rewritten, [752]Third Sunday of Advent, new., [753]Translation of from Latin., [754]the beauty of the languageCommittee of Revision, [755]1542-8 A.D., [756]1559 A.D., [757]1661 A.D.Commune Sanctorum, [758]Commune SanctorumConcordat, Scheme for a General, [759]Concordat, Scheme for a GeneralConfession, [760]Private, discouraged, [761]Public, Form of, introducedConfirmation, [762]rubricConvocation, [763]ConvocationCornwall, [764]Insurrection inCosin, [765]Bishop of Durham, [766]his Liturgical WorksCouncil, [767]Edict of Privy Council, [768]of Aries, [769]of Cloves-hoo, [770]of Cloveshoo, 747 A.D., [771]of Laodicea, [772]of Lyons, 1274 AD, [773]of Nicæa, [774]of Nicæa, 325 AD, [775]of SardicaCox, [776]Character of, [777]his pluralitiesCranmer, [778]Character of Archbishop, [779]his variabilityCranmer, Archbishop, [780]Declines Calvin's offerDay, Bishop of Chichester, [781]RevisionistDead, [782]Prayers for theDecalogue, [783]Introduction of, into the Communion OfficeDevonshire, [784]Insurrection inDiary, [785]Evelyn'sDirectory, [786]Characteristic features of, [787]for Public Worship, The, [788]the contents ofDissolution, [789]of MonasteriesDivine Office, [790]Use, BenedictinesDivines, [791]The Committee of, for translating the BibleDivinum officium, [792]Divinum officiumDivorce, [793]of Queen CatherineDurham, [794]Insurrection atEccliestial Polity, [795]Ecclesiastical Polity, theEdict, [796]of Privy CouncilEdward VI, [797]Death of KingEly, [798]Bishopric of, [799]vacantEpistolarium, [800]EpistolariumErasmus, [801]revives the study of Greek, [802]the Greek Testament ofEssex, [803]EssexEvangelistarium, [804]EvangelistariumEvelyn's, [805]DiaryExeter, [806]The siege ofExhortation, [807]Addition of the, to Matins and Evensong, [808]before the Holy Communion, Alteration ofFeckknam, Abbot of Westminster, [809]speech ofFeria, de, [810]Feria, deFirst Prayer-book, [811]Preface toFriars, [812]The preachingGallican Liturgy, [813]Structure of, [814]introduced into BritainGoodrich, [815]Character of, [816]RevisionistGospel and Epistle, [817]for 6th Sunday after the EpiphanyGraduale, [818]GradualeGray, [819]RevisionistGregory VII, [820]The Reforms ofGregory the Great, [821]Letter of, to St. AugustineGuest, [822]Geste or GuestGunning, [823]Character ofHampton Court, [824]Conference, TheHarfsfield, [825]Archp. of CanterburyHatton, Sir Christopher, [826]Garden, [827]Hatton, Sir ChristopherHaynes, [828]Haynes, [829]Opinions ofHenchman, [830]HenchmanHereford Use, [831]Hereford UseHerman, [832]The death of, 1087 ADHolbeach, [833]HolbeachHooker, Richard, [834]Hooker, RichardHooper, John, [835]and the Vestiarian disputeHorn of Durham, [836]Horn of DurhamHours, Canonical, [837]Condensation of the seven, [838]Hours, Canonicallynfants, [839]Regeneration ofInjunctions, [840]of ElizabethInterim, The, [841]Interim, TheJacomb, [842]JacombJames I, [843]King, at Hampton CourtJustin Martyr, [844]Writings ofJustinian, [845]The Code ofJuxon, Archbishop, [846]Juxon, ArchbishopKalendarium, [847]KalendariumKett, [848]The Execution ofKnewstub, [849]Knewstub Knox, John, [850]Knox, JohnLAITY, [851]The priesthood of theLangdale, [852]Archdeacon of LewesLaodicea, [853]Council ofLasco, John à, [854]Lasco, John à, [855]Life ofLatimer, [856]LatimerLatin, [857]The use of, in Divine ServiceLaud, Archbishop, [858]Laud, ArchbishopLaw, Canon, [859]Law, CanonLearning, The New, [860]Learning, The NewLectionarius, [861]LectionariusLeicester, [862]"The wicked Earl,"Lessons, The Apocryphal, [863]modifiedLightfoot, [864]LightfootLincoln Use, [865]Lincoln UseLitany, [866]Liberty to omit the, withdrawn, [867]The EnglishLiturgy, [868]Outline of the Gallican, [869]The Gailican, [870]of EphesusLord's Supper, [871]Title of theLower House of Convocation, [872]Lower House of

ConvocationLyons, [873]Council ofManual, The, [874]Manual, The, [875]Manual, The, [876]Manual, TheMartyr, Justin, [877]Writings ofMartyr, Peter, [878]Martyr, PeterMary Magdalene, [879]Saint, Service omittedMaskell's Primer, [880]Maskell's Primer, [881]Maskell's PrimerMass, [882]The title ofMatrimonial Office, [883]Matrimonial OfficeMatthew, [884]Bishop of Durham, [885]Bishop of DurhamMay, [886]Character of, [887]Revisionist, [888]RevisionistMelanchthon, [889]MelanchthonMillenary Petition, [890]Millenary Petition, TheMissal, The, [891]Missal, The, [892]Missal, TheMission of St. Augustine, [893]Mission of St. AugustineMonasteries, [894]Bangor Iscoed, [895]Bangor Wydrin, [896]Bangor over Conway, [897]Dissolution of, [898]Religion confined to theMonasticism, [899]Spread of, in EnglandMorley, [900]Bishop of Worcester, [901]RevisionistMusic, [902]in Divine ServiceNew Learning, The, [903]New Learning, TheNicæa, [904]The Council ofNicholson, Bishop of Gloucester, [905]RevisionistNorfolk, [906]Rising inNorthampton, [907]Queen Elizabeth's CouncillorNowell, Dean, [908]Sermon of, before the Queen, [909]reputed author of the CatechismOak of Reformation, the, [910]Oak of Reformation, theOblation, [911]The prayer ofOffice for the Baptism, [912]of adults, The newOrder of the Communion, [913]Contents of, [914]Order of the CommunionOrigen, [915]OrigenOrnaments Rubric, [916]Introduction of theOsmund, Bishop, [917]of SarumOverall, [918]Author of the Explanation of the Sacraments in the Catechism, [919]Explanantion of the Sacraments, [920]Overall, [921]at the Hampton Court ConferenceParker, [922]Revisionist, [923]in danger of his lifePearson, [924]at the Savoy ConferencePetition, The Millenary, [925]Petition, The MillenaryPie, The, [926]Pie, ThePilkington, [927]RevisionistPolity, The Ecclesiastical, [928]of HookerPontifical, The, [929]Pontifical, ThePosition of the Reader in Church, [930]Position of the Reader in ChurchPrayer for The Church Militant, [931]Additions to, in the Caroline Settlement, [932]Prayer for The Church MilitantPrayer of Oblation, The, [933]Prayer of Oblation, The, [934]displacedPrayer-book, [935]Title of thePreface to the Prayer-book, [936]First Prayer-book, [937]in 1662 ADPresbyterian Ministers, [938]Ejectment of, [939]The conduct ofPresence, The real, [940]in the First Book, [941]obscured in the SecondPrimer, The King's, [942]Primer, The King'sPrimers, The, [943]Primers, ThePrivate Baptism, [944]Private Baptism, [945]Private BaptismPrivy Council, The, [946]Privy Council, TheProprium de Tempore, [947]Cities, The fourteen, [948]Proprium de Tempore, [949]SanctorumProtestant, [950]origin of the termProtestants, [951]ForeignPsalms, [952]Alternative reading of Psalms, [953]Arrangement of, [954]Dropped from the Burial Order, [955]Evening Prayer, [956]Linked in worship, [957]Morning Prayer, [958]Penitential Psalms, [959]Shorter Psalms, [960]Singing of, [961]Singing of, [962]Singing of Psalms of Praise, [963]Songs of praise, [964]Sung at Matins and Vespers, [965]Table of Psalms, [966]divided into seven portions, [967]the CantatePsalterium, [968]PsalteriumPuritans, [969]the exceptionsQueen Catherine, [970]Elizabeth, Doctrinal views of, [971]Rapacity of, [972]the Divorce ofQuignonez, Cardinal, [973]Revised Liturgy ofReader, [974]The position of the, in ChurchRedmayn, [975]Opinions of, [976]Opinions of, [977]RevisionistRefugees, [978]Foreign, in EnglandResults of the Hampton Court Conference, [979]Hampton Court ConferenceRevision, [980]Causes leading to, [981]First Committee of by whom appointedRevisionists, [982]Names ofReynolds, Dr, [983]Reynolds, DrRidley, Bishop of Rochester, [984]a RevisionistRising in Norfolk, [985]Rising in NorfolkRituale, [986]RitualeRobertson, [987]a RevisionistRubric, [988]Introduction of the Ornaments, [989]Omission of the BlackRubrical change, [990]general, [991]in 1662 A.DRule, [992]The BenedictineSacrificial, [993]Aspect of the Holy Eucharist, [994]Aspect of the Holy EucharistSaints,

[995]Stories ofSancroft, [996]Secretary to the Commission, 1661 ADSanderson, [997]Author of the Preface, [998]a Revisionist, 1661 ADSardica, [999]Council ofSarum, [1000]UseSavoy, [1001]Conference, TheScory, [1002]late Bishop of ChichesterScotch Service-book, [1003]History of, [1004]Influence of, on the Final Revision, [1005]Its recognition of Catholic Doctrine Sealed Books, The, [1006]Sealed Books, TheSentences prefixed to, [1007]Evensong, [1008]MatinsService Order, [1009]Use, Bangor, [1010]Use, Bangor, [1011]Use, Bangor, [1012]Use, Hereford, [1013]Use, Lincoln, [1014]Use, York, [1015]Use, YorkServices, Table of, [1016]in the BreviaryShaxton, Nicholas, [1017]Vacillation ofSick, [1018]Rubrical Changes in the Service, [1019]Visitation and Communion of theSign of the Cross, [1020]objected toSkip, [1021]a RevisionistSmalcald, [1022]The League ofSmith, Sir Thomas, [1023]Smith, Sir ThomasSmithfield, [1024]The fires ofSolemn League and Covenant, [1025]Solemn League and Covenant, [1026]Solemn League and CovenantSparkes, Dr, [1027]Sparkes, DrSparrow, [1028]at the Savoy ConferenceSpecial Prayers, [1029]Special Prayers introduced, [1030]ThanksgivingsSpires, Diet of, [1031]Spires, Diet ofSpiritual Lords, [1032]regain their seats in ParliamentStatute, [1033]The BloodySurplice, [1034]objected toSwiss Protestants, [1035]Swiss ProtestantsTable of the Vigils, The, [1036]Table of the Vigils, TheTaylor, [1037]Doctrinal opinions ofTe Deum, [1038]Mistranslations in, [1039]Te DeumTertulilan, [1040]TertulilanThanksgiving, [1041]The GeneralThanksgivings, [1042]SpecialThirlby, Bishop of Westminster, [1043]Character of, [1044]Revisionist, [1045]Roman tendencies ofTranslation, [1046]of Holy Scriptures, TheTransubstantiation, [1047]The Doctrine ofUniformity, [1048]Acts of, [1049]Acts ofUniformity, Acts of, [1050]Uniformity, Acts ofUses, The, [1051]Uses, TheVestiarian, [1052]Controversy, The, [1053]Controversy, The, [1054]Controversy, The, [1055]Controversy, The, [1056]Controversy, TheVigils, [1057]The table of theWatson, Bishop of Lincoln, [1058]committed to the TowerWestminster Assembly, [1059]Appointed, [1060]Burial of the DeadWestminster, [1061]Bishop ofWestminster Abbey, [1062]Westminster Abbey, debate inWestminster Assembly, [1063]The DirectoryWhite, Bishop, [1064]of Winchester, committed to the TowerWhitehead, [1065]WhiteheadWindsor Castle, [1066]the place of meeting for the First RevisionistsWords of Administration, [1067]Words of Administration, The, [1068]Words of Administration, TheWorship, [1069]Bill for Uniformity of PublicWren, Bishop, [1070]Wren, BishopYork, [1071]UseZuinglianism, [1072]Zuinglianism"Rest, Saints' Everlasting,", [1073]Baxter's"Saints' Everlasting Rest,", [1074]by BaxterAbbey of Ely, [1075]Abbey of Ely, Division of the revenues, [1076]Picture of Bishop Cox's funeralAbsolution, [1077]Absolution, The title of the, enlargedAct against irreverence in the Sacrament, [1078]An

Act...unreverently...against the SacramentAct of Six Articles, [1079]Rebellion againstBloody Statute, Enactment of the, [1080]Influenced by BullingerAct of Uniformity, [1081]Act of Uniformity, [1082]Act of Uniformity, [1083]Act of Uniformity, [1084]Act of Uniformity, [1085]Act of Uniformity with the restored Prayer-book, [1086]Act of Uniformity, 1549 AD, [1087]Act of Uniformity, 1552 AD, [1088]Act of Uniformity, 1559, [1089]Act of Uniformity, 1559, [1090]Act of Uniformity, 1662 A.D.Act of Uniformity, protests against, [1091]Act of UniformityAct of Uniformity, re-establish BCP, [1092]Act of UniformityAdministration, [1093]Administration, The Formula of, [1094]Administration, The Formula of, [1095]Administration, The Formula of, [1096]Administration, The Formula of, [1097]Administration, of Baptism, [1098]Administration, of BaptismAdvertisements, [1099]Advertisements of Elizabeth, [1100]Advertisements of ElizabethAgape or Love-feast, [1101]Agape or Love-feastAgnus Dei, [1102]Agnus Dei, [1103]Agnus DeiAltar, [1104]Altar,

erased, [1105]Altar, the term retainedApocryphal, [1106]lessons of, [1107]lessons of, [1108]lessons ofAriminum Council, [1109]Ariminum Council, 359 ADAssessors, [1110]At the First RevisionAthanasian Creed, [1111]First Prayer-book, recited at FeastsAttleborough, [1112]Rising atBaptismal Office, [1113]Baptismal Office, [1114]Regeneration of InfantsBaxter's Liturgy, [1115]Baxter's LiturgyBenedictine Rule, [1116]Benedictine Rule of Life, [1117]Confession and Absolution, no placeBenedictine monks, [1118]Benedictine monksBishopric of Ely, [1119]Bishopric of Ely, [1120]VacantBlack Bartholomew, [1121]Black Bartholomew, [1122]Black BartholomewBlack Rubric, [1123]Altered and reintroduced, [1124]Black Rubric, [1125]Introduced, [1126]OmittedBreda Declaration, [1127]Breda DeclarationBreviary, [1128]Breviary, [1129]BreviaryBreviary, The, [1130]Breviary, TheBritain Christianised, [1131]Britain ChristianisedBritish Bishops, Early, [1132]Early British BishopsBurial of the Dead, [1133]According to the Directory, [1134]Changes to ServiceCalendar, [1135]Calendar, [1136]CalendarCalendar or Pie, [1137]Calendar or PieCannon Row, Westminster, [1138]Meetings atCanon Law, [1139]Canon LawCanonical Hours, [1140]Canonical Hours, [1141]Sarum Breviary, Morning, [1142]Saum Breviary, Evening, [1143]eight in numberCanterbury Cathedral, [1144]Mass restored, [1145]Proscribed Liturgy read, [1146]Return of King CharlesCanticles, [1147]Alternative Canticles Catechism, [1148]By whom composed, [1149]Explanation of Sacraments, [1150]Explanation of the Sacraments, [1151]Separated from ConfirmationCathedral, [1152]Commandments over the altar, [1153]Liberty of ceremony granted, [1154]Public worship in, [1155]Stripped of vestments, [1156]To procure a sealed copyCathedral in Scotland, [1157]Scottish Liturgy induces riotCathedral of Christ Church, [1158]St Frideswide bones restoredCathedral of Durham, [1159]Bishop Cosin, [1160]Roman Mass said againCathedral of Ely, [1161]Cathedral of Ely, [1162]Edition with the Great Seal, [1163]Ely Cathedral, [1164]Gunning monumentCathedral of St Paul, [1165]Vestments disposed ofClergy, Emigration, [1166]To the ContinentCode of Justinian, [1167]Liturgy understood by the peopleCollects, [1168]Sixth Sunday after the Epiphany, new., [1169]St Stephen's, rewritten, [1170]Third Sunday of Advent, new., [1171]Translation of from Latin., [1172]the beauty of the languageCommittee of Revision, [1173]1542-8 A.D., [1174]1559 A.D., [1175]1661 A.D.Commune Sanctorum, [1176]Commune SanctorumConcordat, Scheme for a General, [1177]Concordat, Scheme for a GeneralConfession, [1178]Private, discouraged, [1179]Public, Form of, introducedConfirmation, [1180]rubricConvocation, [1181]ConvocationCornwall, [1182]Insurrection inCosin, [1183]Bishop of Durham, [1184]his Liturgical WorksCouncil, [1185]Edict of Privy Council, [1186]of Aries, [1187]of Cloves-hoo, [1188]of Cloveshoo, 747 A.D., [1189]of Laodicea, [1190]of Lyons, 1274 AD, [1191]of Nicæa, [1192]of Nicæa, 325 AD, [1193]of SardicaCox, [1194]Character of, [1195]his pluralitiesCranmer, [1196]Character of Archbishop, [1197]his variabilityCranmer, Archbishop, [1198]Declines Calvin's offerDay, Bishop of Chichester, [1199]RevisionistDead, [1200]Prayers for theDecalogue, [1201]Introduction of, into the Communion OfficeDevonshire, [1202]Insurrection inDiary, [1203]Evelyn'sDirectory, [1204]Characteristic features of, [1205]for Public Worship, The, [1206]the contents ofDissolution, [1207]of MonasteriesDivine Office, [1208]Use, BenedictinesDivines, [1209]The Committee of, for translating the BibleDivinum officium, [1210]Divinum officiumDivorce, [1211]of Queen CatherineDurham, [1212]Insurrection atEccliestistical Polity, [1213]Ecclesiastical Polity, theEdict, [1214]of Privy CouncilEdward VI, [1215]Death of KingEly, [1216]Bishopric of, [1217]vacantEpistolarium, [1218]EpistolariumErasmus, [1219]revives the study of Greek, [1220]the Greek Testament ofEssex, [1221]EssexEvangelistarium,

[1222]Evangelistarium Evelyn's, [1223]Diary Exeter, [1224]The siege of Exhortation, [1225]Addition of the, to Matins and Evensong, [1226]before the Holy Communion, Alteration of Fecknam, Abbot of Westminster, [1227]speech of Feria, de, [1228]Feria, de First Prayer-book, [1229]Preface to Friars, [1230]The preaching Gallican Liturgy, [1231]Structure of, [1232]introduced into Britain Goodrich, [1233]Character of, [1234]Revisionist Gospel and Epistle, [1235]for 6th Sunday after the Epiphany Graduale, [1236]Graduale Gray, [1237]Revisionist Gregory VII, [1238]The Reforms of Gregory the Great, [1239]Letter of, to St. Augustine Guest, [1240]Gueste or Guest Gunning, [1241]Character of Hampton Court, [1242]Conference, The Harsfield, [1243]Archp. of Canterbury Hatton, Sir Christopher, [1244]Garden, [1245]Hatton, Sir Christopher Haynes, [1246]Haynes, [1247]Opinions of Henschman, [1248]Henschman Hereford Use, [1249]Hereford Use Herman, [1250]The death of, 1087 AD Holbeach, [1251]Holbeach Hooker, Richard, [1252]Hooker, Richard Hooper, John, [1253]and the Vestiarian dispute Horn of Durham, [1254]Horn of Durham Hours, Canonical, [1255]Condensation of the seven, [1256]Hours, Canonical Infants, [1257]Regeneration of Injunctions, [1258]of Elizabeth Interim, The, [1259]Interim, The Jacomb, [1260]Jacomb James I, [1261]King, at Hampton Court Justin Martyr, [1262]Writings of Justinian, [1263]The Code of Juxon, Archbishop, [1264]Juxon, Archbishop Kalendarium, [1265]Kalendarium Kett, [1266]The Execution of Knewstub, [1267]Knewstub Knox, John, [1268]Knox, John LAITY, [1269]The priesthood of the Langdale, [1270]Archdeacon of Lewes Laodicea, [1271]Council of Lasco, John à, [1272]Lasco, John à, [1273]Life of Latimer, [1274]Latimer Latin, [1275]The use of, in Divine Service Laud, Archbishop, [1276]Laud, Archbishop Law, Canon, [1277]Law, Canon Learning, The New, [1278]Learning, The New Lectionarius, [1279]Lectionarius Leicester, [1280]"The wicked Earl," Lessons, The Apocryphal, [1281]modified Lightfoot, [1282]Lightfoot Lincoln Use, [1283]Lincoln Use Litany, [1284]Liberty to omit the, withdrawn, [1285]The English Liturgy, [1286]Outline of the Gallican, [1287]The Gallican, [1288]of Ephesus Lord's Supper, [1289]Title of the Lower House of Convocation, [1290]Lower House of Convocation Lyons, [1291]Council of Manual, The, [1292]Manual, The, [1293]Manual, The, [1294]Manual, The Martyr, Justin, [1295]Writings of Martyr, Peter, [1296]Martyr, Peter Mary Magdalene, [1297]Saint, Service omitted Maskell's Primer, [1298]Maskell's Primer, [1299]Maskell's Primer Mass, [1300]The title of Matrimonial Office, [1301]Matrimonial Office Matthew, [1302]Bishop of Durham, [1303]Bishop of Durham May, [1304]Character of, [1305]Revisionist, [1306]Revisionist Melancthon, [1307]Melancthon Millenary Petition, [1308]Millenary Petition, The Missal, The, [1309]Missal, The, [1310]Missal, The Mission of St. Augustine, [1311]Mission of St. Augustine Monasteries, [1312]Bangor Iscoed, [1313]Bangor Wydrin, [1314]Bangor over Conway, [1315]Dissolution of, [1316]Religion confined to the Monasticism, [1317]Spread of, in England Morley, [1318]Bishop of Worcester, [1319]Revisionist Music, [1320]in Divine Service New Learning, The, [1321]New Learning, The Nicæa, [1322]The Council of Nicholson, Bishop of Gloucester, [1323]Revisionist Norfolk, [1324]Rising in Northampton, [1325]Queen Elizabeth's Councillor Nowell, Dean, [1326]Sermon of, before the Queen, [1327]reputed author of the Catechism Oak of Reformation, the, [1328]Oak of Reformation, the Oblation, [1329]The prayer of Office for the Baptism, [1330]of adults, The new Order of the Communion, [1331]Contents of, [1332]Order of the Communion Origen, [1333]Origen Ornaments Rubric, [1334]Introduction of the Osmund, Bishop, [1335]of Sarum Overall, [1336]Author of the Explanation of the Sacraments in the Catechism, [1337]Explanantion of the Sacraments, [1338]Overall, [1339]at the Hampton Court Conference Parker, [1340]Revisionist, [1341]in danger of his life Pearson, [1342]at the Savoy

ConferencePetition, The Millenary, [1343]Petition, The MillenaryPie, The, [1344]Pie, ThePilkington, [1345]RevisionistPolity, The Ecclesiastical, [1346]of HookerPontifical, The, [1347]Pontifical, ThePosition of the Reader in Church, [1348]Position of the Reader in ChurchPrayer for The Church Militant, [1349]Additions to, in the Caroline Settlement, [1350]Prayer for The Church MilitantPrayer of Oblation, The, [1351]Prayer of Oblation, The, [1352]displacedPrayer-book, [1353]Title of thePreface to the Prayer-book, [1354]First Prayer-book, [1355]in 1662 ADPresbyterian Ministers, [1356]Ejectment of, [1357]The conduct ofPresence, The real, [1358]in the First Book, [1359]obscured in the SecondPrimer, The King's, [1360]Primer, The King'sPrimers, The, [1361]Primers, ThePrivate Baptism, [1362]Private Baptism, [1363]Private BaptismPrivy Council, The, [1364]Privy Council, TheProprium de Tempore, [1365]Cities, The fourteen, [1366]Proprium de Tempore, [1367]SanctorumProtestant, [1368]origin of the termProtestants, [1369]ForeignPsalms, [1370]Alternative reading of Psalms, [1371]Arrangement of, [1372]Dropped from the Burial Order, [1373]Evening Prayer, [1374]Linked in worship, [1375]Morning Prayer, [1376]Penitential Psalms, [1377]Shorter Psalms, [1378]Singing of, [1379]Singing of, [1380]Singing of Psalms of Praise, [1381]Songs of praise, [1382]Sung at Matins and Vespers, [1383]Table of Psalms, [1384]divided into seven portions, [1385]the CantatePsalterium, [1386]PsalteriumPuritans, [1387]the exceptionsQueen Catherine, [1388]Elizabeth, Doctrinal views of, [1389]Rapacity of, [1390]the Divorce ofQuignonez, Cardinal, [1391]Revised Liturgy ofReader, [1392]The position of the, in ChurchRedmayn, [1393]Opinions of, [1394]Opinions of, [1395]RevisionistRefugees, [1396]Foreign, in EnglandResults of the Hampton Court Conference, [1397]Hampton Court ConferenceRevision, [1398]Causes leading to, [1399]First Committee of by whom appointedRevisionists, [1400]Names ofReynolds, Dr, [1401]Reynolds, DrRidley, Bishop of Rochester, [1402]a RevisionistRising in Norfolk, [1403]Rising in NorfolkRituale, [1404]RitualeRobertson, [1405]a RevisionistRubric, [1406]Introduction of the Ornaments, [1407]Omission of the BlackRubrical change, [1408]general, [1409]in 1662 A.DRule, [1410]The BenedictineSacrificial, [1411]Aspect of the Holy Eucharist, [1412]Aspect of the Holy EucharistSaints, [1413]Stories ofSancroft, [1414]Secretary to the Commission, 1661 ADSanderson, [1415]Author of the Preface, [1416]a Revisionist, 1661 ADSardica, [1417]Council ofSarum, [1418]UseSavoy, [1419]Conference, TheScory, [1420]late Bishop of ChichesterScotch Service-book, [1421]History of, [1422]Influence of, on the Final Revision, [1423]Its recognition of Catholic DoctrineSealed Books, The, [1424]Sealed Books, TheSentences prefixed to, [1425]Evensong, [1426]MatinsService Order, [1427]Use, Bangor, [1428]Use, Bangor, [1429]Use, Bangor, [1430]Use, Hereford, [1431]Use, Lincoln, [1432]Use, York, [1433]Use, YorkServices, Table of, [1434]in the BreviaryShaxton, Nicholas, [1435]Vacillation ofSick, [1436]Rubrical Changes in the Service, [1437]Visitation and Communion of theSign of the Cross, [1438]objected toSkip, [1439]a RevisionistSmalcald, [1440]The League ofSmith, Sir Thomas, [1441]Smith, Sir ThomasSmithfield, [1442]The fires ofSolemn League and Covenant, [1443]Solemn League and Covenant, [1444]Solemn League and CovenantSparkes, Dr, [1445]Sparkes, DrSparrow, [1446]at the Savoy ConferenceSpecial Prayers, [1447]Special Prayers introduced, [1448]ThanksgivingsSpires, Diet of, [1449]Spires, Diet ofSpiritual Lords, [1450]regain their seats in Parliament Statute, [1451]The BloodySurplice, [1452]objected toSwiss Protestants, [1453]Swiss ProtestantsTable of the Vigils, The, [1454]Table of the Vigils, TheTaylor, [1455]Doctrinal opinions ofTe Deum, [1456]Mistranslations in, [1457]Te DeumTertulilan, [1458]TertulilanThanksgiving, [1459]The GeneralThanksgivings, [1460]SpecialThirlby, Bishop of Westminster, [1461]Character

of, [1462]Revisionist, [1463]Roman tendencies of Translation, [1464]of Holy Scriptures, The Transubstantiation, [1465]The Doctrine of Uniformity, [1466]Acts of, [1467]Acts of Uniformity, Acts of, [1468]Uniformity, Acts of Uses, The, [1469]Uses, The Vestiarian, [1470]Controversy, The, [1471]Controversy, The, [1472]Controversy, The, [1473]Controversy, The, [1474]Controversy, The Vigils, [1475]The table of the Watson, Bishop of Lincoln, [1476]committed to the Tower Westminister Assembly, [1477]Appointed, [1478]Burial of the Dead Westminister, [1479]Bishop of Westminister Abbey, [1480]Westminister Abbey, debate in Westminister Assembly, [1481]The Directory White, Bishop, [1482]of Winchester, committed to the Tower Whitehead, [1483]Whitehead Windsor Castle, [1484]the place of meeting for the First Revisionists Words of Administration, [1485]Words of Administration, The, [1486]Words of Administration, The Worship, [1487]Bill for Uniformity of Public Wren, Bishop, [1488]Wren, Bishop York, [1489]Use Zuinglianism, [1490]Zuinglianism "Rest, Saints' Everlasting," [1491]Baxter's "Saints' Everlasting Rest," [1492]by Baxter Abbey of Ely, [1493]Abbey of Ely, Division of the revenues, [1494]Picture of Bishop Cox's funeral Absolution, [1495]Absolution, The title of the, enlarged Act against irreverence in the Sacrament, [1496]An

Act...unreverently...against the Sacrament Act of Six Articles, [1497]Rebellion against Bloody Statute, Enactment of the, [1498]Influenced by Bullinger Act of Uniformity, [1499]Act of Uniformity, [1500]Act of Uniformity, [1501]Act of Uniformity, [1502]Act of Uniformity, [1503]Act of Uniformity with the restored Prayer-book, [1504]Act of Uniformity, 1549 AD, [1505]Act of Uniformity, 1552 AD, [1506]Act of Uniformity, 1559, [1507]Act of Uniformity, 1559, [1508]Act of Uniformity, 1662 A.D. Act of Uniformity, protests against, [1509]Act of Uniformity Act of Uniformity, re-establish BCP, [1510]Act of Uniformity Administration, [1511]Administration, The Formula of, [1512]Administration, The Formula of, [1513]Administration, The Formula of, [1514]Administration, The Formula of, [1515]Administration, of Baptism, [1516]Administration, of Baptism Advertisements, [1517]Advertisements of Elizabeth, [1518]Advertisements of Elizabeth Agape or Love-feast, [1519]Agape or Love-feast Agnus Dei, [1520]Agnus Dei, [1521]Agnus Dei Altar, [1522]Altar, erased, [1523]Altar, the term retained Apocryphal, [1524]lessons of, [1525]lessons of, [1526]lessons of Ariminum Council, [1527]Ariminum Council, 359 AD Assessors, [1528]At the First Revision Athanasian Creed, [1529]First Prayer-book, recited at Feasts Attleborough, [1530]Rising at Baptismal Office, [1531]Baptismal Office, [1532]Regeneration of Infants Baxter's Liturgy, [1533]Baxter's Liturgy Benedictine Rule, [1534]Benedictine Rule of Life, [1535]Confession and Absolution, no place Benedictine monks, [1536]Benedictine monks Bishopric of Ely, [1537]Bishopric of Ely, [1538]Vacant Black Bartholomew, [1539]Black Bartholomew, [1540]Black Bartholomew Black Rubric, [1541]Altered and reintroduced, [1542]Black Rubric, [1543]Introduced, [1544]Omitted Breda Declaration, [1545]Breda Declaration Breviary, [1546]Breviary, [1547]Breviary Breviary, The, [1548]Breviary, The Britain Christianised, [1549]Britain Christianised British Bishops, Early, [1550]Early British Bishops Burial of the Dead, [1551]According to the Directory, [1552]Changes to Service Calendar, [1553]Calendar, [1554]Calendar Calendar or Pie, [1555]Calendar or Pie Cannon Row, Westminister, [1556]Meetings at Canon Law, [1557]Canon Law Canonical Hours, [1558]Canonical Hours, [1559]Sarum Breviary, Morning, [1560]Sarum Breviary, Evening, [1561]eight in number Canterbury Cathedral, [1562]Mass restored, [1563]Proscribed Liturgy read, [1564]Return of King Charles Canticles, [1565]Alternative Canticles Catechism, [1566]By whom composed, [1567]Explanation of Sacraments, [1568]Explanation of the Sacraments, [1569]Separated from Confirmation Cathedral,

[1570]Commandments over the altar, [1571]Liberty of ceremony granted, [1572]Public worship in, [1573]Stripped of vestments, [1574]To procure a sealed copyCathedral in Scotland, [1575]Scottish Liturgy induces riotCathedral of Christ Church, [1576]St Frideswide bones restoredCathedral of Durham, [1577]Bishop Cosin, [1578]Roman Mass said againCathedral of Ely, [1579]Cathedral of Ely, [1580]Edition with the Great Seal, [1581]Ely Cathedral, [1582]Gunning monumentCathedral of St Paul, [1583]Vestments desposed ofClergy, Emigration, [1584]To the ContinentCode of Justinian, [1585]Liturgy understood by the peopleCollects, [1586]Sixth Sunday after the Epiphany, new., [1587]St Stehpen's, rewritten, [1588]Third Sunday of Advent, new., [1589]Translation of from Latin., [1590]the beauty of the language Committee of Revision, [1591]1542-8 A.D., [1592]1559 A.D., [1593]1661 A.D.Commune Sanctorum, [1594]Commune SanctorumConcordat, Scheme for a General, [1595]Concordat, Scheme for a GeneralConfession, [1596]Private, discouraged, [1597]Public, Form of, introducedConfirmation, [1598]rubricConvocation, [1599]ConvocationCornwall, [1600]Insurrection inCosin, [1601]Bishop of Durham, [1602]his Liturgical WorksCouncil, [1603]Edict of Privy Council, [1604]of Aries, [1605]of Cloves-hoo, [1606]of Cloveshoo, 747 A.D., [1607]of Laodicea, [1608]of Lyons, 1274 AD, [1609]of Nicæa, [1610]of Nicæa, 325 AD, [1611]of SardicaCox, [1612]Character of, [1613]his pluralitiesCranmer, [1614]Character of Archbishop, [1615]his variabilityCranmer, Archbishop, [1616]Declines Calvin's offerDay, Bishop of Chichester, [1617]RevisionistDead, [1618]Prayers for theDecalogue, [1619]Introduction of, into the Communion OfficeDevonshire, [1620]Insurrection inDiary, [1621]Evelyn'sDirectory, [1622]Characteristic features of, [1623]for Public Worship, The, [1624]the contents ofDissolution, [1625]of MonasteriesDivine Office, [1626]Use, BenedictinesDivines, [1627]The Committee of, for translating the BibleDivinum officium, [1628]Divinum officiumDivorce, [1629]of Queen CatherineDurham, [1630]Insurrection atEccliestiaical Polity, [1631]Ecclesiastical Polity, theEdict, [1632]of Privy CouncilEdward VI, [1633]Death of KingEly, [1634]Bishopric of, [1635]vacantEpistolarium, [1636]EpistolariumErasmus, [1637]revives the study of Greek, [1638]the Greek Testament ofEssex, [1639]EssexEvangelistarium, [1640]EvangelistariumEvelyn's, [1641]DiaryExeter, [1642]The siege ofExhortation, [1643]Addition of the, to Matins and Evensong, [1644]before the Holy Communion, Alteration ofFeckknam, Abbot of Westminster, [1645]speech ofFeria, de, [1646]Feria, deFirst Prayer-book, [1647]Preface toFriars, [1648]The preachingGallican Liturgy, [1649]Structure of, [1650]introduced into BritainGoodrich, [1651]Character of, [1652]RevisionistGospel and Epistle, [1653]for 6th Sunday after the EpiphanyGraduale, [1654]GradualeGray, [1655]RevisionistGregory VII, [1656]The Reforms ofGregory the Great, [1657]Letter of, to St. AugustineGuest, [1658]Geste or GuestGunning, [1659]Character ofHampton Court, [1660]Conference, TheHarsfield, [1661]Archp. of CanterburyHatton, Sir Christopher, [1662]Garden, [1663]Hatton, Sir ChristopherHaynes, [1664]Haynes, [1665]Opinions ofHenchman, [1666]HenchmanHereford Use, [1667]Hereford UseHerman, [1668]The death of, 1087 ADHolbeach, [1669]HolbeachHooker, Richard, [1670]Hooker, RichardHooper, John, [1671]and the Vestiarian disputeHorn of Durham, [1672]Horn of DurhamHours, Canonical, [1673]Condensation of the seven, [1674]Hours, CanonicalInfants, [1675]Regeneration ofInjunctions, [1676]of ElizabethInterim, The, [1677]Interim, TheJacomb, [1678]JacombJames I, [1679]King, at Hampton CourtJustin Martyr, [1680]Writings ofJustinian, [1681]The Code ofJuxon, Archbishop, [1682]Juxon, ArchbishopKalendarium, [1683]KalendariumKett, [1684]The Execution ofKnewstub, [1685]KnewstubKnox, John, [1686]Knox, JohnLAITY, [1687]The priesthood of theLangdale, [1688]Archdeacon of

LewesLaodicea, [1689]Council ofLasco, John à, [1690]Lasco, John à, [1691]Life ofLatimer, [1692]LatimerLatin, [1693]The use of, in Divine ServiceLaud, Archbishop, [1694]Laud, ArchbishopLaw, Canon, [1695]Law, CanonLearning, The New, [1696]Learning, The NewLectionarius, [1697]LectionariusLeicester, [1698]"The wicked Earl,"Lessons, The Apocryphal, [1699]modifiedLightfoot, [1700]LightfootLincoln Use, [1701]Lincoln UseLitany, [1702]Liberty to omit the, withdrawn, [1703]The EnglishLiturgy, [1704]Outline of the Gallican, [1705]The Gailican, [1706]of EphesusLord's Supper, [1707]Title of theLower House of Convocation, [1708]Lower House of ConvocationLyons, [1709]Council ofManual, The, [1710]Manual, The, [1711]Manual, The, [1712]Manual, TheMartyr, Justin, [1713]Writings ofMartyr, Peter, [1714]Martyr, PeterMary Magdalene, [1715]Saint, Service omittedMaskell's Primer, [1716]Maskell's Primer, [1717]Maskell's PrimerMass, [1718]The title ofMatrimonial Office, [1719]Matrimonial OfficeMatthew, [1720]Bishop of Durham, [1721]Bishop of DurhamMay, [1722]Character of, [1723]Revisionist, [1724]RevisionistMelancthon, [1725]MelancthonMillenary Petition, [1726]Millenary Petition, TheMissal, The, [1727]Missal, The, [1728]Missal, TheMission of St. Augustine, [1729]Mission of St. AugustineMonasteries, [1730]Bangor Iscoed, [1731]Bangor Wydrin, [1732]Bangor over Conway, [1733]Dissolution of, [1734]Religion confined to theMonasticism, [1735]Spread of, in EnglandMorley, [1736]Bishop of Worcester, [1737]RevisionistMusic, [1738]in Divine ServiceNew Learning, The, [1739]New Learning, TheNicæa, [1740]The Council ofNicholson, Bishop of Gloucester, [1741]RevisionistNorfolk, [1742]Rising inNorthampton, [1743]Queen Elizabeth's CouncillorNowell, Dean, [1744]Sermon of, before the Queen, [1745]reputed author of the CatechismOak of Reformation, the, [1746]Oak of Reformation, the Oblation, [1747]The prayer ofOffice for the Baptism, [1748]of adults, The newOrder of the Communion, [1749]Contents of, [1750]Order of the CommunionOrigen, [1751]OrigenOrnaments Rubric, [1752]Introduction of theOsmund, Bishop, [1753]of SarumOverall, [1754]Author of the Explanation of the Sacraments in the Catechism, [1755]Explanantion of the Sacraments, [1756]Overall, [1757]at the Hampton Court ConferenceParker, [1758]Revisionist, [1759]in danger of his lifePearson, [1760]at the Savoy ConferencePetition, The Millenary, [1761]Petition, The MillenaryPie, The, [1762]Pie, ThePilkington, [1763]RevisionistPolity, The Ecclesiastical, [1764]of HookerPontifical, The, [1765]Pontifical, ThePosition of the Reader in Church, [1766]Position of the Reader in ChurchPrayer for The Church Militant, [1767]Additions to, in the Caroline Settlement, [1768]Prayer for The Church MilitantPrayer of Oblation, The, [1769]Prayer of Oblation, The, [1770]displacedPrayer-book, [1771]Title of thePreface to the Prayer-book, [1772]First Prayer-book, [1773]in 1662 ADPresbyterian Ministers, [1774]Ejectment of, [1775]The conduct ofPresence, The real, [1776]in the First Book, [1777]obscured in the SecondPrimer, The King's, [1778]Primer, The King'sPrimers, The, [1779]Primers, ThePrivate Baptism, [1780]Private Baptism, [1781]Private BaptismPrivy Council, The, [1782]Privy Council, TheProprium de Tempore, [1783]Cities, The fourteen, [1784]Proprium de Tempore, [1785]SanctorumProtestant, [1786]origin of the termProtestants, [1787]ForeignPsalms, [1788]Alternative reading of Psalms, [1789]Arrangement of, [1790]Dropped from the Burial Order, [1791]Evening Prayer, [1792]Linked in worship, [1793]Morning Prayer, [1794]Penitential Psalms, [1795]Shorter Psalms, [1796]Singing of, [1797]Singing of, [1798]Singing of Psalms of Praise, [1799]Songs of praise, [1800]Sung at Matins and Vespers, [1801]Table of Psalms, [1802]divided into seven portions, [1803]the CantatePsalterium, [1804]PsalteriumPuritans, [1805]the exceptionsQueen Catherine, [1806]Elizabeth, Doctrinal views of, [1807]Rapacity of, [1808]the Divorce ofQuignonez, Cardinal,

[1809]Revised Liturgy of Reader, [1810]The position of the, in Church Redmayn, [1811]Opinions of, [1812]Opinions of, [1813]Revisionist Refugees, [1814]Foreign, in England Results of the Hampton Court Conference, [1815]Hampton Court Conference Revision, [1816]Causes leading to, [1817]First Committee of by whom appointed Revisionists, [1818]Names of Reynolds, Dr, [1819]Reynolds, Dr Ridley, Bishop of Rochester, [1820]a Revisionist Rising in Norfolk, [1821]Rising in Norfolk Rituale, [1822]Rituale Robertson, [1823]a Revisionist Rubric, [1824]Introduction of the Ornaments, [1825]Omission of the Black Rubrical change, [1826]general, [1827]in 1662 A.D. Rule, [1828]The Benedictine Sacrificial, [1829]Aspect of the Holy Eucharist, [1830]Aspect of the Holy Eucharist Saints, [1831]Stories of Sancroft, [1832]Secretary to the Commission, 1661 A.D. Sanderson, [1833]Author of the Preface, [1834]a Revisionist, 1661 A.D. Sardica, [1835]Council of Sarum, [1836]Use Savoy, [1837]Conference, The Scory, [1838]late Bishop of Chichester Scotch Service-book, [1839]History of, [1840]Influence of, on the Final Revision, [1841]Its recognition of Catholic Doctrine Sealed Books, The, [1842]Sealed Books, The Sentences prefixed to, [1843]Evensong, [1844]Matins Service Order, [1845]Use, Bangor, [1846]Use, Bangor, [1847]Use, Bangor, [1848]Use, Hereford, [1849]Use, Lincoln, [1850]Use, York, [1851]Use, York Services, Table of, [1852]in the Breviary Shaxton, Nicholas, [1853]Vacillation of Sick, [1854]Rubrical Changes in the Service, [1855]Visitation and Communion of the Sign of the Cross, [1856]objected to Skip, [1857]a Revisionist Smalcald, [1858]The League of Smith, Sir Thomas, [1859]Smith, Sir Thomas Smithfield, [1860]The fires of Solemn League and Covenant, [1861]Solemn League and Covenant, [1862]Solemn League and Covenant Sparkes, Dr, [1863]Sparkes, Dr Sparrow, [1864]at the Savoy Conference Special Prayers, [1865]Special Prayers introduced, [1866]Thanksgivings Spires, Diet of, [1867]Spires, Diet of Spiritual Lords, [1868]regain their seats in Parliament Statute, [1869]The Bloody Surplice, [1870]objected to Swiss Protestants, [1871]Swiss Protestants Table of the Vigils, The, [1872]Table of the Vigils, The Taylor, [1873]Doctrinal opinions of Te Deum, [1874]Mistranslations in, [1875]Te Deum Tertulilan, [1876]Tertulilan Thanksgiving, [1877]The General Thanksgivings, [1878]Special Thirlby, Bishop of Westminster, [1879]Character of, [1880]Revisionist, [1881]Roman tendencies of Translation, [1882]of Holy Scriptures, The Transubstantiation, [1883]The Doctrine of Uniformity, [1884]Acts of, [1885]Acts of Uniformity, Acts of, [1886]Uniformity, Acts of Uses, The, [1887]Uses, The Vestiarian, [1888]Controversy, The, [1889]Controversy, The, [1890]Controversy, The, [1891]Controversy, The, [1892]Controversy, The Vigils, [1893]The table of the Watson, Bishop of Lincoln, [1894]committed to the Tower Westminster Assembly, [1895]Appointed, [1896]Burial of the Dead Westminster, [1897]Bishop of Westminster Abbey, [1898]Westminster Abbey, debate in Westminster Assembly, [1899]The Directory White, Bishop, [1900]of Winchester, committed to the Tower Whitehead, [1901]Whitehead Windsor Castle, [1902]the place of meeting for the First Revisionists Words of Administration, [1903]Words of Administration, The, [1904]Words of Administration, The Worship, [1905]Bill for Uniformity of Public Wren, Bishop, [1906]Wren, Bishop York, [1907]Use Zuinglianism, [1908]Zuinglianism "Rest, Saints' Everlasting," [1909]Baxter's "Saints' Everlasting Rest," [1910]by Baxter Abbey of Ely, [1911]Abbey of Ely, Division of the revenues, [1912]Picture of Bishop Cox's funeral Absolution, [1913]Absolution, The title of the, enlarged Act against irreverence in the Sacrament, [1914]An

Act...unreverently...against the Sacrament Act of Six Articles, [1915]Influenced by Bullinger, [1916]Rebellion against Act of Uniformity, [1917]Act of Uniformity, [1918]Act of Uniformity, [1919]Act of Uniformity, [1920]Act of Uniformity, [1921]Act of Uniformity with the restored

Prayer-book, [1922]Act of Uniformity, 1549 AD, [1923]Act of Uniformity, 1552 AD, [1924]Act of Uniformity, 1559, [1925]Act of Uniformity, 1559, [1926]Act of Uniformity, 1662 A.D. Act of Uniformity, protests against, [1927]Act of Uniformity Act of Uniformity, re-establish BCP, [1928]Act of Uniformity Administration, [1929]Administration, The Formula of, [1930]Administration, The Formula of, [1931]Administration, The Formula of, [1932]Administration, The Formula of, [1933]Administration, of Baptism, [1934]Administration, of Baptism Advertisements, [1935]Advertisements of Elizabeth, [1936]Advertisements of Elizabeth Agape or Love-feast, [1937]Agape or Love-feast Agnus Dei, [1938]Agnus Dei, [1939]Agnus Dei Altar, [1940]Altar, erased, [1941]Altar, the term retained Apocryphal, [1942]lessons of, [1943]lessons of, [1944]lessons of Ariminum Council, [1945]Ariminum Council, 359 AD Assessors, [1946]At the First Revision Athanasian Creed, [1947]First Prayer-book, recited at Feasts Attleborough, [1948]Rising at Baptismal Office, [1949]Baptismal Office, [1950]Regeneration of Infants Baxter's Liturgy, [1951]Baxter's Liturgy Benedictine Rule, [1952]Benedictine Rule of Life, [1953]Confession and Absolution, no place Benedictine monks, [1954]Benedictine monks Bishopric of Ely, [1955]Bishopric of Ely, [1956]Vacant Black Bartholomew, [1957]Black Bartholomew, [1958]Black Bartholomew Black Rubric, [1959]Altered and reintroduced, [1960]Black Rubric, [1961]Introduced, [1962]Omitted Breda Declaration, [1963]Breda Declaration Breviary, [1964]Breviary, [1965]Breviary Breviary, The, [1966]Breviary, The Britain Christianised, [1967]Britain Christianised British Bishops, Early, [1968]Early British Bishops Burial of the Dead, [1969]According to the Directory, [1970]Changes to Service Calendar, [1971]Calendar, [1972]Calendar Calendar or Pie, [1973]Calendar or Pie Cannon Row, Westminster, [1974]Meetings at Canon Law, [1975]Canon Law Canonical Hours, [1976]Canonical Hours, [1977]Sarum Breviary, Morning, [1978]Saum Breviary, Evening, [1979]eight in number Canterbury Cathedral, [1980]Mass restored, [1981]Proscribed Liturgy read, [1982]Return of King Charles Canticles, [1983]Alternative Canticles Catechism, [1984]By whom composed, [1985]Explanation of Sacraments, [1986]Explanation of the Sacraments, [1987]Separated from Confirmation Cathedral, [1988]Commandments over the altar, [1989]Liberty of ceremony granted, [1990]Public worship in, [1991]Stripped of vestments, [1992]To procure a sealed copy Cathedral in Scotland, [1993]Scottish Liturgy induces riot Cathedral of Christ Church, [1994]St Frideswide bones restored Cathedral of Durham, [1995]Bishop Cosin, [1996]Roman Mass said again Cathedral of Ely, [1997]Cathedral of Ely, [1998]Edition with the Great Seal, [1999]Ely Cathedral, [2000]Gunning monument Cathedral of St Paul, [2001]Vestments desposed of Clergy, Emigration, [2002]To the Continent Code of Justinian, [2003]Liturgy understood by the people Collects, [2004]Sixth Sunday after the Epiphany, new., [2005]St Stephen's, rewritten, [2006]Third Sunday of Advent, new., [2007]Translation of from Latin., [2008]the beauty of the language Committee of Revision, [2009]1542-8 A.D., [2010]1559 A.D., [2011]1661 A.D. Commune Sanctorum, [2012]Commune Sanctorum Concordat, Scheme for a General, [2013]Concordat, Scheme for a General Confession, [2014]Private, discouraged, [2015]Public, Form of, introduced Confirmation, [2016]rubric Convocation, [2017]Convocation Cornwall, [2018]Insurrection in Cosin, [2019]Bishop of Durham, [2020]his Liturgical Works Council, [2021]Edict of Privy Council, [2022]of Aries, [2023]of Cloves-hoo, [2024]of Cloveshoo, 747 A.D., [2025]of Laodicea, [2026]of Lyons, 1274 AD, [2027]of Nicæa, [2028]of Nicæa, 325 AD, [2029]of Sardica Cox, [2030]Character of, [2031]his pluralities Cranmer, [2032]Character of Archbishop, [2033]his variability Cranmer, Archbishop, [2034]Declines Calvin's offer Day, Bishop of Chichester, [2035]Revisionist Dead, [2036]Prayers for the Decalogue,

[2037]Introduction of, into the Communion Office Devonshire, [2038]Insurrection inDiary, [2039]Evelyn'sDirectory, [2040]Characteristic features of, [2041]for Public Worship, The, [2042]the contents ofDissolution, [2043]of MonasteriesDivine Office, [2044]Use, BenedictinesDivines, [2045]The Committee of, for translating the BibleDivinum officium, [2046]Divinum officiumDivorce, [2047]of Queen CatherineDurham, [2048]Insurrection atEccliestiastical Polity, [2049]Ecclesiastical Polity, theEdict, [2050]of Privy CouncilEdward VI, [2051]Death of KingEly, [2052]Bishopric of, [2053]vacantEpistolarium, [2054]EpistolariumErasmus, [2055]revives the study of Greek, [2056]the Greek Testament ofEssex, [2057]EssexEvangelistarium, [2058]EvangelistariumEvelyn's, [2059]DiaryExeter, [2060]The siege ofExhortation, [2061]Addition of the, to Matins and Evensong, [2062]before the Holy Communion, Alteration ofFeckknam, Abbot of Westminster, [2063]speech ofFeria, de, [2064]Feria, deFirst Prayer-book, [2065]Preface toFriars, [2066]The preachingGallican Liturgy, [2067]Structure of, [2068]introduced into BritainGoodrich, [2069]Character of, [2070]RevisionistGospel and Epistle, [2071]for 6th Sunday after the EpiphanyGraduale, [2072]GradualeGray, [2073]RevisionistGregory VII, [2074]The Reforms ofGregory the Great, [2075]Letter of, to St. AugustineGuest, [2076]Geste or GuestGunning, [2077]Character ofHampton Court, [2078]Conference, TheHarpfield, [2079]Archp. of CanterburyHatton, Sir Christopher, [2080]Garden, [2081]Hatton, Sir ChristopherHaynes, [2082]Haynes, [2083]Opinions ofHenchman, [2084]HenchmanHereford Use, [2085]Hereford UseHerman, [2086]The death of, 1087 ADHolbeach, [2087]HolbeachHooker, Richard, [2088]Hooker, RichardHooper, John, [2089]and the Vestiarian disputeHorn of Durham, [2090]Horn of DurhamHours, Canonical, [2091]Condensation of the seven, [2092]Hours, CanonicalInfants, [2093]Regeneration ofInjunctions, [2094]of ElizabethInterim, The, [2095]Interim, TheJacomb, [2096]JacombJames I, [2097]King, at Hampton CourtJustin Martyr, [2098]Writings ofJustinian, [2099]The Code ofJuxon, Archbishop, [2100]Juxon, ArchbishopKalendarium, [2101]KalendariumKett, [2102]The Execution ofKnewstub, [2103]KnewstubKnox, John, [2104]Knox, JohnLAITY, [2105]The priesthood of theLangdale, [2106]Archdeacon of LewesLaodicea, [2107]Council ofLasco, John à, [2108]Lasco, John à, [2109]Life ofLatimer, [2110]LatimerLatin, [2111]The use of, in Divine ServiceLaud, Archbishop, [2112]Laud, ArchbishopLaw, Canon, [2113]Law, CanonLearning, The New, [2114]Learning, The NewLectionarius, [2115]LectionariusLeicester, [2116]"The wicked Earl,"Lessons, The Apocryphal, [2117]modifiedLightfoot, [2118]LightfootLincoln Use, [2119]Lincoln UseLitany, [2120]Liberty to omit the, withdrawn, [2121]The EnglishLiturgy, [2122]Outline of the Gallican, [2123]The Gailican, [2124]of EphesusLord's Supper, [2125]Title of theLower House of Convocation, [2126]Lower House of ConvocationLyons, [2127]Council ofManual, The, [2128]Manual, The, [2129]Manual, The, [2130]Manual, TheMartyr, Justin, [2131]Writings ofMartyr, Peter, [2132]Martyr, PeterMary Magdalene, [2133]Saint, Service omittedMaskell's Primer, [2134]Maskell's Primer, [2135]Maskell's PrimerMass, [2136]The title ofMatrimonial Office, [2137]Matrimonial OfficeMatthew, [2138]Bishop of Durham, [2139]Bishop of DurhamMay, [2140]Character of, [2141]Revisionist, [2142]RevisionistMelanchthon, [2143]MelanchthonMillenary Petition, [2144]Millenary Petition, TheMissal, The, [2145]Missal, The, [2146]Missal, TheMission of St. Augustine, [2147]Mission of St. AugustineMonasteries, [2148]Bangor Iscoed, [2149]Bangor Wydrin, [2150]Bangor over Conway, [2151]Dissolution of, [2152]Religion confined to theMonasticism, [2153]Spread of, in EnglandMorley, [2154]Bishop of Worcester, [2155]RevisionistMusic, [2156]in Divine ServiceNew Learning, The, [2157]New Learning, TheNicæa, [2158]The Council ofNicholson, Bishop of

Gloucester, [2159]RevisionistNorfolk, [2160]Rising inNorthampton, [2161]Queen Elizabeth's
 CouncillorNowell, Dean, [2162]Sermon of, before the Queen, [2163]reputed author of the
 CatechismOak of Reformation, the, [2164]Oak of Reformation, theOblation, [2165]The prayer
 ofOffice for the Baptism, [2166]of adults, The newOrder of the Communion, [2167]Contents of,
 [2168]Order of the CommunionOrigen, [2169]OrigenOrnaments Rubric, [2170]Introduction of
 theOsmund, Bishop, [2171]of SarumOverall, [2172]Author of the Explanation of the Sacraments in
 the Catechism, [2173]Explanantion of the Sacraments, [2174]Overall, [2175]at the Hampton Court
 ConferenceParker, [2176]Revisionist, [2177]in danger of his lifePearson, [2178]at the Savoy
 ConferencePetition, The Millenary, [2179]Petition, The MillenaryPie, The, [2180]Pie,
 ThePilkington, [2181]RevisionistPolity, The Ecclesiastical, [2182]of HookerPontifical, The,
 [2183]Pontifical, ThePosition of the Reader in Church, [2184]Position of the Reader in
 ChurchPrayer for The Church Militant, [2185]Additions to, in the Caroline Settlement, [2186]Prayer
 for The Church MilitantPrayer of Oblation, The, [2187]Prayer of Oblation, The, [2188]displaced
 Prayer-book, [2189]Title of thePreface to the Prayer-book, [2190]First Prayer-book, [2191]in 1662
 ADPresbyterian Ministers, [2192]Ejectment of, [2193]The conduct ofPresence, The real, [2194]in
 the First Book, [2195]obscured in the SecondPrimer, The King's, [2196]Primer, The King'sPrimers,
 The, [2197]Primers, ThePrivate Baptism, [2198]Private Baptism, [2199]Private BaptismPrivy
 Council, The, [2200]Privy Council, TheProprium de Tempore, [2201]Cities, The fourteen,
 [2202]Proprium de Tempore, [2203]SanctorumProtestant, [2204]origin of the termProtestants,
 [2205]ForeignPsalms, [2206]Alternative reading of Psalms, [2207]Arrangement of, [2208]Dropped
 from the Burial Order, [2209]Evening Prayer, [2210]Linked in worship, [2211]Morning Prayer,
 [2212]Penitential Psalms, [2213]Shorter Psalms, [2214]Singing of, [2215]Singing of, [2216]Singing
 of Psalms of Praise, [2217]Songs of praise, [2218]Sung at Matins and Vespers, [2219]Table of
 Psalms, [2220]divided into seven portions, [2221]the CantatePsalterium,
 [2222]PsalteriumPuritans, [2223]the exceptionsQueen Catherine, [2224]Elizabeth, Doctrinal views
 of, [2225]Rapacity of, [2226]the Divorce ofQuignonez, Cardinal, [2227]Revised Liturgy ofReader,
 [2228]The position of the, in ChurchRedmayn, [2229]Opinions of, [2230]Opinions of,
 [2231]RevisionistRefugees, [2232]Foreign, in EnglandResults of the Hampton Court Conference,
 [2233]Hampton Court ConferenceRevision, [2234]Causes leading to, [2235]First Committee of by
 whom appointedRevisionists, [2236]Names ofReynolds, Dr, [2237]Reynolds, DrRidley, Bishop of
 Rochester, [2238]a RevisionistRising in Norfolk, [2239]Rising in NorfolkRituale,
 [2240]RitualeRobertson, [2241]a RevisionistRubric, [2242]Introduction of the Ornaments,
 [2243]Omission of the BlackRubrical change, [2244]general, [2245]in 1662 A.DRule, [2246]The
 BenedictineSacrificial, [2247]Aspect of the Holy Eucharist, [2248]Aspect of the Holy
 EucharistSaints, [2249]Stories ofSancroft, [2250]Secretary to the Commission, 1661
 ADSanderson, [2251]Author of the Preface, [2252]a Revisionist, 1661 ADSardica, [2253]Council
 ofSarum, [2254]UseSavoy, [2255]Conference, TheScory, [2256]late Bishop of ChichesterScotch
 Service-book, [2257]History of, [2258]Influence of, on the Final Revision, [2259]Its recognition of
 Catholic DoctrineSealed Books, The, [2260]Sealed Books, TheSentences prefixed to,
 [2261]Evensong, [2262]MatinsService Order, [2263]Use, Bangor, [2264]Use, Bangor, [2265]Use,
 Bangor, [2266]Use, Hereford, [2267]Use, Lincoln, [2268]Use, York, [2269]Use, YorkServices,
 Table of, [2270]in the BreviaryShaxton, Nicholas, [2271]Vacillation ofSick, [2272]Rubrical
 Changes in the Service, [2273]Visitation and Communion of theSign of the Cross, [2274]objected
 toSkip, [2275]a RevisionistSmalcald, [2276]The League ofSmith, Sir Thomas, [2277]Smith, Sir

ThomasSmithfield, [2278]The fires ofSolemn League and Covenant, [2279]Solemn League and Covenant, [2280]Solemn League and CovenantSparkes, Dr, [2281]Sparkes, DrSparrow, [2282]at the Savoy ConferenceSpecial Prayers, [2283]Special Prayers introduced, [2284]ThanksgivingsSpires, Diet of, [2285]Spires, Diet ofSpiritual Lords, [2286]regain their seats in ParliamentStatute, [2287]The BloodySurplice, [2288]objected toSwiss Protestants, [2289]Swiss ProtestantsTable of the Vigils, The, [2290]Table of the Vigils, TheTaylor, [2291]Doctrinal opinions ofTe Deum, [2292]Mistranslations in, [2293]Te DeumTertulilan, [2294]TertulilanThanksgiving, [2295]The GeneralThanksgivings, [2296]SpecialThirlby, Bishop of Westminster, [2297]Character of, [2298]Revisionist, [2299]Roman tendencies ofTranslation, [2300]of Holy Scriptures, TheTransubstantiation, [2301]The Doctrine ofUniformity, [2302]Acts of, [2303]Acts ofUniformity, Acts of, [2304]Uniformity, Acts ofUses, The, [2305]Uses, TheVestiarian, [2306]Controversy, The, [2307]Controversy, The, [2308]Controversy, The, [2309]Controversy, The, [2310]Controversy, TheVigils, [2311]The table of theWatson, Bishop of Lincoln, [2312]committed to the TowerWestminster Assembly, [2313]Appointed, [2314]Burial of the DeadWestminster, [2315]Bishop ofWestminster Abbey, [2316]Westminster Abbey, debate inWestminster Assembly, [2317]The DirectoryWhite, Bishop, [2318]of Winchester, committed to the TowerWhitehead, [2319]WhiteheadWindsor Castle, [2320]the place of meeting for the First RevisionistsWords of Administration, [2321]Words of Administration, The, [2322]Words of Administration, TheWorship, [2323]Bill for Uniformity of PublicWren, Bishop, [2324]Wren, BishopYork, [2325]UseZuinglianism, [2326]Zuinglianism

Subject Index

"Rest, Saints' Everlasting," [2327]Baxter's "Saints' Everlasting Rest," [2328]by BaxterAbbey of Ely, [2329]Abbey of Ely, Division of the revenues, [2330]Picture of Bishop Cox's funeralAbsolution, [2331]Absolution, The title of the, enlargedAct against irreverence in the Sacrament, [2332]An Act...unreverently...against the SacramentAct of Six Articles, [2333]Rebellion againstBloody Statute, Enactment of the, [2334]Influenced by BullingerAct of Uniformity, [2335]Act of Uniformity, [2336]Act of Uniformity, [2337]Act of Uniformity, [2338]Act of Uniformity, [2339]Act of Uniformity with the restored Prayer-book, [2340]Act of Uniformity, 1549 AD, [2341]Act of Uniformity, 1552 AD, [2342]Act of Uniformity, 1559, [2343]Act of Uniformity, 1559, [2344]Act of Uniformity, 1662 A.D.Act of Uniformity, protests against, [2345]Act of UniformityAct of Uniformity, re-establish BCP, [2346]Act of UniformityAdministration, [2347]Administration, The Formula of, [2348]Administration, The Formula of, [2349]Administration, The Formula of, [2350]Administration, The Formula of, [2351]Administration, of Baptism, [2352]Administration, of BaptismAdvertisements, [2353]Advertisements of Elizabeth, [2354]Advertisements of ElizabethAgape or Love-feast, [2355]Agape or Love-feastAgnus Dei, [2356]Agnus Dei, [2357]Agnus DeiAltar, [2358]Altar, erased, [2359]Altar, the term retainedApocryphal, [2360]lessons of, [2361]lessons of, [2362]lessons ofAriminum Council, [2363]Ariminum Council, 359 ADAssessors, [2364]At the First RevisionAthanasian Creed, [2365]First Prayer-book, recited at FeastsAttleborough, [2366]Risinsg atBaptismal Office, [2367]Baptismal Office, [2368]Regeneration of InfantsBaxter's Liturgy, [2369]Baxter's LiturgyBenedictine Rule, [2370]Benedictine Rule of Life, [2371]Confession and Absolution, no placeBenedictine monks, [2372]Benedictine monksBishopric of Ely, [2373]Bishopric of Ely, [2374]VacantBlack Bartholomew, [2375]Black Bartholomew, [2376]Black BartholomewBlack Rubric, [2377]Altered and reintroduced, [2378]Black Rubric, [2379]Introduced, [2380]OmittedBreda Declaration, [2381]Breda DeclarationBreviary, [2382]Breviary, [2383]BreviaryBreviary, The, [2384]Breviary, TheBritain Christianised, [2385]Britain ChristianisedBritish Bishops, Early, [2386]Early British BishopsBurial of the Dead, [2387]According to the Directory, [2388]Changes to ServiceCalendar, [2389]Calendar, [2390]CalendarCalendar or Pie, [2391]Calendar or PieCannon Row, Westminster, [2392]Meetings atCanon Law, [2393]Canon LawCanonical Hours, [2394]Canonical Hours, [2395]Sarum Breviary, Morning, [2396]Saurm Breviary, Evening, [2397]eight in numberCanterbury Cathedral, [2398]Mass restored, [2399]Proscribed Liturgy read, [2400]Return of King CharlesCanticles, [2401]Alternative CanticlesCatechism, [2402]By whom composed, [2403]Explanation of Sacraments, [2404]Explanation of the Sacraments, [2405]Separated from ConfirmationCathedral, [2406]Commandments over the altar, [2407]Liberty of ceremony granted, [2408]Public worship in, [2409]Stripped of vestments, [2410]To procure a sealed copyCathedral in Scotland, [2411]Scottish Liturgy induces riotCathedral of Christ Church, [2412]St Frideswide bones restoredCathedral of Durham, [2413]Bishop Cosin, [2414]Roman Mass said againCathedral of Ely, [2415]Cathedral of Ely, [2416]Edition with the Great Seal, [2417]Ely Cathedral, [2418]Gunning monumentCathedral of St Paul, [2419]Vestments desposed ofClergy, Emigration, [2420]To the ContinentCode of Justinian, [2421]Liturgy understood by the peopleCollects, [2422]Sixth Sunday after the Epiphany,

new., [2423]St Stehpen's, rewritten, [2424]Third Sunday of Advent, new., [2425]Translation of from Latin., [2426]the beauty of the languageCommittee of Revision, [2427]1542-8 A.D., [2428]1559 A.D., [2429]1661 A.D.Commune Sanctorum, [2430]Commune SanctorumConcordat, Scheme for a General, [2431]Concordat, Scheme for a GeneralConfession, [2432]Private, discouraged, [2433]Public, Form of, introducedConfirmation, [2434]rubricConvocation, [2435]ConvocationCornwall, [2436]Insurrection inCosin, [2437]Bishop of Durham, [2438]his Liturgical WorksCouncil, [2439]Edict of Privy Council, [2440]of Aries, [2441]of Cloves-hoo, [2442]of Cloveshoo, 747 A.D., [2443]of Laodicea, [2444]of Lyons, 1274 AD, [2445]of Nicæa, [2446]of Nicæa, 325 AD, [2447]of SardicaCox, [2448]Character of, [2449]his pluralitiesCranmer, [2450]Character of Archbishop, [2451]his variabilityCranmer, Archbishop, [2452]Declines Calvin's offerDay, Bishop of Chichester, [2453]RevisionistDead, [2454]Prayers for theDecalogue, [2455]Introduction of, into the Communion OfficeDevonshire, [2456]Insurrection inDiary, [2457]Evelyn'sDirectory, [2458]Characteristic features of, [2459]for Public Worship, The, [2460]the contents ofDissolution, [2461]of MonasteriesDivine Office, [2462]Use, BenedictinesDivines, [2463]The Committee of, for translating the BibleDivinum officium, [2464]Divinum officiumDivorce, [2465]of Queen CatherineDurham, [2466]Insurrection atEccliestiaical Polity, [2467]Ecclesiastical Polity, the Edict, [2468]of Privy CouncilEdward VI, [2469]Death of KingEly, [2470]Bishopric of, [2471]vacantEpistolarium, [2472]EpistolariumErasmus, [2473]revives the study of Greek, [2474]the Greek Testament ofEssex, [2475]EssexEvangelistarium, [2476]EvangelistariumEvelyn's, [2477]DiaryExeter, [2478]The siege ofExhortation, [2479]Addition of the, to Matins and Evensong, [2480]before the Holy Communion, Alteration ofFeckknam, Abbot of Westminster, [2481]speech ofFeria, de, [2482]Feria, deFirst Prayer-book, [2483]Preface toFriars, [2484]The preachingGallican Liturgy, [2485]Structure of, [2486]introduced into BritainGoodrich, [2487]Character of, [2488]RevisionistGospel and Epistle, [2489]for 6th Sunday after the EpiphanyGraduale, [2490]GradualeGray, [2491]RevisionistGregory VII, [2492]The Reforms ofGregory the Great, [2493]Letter of, to St. AugustineGuest, [2494]Geste or GuestGunning, [2495]Character ofHampton Court, [2496]Conference, TheHarpsfield, [2497]Archp. of CanterburyHatton, Sir Christopher, [2498]Garden, [2499]Hatton, Sir ChristopherHaynes, [2500]Haynes, [2501]Opinions ofHenchman, [2502]HenchmanHereford Use, [2503]Hereford UseHerman, [2504]The death of, 1087 ADHolbeach, [2505]HolbeachHooker, Richard, [2506]Hooker, RichardHooper, John, [2507]and the Vestiarian disputeHorn of Durham, [2508]Horn of DurhamHours, Canonical, [2509]Condensation of the seven, [2510]Hours, CanonicalInfants, [2511]Regeneration ofInjunctions, [2512]of ElizabethInterim, The, [2513]Interim, TheJacomb, [2514]JacombJames I, [2515]King, at Hampton CourtJustin Martyr, [2516]Writings ofJustinian, [2517]The Code ofJuxon, Archbishop, [2518]Juxon, ArchbishopKalendarium, [2519]KalendariumKett, [2520]The Execution ofKnewstub, [2521]KnewstubKnox, John, [2522]Knox, JohnLAITY, [2523]The priesthood of theLangdale, [2524]Archdeacon of LewesLaodicea, [2525]Council ofLasco, John à, [2526]Lasco, John à, [2527]Life ofLatimer, [2528]LatimerLatin, [2529]The use of, in Divine ServiceLaud, Archbishop, [2530]Laud, ArchbishopLaw, Canon, [2531]Law, CanonLearning, The New, [2532]Learning, The NewLectionarius, [2533]LectionariusLeicester, [2534]"The wicked Earl,"Lessons, The Apocryphal, [2535]modifiedLightfoot, [2536]LightfootLincoln Use, [2537]Lincoln UseLitany, [2538]Liberty to omit the, withdrawn, [2539]The EnglishLiturgy, [2540]Outline of the Gallican, [2541]The Gailican, [2542]of EphesusLord's Supper, [2543]Title of theLower House of Convocation, [2544]Lower

House of ConvocationLyons, [2545]Council ofManual, The, [2546]Manual, The, [2547]Manual, The, [2548]Manual, TheMartyr, Justin, [2549]Writings ofMartyr, Peter, [2550]Martyr, PeterMary Magdalene, [2551]Saint, Service omittedMaskell's Primer, [2552]Maskell's Primer, [2553]Maskell's PrimerMass, [2554]The title ofMatrimonial Office, [2555]Matrimonial OfficeMatthew, [2556]Bishop of Durham, [2557]Bishop of DurhamMay, [2558]Character of, [2559]Revisionist, [2560]RevisionistMelanchthon, [2561]MelanchthonMillenary Petition, [2562]Millenary Petition, TheMissal, The, [2563]Missal, The, [2564]Missal, TheMission of St. Augustine, [2565]Mission of St. AugustineMonasteries, [2566]Bangor Iscoed, [2567]Bangor Wydrin, [2568]Bangor over Conway, [2569]Dissolution of, [2570]Religion confined to theMonasticism, [2571]Spread of, in EnglandMorley, [2572]Bishop of Worcester, [2573]RevisionistMusic, [2574]in Divine ServiceNew Learning, The, [2575]New Learning, TheNicæa, [2576]The Council ofNicholson, Bishop of Gloucester, [2577]RevisionistNorfolk, [2578]Rising inNorthampton, [2579]Queen Elizabeth's CouncillorNowell, Dean, [2580]Sermon of, before the Queen, [2581]reputed author of the CatechismOak of Reformation, the, [2582]Oak of Reformation, theOblation, [2583]The prayer ofOffice for the Baptism, [2584]of adults, The newOrder of the Communion, [2585]Contents of, [2586]Order of the CommunionOrigen, [2587]OrigenOrnaments Rubric, [2588]Introduction of theOsmund, Bishop, [2589]of SarumOverall, [2590]Author of the Explanation of the Sacraments in the Catechism, [2591]Explanantion of the Sacraments, [2592]Overall, [2593]at the Hampton Court ConferenceParker, [2594]Revisionist, [2595]in danger of his lifePearson, [2596]at the Savoy ConferencePetition, The Millenary, [2597]Petition, The MillenaryPie, The, [2598]Pie, ThePilkington, [2599]RevisionistPolity, The Ecclesiastical, [2600]of HookerPontifical, The, [2601]Pontifical, ThePosition of the Reader in Church, [2602]Position of the Reader in ChurchPrayer for The Church Militant, [2603]Additions to, in the Caroline Settlement, [2604]Prayer for The Church MilitantPrayer of Oblation, The, [2605]Prayer of Oblation, The, [2606]displacedPrayer-book, [2607]Title of thePreface to the Prayer-book, [2608]First Prayer-book, [2609]in 1662 ADPresbyterian Ministers, [2610]Ejection of, [2611]The conduct ofPresence, The real, [2612]in the First Book, [2613]obscured in the SecondPrimer, The King's, [2614]Primer, The King'sPrimers, The, [2615]Primers, ThePrivate Baptism, [2616]Private Baptism, [2617]Private BaptismPrivy Council, The, [2618]Privy Council, The Proprium de Tempore, [2619]Cities, The fourteen, [2620]Proprium de Tempore, [2621]SanctorumProtestant, [2622]origin of the termProtestants, [2623]ForeignPsalms, [2624]Alternative reading of Psalms, [2625]Arrangement of, [2626]Dropped from the Burial Order, [2627]Evening Prayer, [2628]Linked in worship, [2629]Morning Prayer, [2630]Penitential Psalms, [2631]Shorter Psalms, [2632]Singing of, [2633]Singing of, [2634]Singing of Psalms of Praise, [2635]Songs of praise, [2636]Sung at Matins and Vespers, [2637]Table of Psalms, [2638]divided into seven portions, [2639]the CantatePsalterium, [2640]PsalteriumPuritans, [2641]the exceptionsQueen Catherine, [2642]Elizabeth, Doctrinal views of, [2643]Rapacity of, [2644]the Divorce ofQuignonez, Cardinal, [2645]Revised Liturgy ofReader, [2646]The position of the, in ChurchRedmayn, [2647]Opinions of, [2648]Opinions of, [2649]RevisionistRefugees, [2650]Foreign, in EnglandResults of the Hampton Court Conference, [2651]Hampton Court ConferenceRevision, [2652]Causes leading to, [2653]First Committee of by whom appointedRevisionists, [2654]Names ofReynolds, Dr, [2655]Reynolds, DrRidley, Bishop of Rochester, [2656]a RevisionistRising in Norfolk, [2657]Rising in NorfolkRituale, [2658]RitualeRobertson, [2659]a RevisionistRubric, [2660]Introduction of the Ornaments, [2661]Omission of the BlackRubrical change, [2662]general, [2663]in 1662 A.DRule,

[2664]The BenedictineSacrificial, [2665]Aspect of the Holy Eucharist, [2666]Aspect of the Holy EucharistSaints, [2667]Stories ofSancroft, [2668]Secretary to the Commission, 1661 ADSanderson, [2669]Author of the Preface, [2670]a Revisionist, 1661 ADSardica, [2671]Council ofSarum, [2672]UseSavoy, [2673]Conference, TheScory, [2674]late Bishop of ChichesterScotch Service-book, [2675]History of, [2676]Influence of, on the Final Revision, [2677]Its recognition of Catholic DoctrineSealed Books, The, [2678]Sealed Books, TheSentences prefixed to, [2679]Evensong, [2680]MatinsService Order, [2681]Use, Bangor, [2682]Use, Bangor, [2683]Use, Bangor, [2684]Use, Hereford, [2685]Use, Lincoln, [2686]Use, York, [2687]Use, YorkServices, Table of, [2688]in the BreviaryShaxton, Nicholas, [2689]Vacillation ofSick, [2690]Rubrical Changes in the Service, [2691]Visitation and Communion of theSign of the Cross, [2692]objected toSkip, [2693]a RevisionistSmalcald, [2694]The League ofSmith, Sir Thomas, [2695]Smith, Sir ThomasSmithfield, [2696]The fires ofSolemn League and Covenant, [2697]Solemn League and Covenant, [2698]Solemn League and CovenantSparkes, Dr, [2699]Sparkes, DrSparrow, [2700]at the Savoy ConferenceSpecial Prayers, [2701]Special Prayers introduced, [2702]ThanksgivingsPires, Diet of, [2703]Pires, Diet ofSpiritual Lords, [2704]regain their seats in ParliamentStatute, [2705]The BloodySurplice, [2706]objected toSwiss Protestants, [2707]Swiss ProtestantsTable of the Vigils, The, [2708]Table of the Vigils, TheTaylor, [2709]Doctrinal opinions ofTe Deum, [2710]Mistranslations in, [2711]Te DeumTertulilan, [2712]TertulilanThanksgiving, [2713]The GeneralThanksgivings, [2714]SpecialThirlby, Bishop of Westminster, [2715]Character of, [2716]Revisionist, [2717]Roman tendencies ofTranslation, [2718]of Holy Scriptures, TheTransubstantiation, [2719]The Doctrine ofUniformity, [2720]Acts of, [2721]Acts ofUniformity, Acts of, [2722]Uniformity, Acts ofUses, The, [2723]Uses, TheVestiarian, [2724]Controversy, The, [2725]Controversy, The, [2726]Controversy, The, [2727]Controversy, The, [2728]Controversy, TheVigils, [2729]The table of theWatson, Bishop of Lincoln, [2730]committed to the TowerWestminster Assembly, [2731]Appointed, [2732]Burial of the DeadWestminster, [2733]Bishop ofWestminster Abbey, [2734]Westminster Abbey, debate inWestminster Assembly, [2735]The DirectoryWhite, Bishop, [2736]of Winchester, committed to the TowerWhitehead, [2737]WhiteheadWindsor Castle, [2738]the place of meeting for the First RevisionistsWords of Administration, [2739]Words of Administration, The, [2740]Words of Administration, TheWorship, [2741]Bill for Uniformity of PublicWren, Bishop, [2742]Wren, BishopYork, [2743]UseZuinglianism, [2744]Zuinglianism

Grow in Your Walk with Christ

Listen and read messages that will stir your heart for Christ and point you to deeper repentance and devotion.

- 50,000+ Sermons from speakers past and present
- 3,900+ Classic Christian Books freely readable online
 - 1,200+ Bible Translations and Commentaries
- Over 450k forum posts — Join our vibrant online Christian forum

www.sermonindex.net