

DIONYSIUS THE AREOPAGITE: ON THE DIVINE NAMES AND THE MYSTICAL

by Clarence Edwin Rolt

Clarence Edwin Rolt's exploration of demons and prayer in Christian doctrine and practice.

17 Chapters

Table of Contents

0. Dionysius the Areopagite: On the Divine Names and the Mystical
1. CHAPTER I: Dionysius the Presbyter, to his fellow-Presbyter Timothy. [45]
2. CHAPTER II: Concerning the Undifferencing and the Differentiation in Divinity, a...
3. CHAPTER III: What is the power of Prayer? Also concerning the Blessed Hierotheus...
4. CHAPTER IV: Concerning "Good," "Light," "Beautiful," "Desire," "Ecstasy,"
5. CHAPTER V: Concerning "Existence" and also concerning "Exemplars."
6. CHAPTER VI: Concerning "Life."
7. CHAPTER VII: Concerning "Wisdom," "Mind," "Reason," "Truth," "Faith."
8. CHAPTER VIII: Concerning "Power," "Righteousness," "Salvation," "Redemption"; an...
9. CHAPTER IX: Concerning "Great," "Small," "Same," "Different," "Like," "Unlike,"
10. CHAPTER X: Concerning "Omnipotent," "Ancient of Days"; and also concerning
11. CHAPTER XI: Concerning "Peace" and what is meant by "Very Being" Itself, "Very
12. CHAPTER XII: Concerning "Holy of holies," "King of kings," "Lord of lords," "God...
13. CHAPTER XIII: Concerning "Perfect" and "One."
14. CHAPTER I: What is the Divine Gloom.
15. CHAPTER III: What are the affirmative expressions respecting God, and what are t...
16. CHAPTER V: That He Who is the Pre-eminent Cause of everything intelligibly

Chapter 0

Dionysius the Areopagite: On the Divine Names and the Mystical

CHAPTER I: Dionysius the Presbyter, to his fellow-Presbyter Timothy. [45]

Dionysius the Presbyter, to his fellow-Presbyter Timothy. [45]

What is the purpose of the discourse, and what the tradition concerning the Divine Names.

1. Now, Blessed Timothy, the Outlines of Divinity [46] being ended, I will proceed, so far as in me lies, to an Exposition of the Divine Names. And here also let us set before our minds the scriptural rule that in speaking about God we should declare the Truth, not with enticing words of man's wisdom, but in demonstration of the power which the Spirit [47] stirred up in the Sacred Writers, whereby, in a manner surpassing speech and knowledge, [48] we embrace those truths which, in like manner, surpass them, in that Union which exceeds our faculty, and exercise of discursive, and of intuitive reason. [49] We must not then dare to speak, or indeed to form any conception, of the hidden super-essential [50] Godhead, except those things that are revealed to us from the Holy Scriptures. [51] For a super-essential understanding of It is proper to Unknowing, which lieth in the Super-Essence Thereof surpassing Discourse, Intuition and Being; acknowledging which truth let us lift up our eyes towards the steep height, so far as the effluent light of the Divine Scriptures grants its aid, and, as we strive to ascend unto those Supernal Rays, let us gird ourselves for the task with holiness and the reverent fear of God. For, if we may safely trust the wise and infallible Scriptures, Divine things are revealed unto each created spirit in proportion to its powers, and in this measure is perception granted through the workings of the Divine goodness, the which in just care for our preservation divinely

tempereth unto finite measure the infinitude of things which pass man's understanding. For even as things which are intellectually discerned [52] cannot be comprehended or perceived by means of those things which belong to the senses, nor simple and imageless things by means of types and images, nor the formless and intangible essence of unembodied things by means of those which have bodily form, [53] by the same law of truth the boundless [54] Super-Essence surpasses Essences, the Super-Intellectual Unity surpasses Intelligences, the One which is beyond thought surpasses the apprehension of thought, and the Good which is beyond utterance surpasses the reach of words. [55] Yea, it is an Unity which is the unifying Source of all unity and a Super-Essential Essence, [56] a Mind beyond the reach of mind [57] and a Word beyond utterance, eluding Discourse, Intuition, Name, and every kind of being. It is the Universal Cause of existence while Itself existing not, for It is beyond all Being and such that It alone could give, with proper understanding thereof, a revelation of Itself.

2. Now concerning this hidden Super-Essential Godhead we must not dare, as I have said, to speak, or even to form any conception Thereof, except those things which are divinely revealed to us from the Holy Scriptures. For as It hath lovingly taught us in the Scriptures concerning Itself [58] the understanding and contemplation of Its actual nature is not accessible to any being; for such knowledge is superessentially exalted above them all. And many of the Sacred Writers thou wilt find who have declared that It is not only invisible and incomprehensible, but also unsearchable and past finding out, since there is no trace of any that have penetrated the hidden depths of Its infinitude. [59] Not that the Good is wholly incommunicable to anything; nay, rather, while dwelling alone by Itself, and having there firmly fixed Its super-essential Ray, It lovingly reveals Itself by

illuminations corresponding to each separate creature's powers, and thus draws upwards holy minds into such contemplation, participation and resemblance [60] of Itself as they can attain--even them that holily and duly strive thereafter and do not seek with impotent presumption the Mystery beyond that heavenly revelation which is so granted as to fit their powers, nor yet through their lower propensity slip down the steep descent, [61] but with unwavering constancy press onwards toward the ray that casts its light upon them and, through the love responsive to these gracious illuminations, speed their temperate and holy flight on the wings of a godly reverence.

3. In obedience to these divine behests which guide all the holy dispositions [62] of the heavenly hosts, we worship with reverent silence the unutterable Truths and, with the unfathomable [63] and holy veneration of our mind, approach that Mystery of Godhead which exceeds all Mind and Being. And we press upwards to those beams which in the Holy Scripture shine upon us; wherefrom we gain the light which leads us unto the Divine praises [64] being supernaturally enlightened by them and conformed unto that sacred hymnody, even so as to behold the Divine enlightenments the which through them are given in such wise as fits our powers, and so as to praise the bounteous Origin of all holy illumination in accordance with that Doctrine, as concerning Itself, wherewith It hath instructed us in the Holy Scriptures. Thus do we learn [65] that It is the Cause and Origin and Being and Life of all creation. [66] And It is unto them that fall away from It a Voice that doth recall them and a Power by which they rise; and to them that have stumbled into a corruption of the Divine image within them, It is a Power of Renewal and Reform; and It is a sacred Grounding to them that feel the shock of unholy assault, and a Security to them that stand: an upward Guidance to them that are being drawn unto It, and a Principle

of Illumination [67] to them that are being enlightened: a Principle of Perfection to them that are being perfected; [68] a principle of Deity to them that are being deified; [69] and of Simplicity to them that are being brought unto simplicity; [70] and of Unity to them that are being brought unto unity. Yea, in a super-essential manner, above the category of origin, It is the Origin of all origin, and the good and bounteous Communication (so far as such may be [71]) of hidden mysteries; and, in a word, It is the life of all things that live and the Being of all that are, the Origin and Cause of all life and being through Its bounty which both brings them into existence and maintains them.

4. These mysteries we learn from the Divine Scriptures, and thou wilt find that in well-nigh all the utterances of the Sacred Writers the Divine Names refer in a Symbolical Revelation [72] to Its beneficent Emanations [73] Wherefore, in almost all consideration of Divine things we see the Supreme Godhead celebrated with holy praises as One and an Unity, through the simplicity and unity of Its supernatural indivisibility, from whence (as from an unifying power) we attain to unity, and through the supernal conjunction of our diverse and separate qualities are knit together each into a Godlike Oneness, and all together into a mutual Godly union [74] And It is called the Trinity because Its supernatural fecundity is revealed in a Threefold Personality, [75] wherefrom all Fatherhood in heaven and on earth exists and draws Its name. And It is called the Universal Cause [76] since all things came into being through Its bounty, whence all being springs; and It is called Wise and Fair because all things which keep their own nature uncorrupted are full of all Divine harmony and holy Beauty; [77] and especially It is called Benevolent [78] because, in one of Its Persons, It verily and wholly shared in our human lot,

calling unto Itself and uplifting the low estate of man, wherefrom, in an ineffable manner, the simple Being of Jesus assumed a compound state, [79] and the Eternal hath taken a temporal existence, and He who supernaturally transcends all the order of all the natural world was born in our Human Nature without any change or confusion of His ultimate properties. And in all the other Divine enlightenments which the occult Tradition of our inspired teachers hath, by mystic Interpretation, accordant with the Scriptures, bestowed upon us, we also have been initiated: apprehending these things in the present life (according to our powers), through the sacred veils of that loving kindness which in the Scriptures and the Hierarchical Traditions, [80] enwrappeth spiritual truths in terms drawn from the world of sense, and super-essential truths in terms drawn from Being, clothing with shapes and forms things which are shapeless and formless, and by a variety of separable symbols, fashioning manifold attributes of the imageless and supernatural Simplicity. But hereafter, when we are corruptible and immortal and attain the blessed lot of being like unto Christ, then (as the Scripture saith), we shall be for ever with the Lord, [81] fulfilled with His visible Theophany in holy contemplations, the which shall shine about us with radiant beams of glory (even as once of old it shone around the Disciples at the Divine Transfiguration); and so shall we, with our mind made passionless and spiritual, participate in a spiritual illumination from Him, and in an union transcending our mental faculties, and there, amidst the blinding blissful impulsions of His dazzling rays, we shall, in a diviner manner than at present, be like unto the heavenly Intelligences. [82] For, as the infallible Scripture saith, we shall be equal to the angels and shall be the Sons of God, being Sons of the Resurrection. [83] But at present we employ (so far as in us lies), appropriate symbols for things Divine; and then

from these we press on upwards according to our powers to behold in simple unity the Truth perceived by spiritual contemplations, and leaving behind us all human notions of godlike things, we still the activities of our minds, and reach (so far as this may be) into the Super-Essential Ray, [84] wherein all kinds of knowledge so have their pre-existent limits (in a transcendently inexpressible manner), that we cannot conceive nor utter It, nor in any wise contemplate the same, seeing that It surpasseth all things, and wholly exceeds our knowledge, and super-essentially contains beforehand (all conjoined within Itself) the bounds of all natural sciences and forces (while yet Its force is not circumscribed by any), and so possesses, beyond the celestial Intelligences, [85] Its firmly fixed abode. For if all the branches of knowledge belong to things that have being, and if their limits have reference to the existing world, then that which is beyond all Being must also be transcendent above all knowledge. [86]

5. But if It is greater than all Reason and all knowledge, and hath Its firm abode altogether beyond Mind and Being, and circumscribes, compacts, embraces and anticipates all things [87] while Itself is altogether beyond the grasp of them all, and cannot be reached by any perception, imagination, conjecture, name, discourse, apprehension, or understanding, how then is our Discourse concerning the Divine Names to be accomplished, since we see that the Super-Essential Godhead is unutterable and nameless? Now, as we said when setting forth our Outlines of Divinity, the One, the Unknowable, the Super-Essential, the Absolute Good (I mean the Trinal Unity of Persons possessing the same Deity and Goodness), tis impossible to describe or to conceive in Its ultimate Nature; nay, even the angelical communions of the heavenly Powers Therewith which we describe as either Impulsions or Derivations [88] from the Unknowable and blinding Goodness are themselves beyond

utterance and knowledge, and belong to none but those angels who, in a manner beyond angelic knowledge, have been counted worthy thereof. And godlike Minds, [89] angelically [90] entering (according to their powers) unto such states of union and being deified and united, through the ceasing of their natural activities, unto the Light Which surpasseth Deity, can find no more fitting method to celebrate its praises than to deny It every manner of Attribute. [91] For by a true and supernatural illumination from their blessed union Therewith, they learn that It is the Cause of all things and yet Itself is nothing, because It super-essentially transcends them all. Thus, as for the Super-Essence of the Supreme Godhead (if we would define the Transcendence of its Transcendent Goodness [92]) it is not lawful to any lover of that Truth which is above all truth to celebrate It as Reason or Power or Mind or Life or Being, but rather as most utterly surpassing all condition, movement, life, imagination, conjecture, name, discourse, thought, conception, being, rest, dwelling, union, [93] limit, infinity, everything that exists. And yet since, as the Subsistence [94] of goodness, It, by the very fact of Its existence, is the Cause of all things, in celebrating the bountiful Providence of the Supreme Godhead we must draw upon the whole creation. For It is both the central Force of all things, and also their final Purpose, and is Itself before them all, and they all subsist in It; and through the fact of Its existence the world is brought into being and maintained; and It is that which all things desire--those which have intuitive or discursive Reason seeking It through knowledge, the next rank of beings through perception, and the rest through vital movement, or the property of mere existence belonging to their state. [95] Conscious of this, the Sacred Writers celebrate It by every Name while yet they call It Nameless. [96]

6. For instance, they call It Nameless when they say that the Supreme Godhead Itself, in one of the mystical visions whereby It was symbolically manifested, rebuked him who said: "What is thy name?" [97] and, as though bidding him not seek by any means of any Name to acquire a knowledge of God, made the answer: "Why askest thou thus after My Name seeing it is secret?" Now is not the secret Name precisely that which is above all names [98] and nameless, and is fixed beyond every name that is named, not only in this world but also in that which is to come? On the other hand, they attribute many names to It when, for instance, they speak of It as declaring: "I am that I am," [99] or "I am the Life," [100] or "the Light," [101] or "God," [102] or "the Truth," [103] and when the Inspired Writers themselves celebrate the Universal Cause with many titles drawn from the whole created universe, such as "Good," [104] and "Fair," [105] and "Wise," [106] as "Beloved," [107] as "God of Gods" and "Lord of Lords", [108] and "Holy of Holies," [109] as "Eternal," [110] as "Existent" [111] and as "Creator of Ages," [112] as "Giver of Life," [113] as "Wisdom," [114] as "Mind," [115] as "Word," [116] as "Knower," [117] as "possessing beforehand all the treasures of knowledge," [118] as "Power," [119] as "Ruler," [120] as "King of kings," [121] as "Ancient of Days;" [122] and as "Him that is the same and whose years shall not fail," [123] as "Salvation," [124] as "Righteousness," [125] as "Sanctification," [126] as "Redemption," [127] as "Surpassing all things in greatness," [128] and yet as being in "the still small breeze." [129] Moreover, they say that He dwells within our minds, and in our souls [130] and bodies, [131] and in heaven and in earth, [132] and that, while remaining Himself, He is at one and the same time within the world around it and above it (yea, above the sky and above existence); and they call Him a Sun, [133] a Star, [134] and a Fire, [135] and Water, [136] a Wind or Spirit, [137]

a Dew, [138] a Cloud, [139] an Archetypal Stone, [140] and a Rock, [141] and All Creation, [142] Who yet (they declare) is no created thing.

Thus, then, the Universal and Transcendent Cause must both be nameless and also possess the names of all things in order that It may truly be an universal Dominion, the Centre of creation on which all things depend, as on their Cause and Origin and Goal; and that, according to the Scriptures, It may be all in all, and may be truly called the Creator of the world, originating and perfecting and maintaining all things; their Defence and Dwelling, and the Attractive Force that draws them: and all this in one single, ceaseless, and transcendent act.

[143] For the Nameless Goodness is not only the cause of cohesion or life or perfection in such wise as to derive Its Name from this or that providential activity alone; nay, rather does It contain all things beforehand within Itself, after a simple and uncircumscribed manner through the perfect excellence of Its one and all-creative Providence, and thus we draw from the whole creation Its appropriate praises and Its Names.

8. Moreover, the sacred writers proclaim not only such titles as these (titles drawn from universal [144] or from particular [145] providences or providential activities [146]), but sometimes they have gained their images from certain heavenly visions [147] (which in the holy precincts or elsewhere have illuminated the Initiates or the Prophets), and, ascribing to the super-luminous nameless Goodness titles drawn from all manner of acts and functions, have clothed It in human (fiery or amber) shapes [148] or forms, and have spoken of Its Eyes, [149] and Ears, [150] and Hair, [151] and Face, [152] and Hands, [153] and Wings, [154] and Feathers, [155] and Arms, [156] and Back Parts, [157] and Feet; [158] and fashioned such mystical conceptions as its Crown, [159]

and Throne, [160] and Cup, [161] and Mixing Bowl, [162] etc., concerning which things we will attempt to speak when we treat of Symbolical Divinity. At present, collecting from the Scriptures what concerns the matter in hand, and employing as our canon the rule we have described, and guiding our search thereby, let us proceed to an exposition of God's Intelligible [163] Names; and as the Hierarchical Law directs us in all study of Divinity, let us approach these godlike contemplations (for such indeed they are [164]) with our hearts predisposed unto the vision of God, and let us bring holy ears to the exposition of God's holy Names, implanting holy Truths in holy instruments according to the Divine command, and withholding these things from the mockery and laughter of the uninitiate, or, rather, seeking to redeem those wicked men (if any such there be) from their enmity towards God. Thou, therefore, O good Timothy, must guard these truths according to the holy Ordinance, nor must thou utter or divulge the heavenly mysteries unto the uninitiate. [165] And for myself I pray God grant me worthily to declare the beneficent and manifold Names of the Unutterable and Nameless Godhead, and that He do not take away the word of Truth out of my mouth.

[45] The name of St. Paul's companion is intended to give colour to the writer's pseudonym. See Introduction, p. 1; cf. iii. 2.

[46] This work is lost

[47] 1 Cor. ii. 4.

[48] *tois aphthenktois kai agnostois aphthenktos kai agnostos sunaptometha*. See Intr. on "Unknowing," p. 32.

[49] *kata ten kreittona tes kath' hemas logikes kai noeras dunameos kai energieias*. D. frequently distinguishes between the discursive and the intuitive reason. Together they cover the whole of the intellect, cf.

Wordsworth, Prelude, xiv. 119, 120:

"Hence endless occupation for the soul,
Whether discursive or intuitive."

The former gives us deductions, the latter the axioms on which these are based. See Intr., p. 26.

[50] See Intr., p. 4.

[51] D. is here contrasting the Affirmative Path of Knowing with the Negative Path of Unknowing. The former has a value as leading up to the latter; but it is only safe so far as we keep within the bounds of Scripture. Unscriptural conceptions of God are false; Scriptural conceptions are true as far as they go; but their literal meaning must be transcended. See Intr., p. 41 f.

[52] i. e. The Transcendent Truths which are beyond ordinary knowledge. noeta. The word nous = Mind in the sense not merely of abstract intellect but of the spiritual personality. Hence the word is often used to = an angel; and noetos is often used as = spiritual, instead of pneumatikos, which D. does not employ. This use of nous and its derivatives is ultimately due to the influence of Aristotle. (Cf. the use of nous in Plotinas.) St. Thomas Aquinas regards intellectus as = "personality." But here the reference is perhaps rather to the province of abstract intellect.

[53] Apparently this is the same thought repeated in three different ways. The formless essence (amorphia) of a thing is simple and imageless--a Platonic idea--perceived by the mind; things which have bodily form are, as it were, types and symbols perceived by the senses.

[54] Or "indeterminate."

[55] Thus the three grades are: (1) the material world; (2) the spiritual world of truths, personality, etc.; (3) the Godhead which is, so to speak, supra-spiritual.

[56] i. e. A Supra-Personal Personality. See Intr., p. 4 f.

[57] nous anoetos. Probably not "Irrational Mind" (as Dr. Inge translates it). Maximus takes it passively, as translated above.

[58] Ps. cxlv. 3; Matt. xi 27; Rom. xi. 33; I Cor. ii. 11; Eph. iii. 8.

[59] hos ouk ontos ichnous ton epi ten kruphian autes apeirian dieleluthoton. Two interpretations of this passage are possible: (1) Those who have penetrated the hidden Depths cannot describe the Vision (cf. Dante, Par. xxxiii. 55-66) ; (2) Nobody has ever penetrated into the ultimate Depths of Deity.

[60] theoria, koinonia, dmoiosis. These are three elements of one process. Resemblance is the final goal, cf. I John iii. 2. D. defines Deification as "a process whereby we are made like unto God (aphomiosis) and are united unto Him (henosis) so far as these things may be." (Eccl. Hier. I. 4. Migne, p. 376, A.)

[61] Two kinds of danger: (1) spiritual presumption; (2) the temptations of our earthly nature. In dealing with the first D. warns us against leaving the Affirmative Path until we are ready. The Negative Path goes on where the Affirmative Path stops. St. John of the Cross and other spiritual writers insist that, though contemplation is a higher activity than meditation through images, yet not all are called to it, and that it is disastrous prematurely to abandon meditation. S. John of the Cross, in the Dark Night of the Soul, explains the signs which will show when the time has come for the transition. Note the spiritual sanity of D. His Unknowing is not a blank.

[62] tas holas . . . ton hyperouranion taxeon hagian diakosmeseis.

[63] A depth opens up in the heart of man corresponding to the depth of the Godhead. Deep answers unto deep. Cf. I Cor. ii. 10, 11.

[64] pros tous thearchikous humnous. Either (1) "leads us to declare

the Divine praises"; or (2) "leads us to apprehend the Divine praises as sung by angels," etc.

[65] In the whole of this passage God is spoken of as at the same time Efficient, Formal and Final Cause of the soul's activity. D. teaches that God is present in all things, but not equally in all. Cf. Intr., p. 14

[66] Gen. i.

[67] Three stages may be traced here corresponding to Purgation, Illumination and Union. I have tried to indicate the transitions from one stage to the next by the punctuation.

[68] ton teloumenon teletarchia. "Perfect" (teleios) and the words connected with it were technical terms in the Greek Mysteries. Possibly there are traces of this technical use in St. Paul's Epistles (e.g. I Cor. ii. 6; Phil. iii. 15).

[69] ton theoumenon thearchia. See Intr., p. 39.

[70] The soul must turn away from the complex world of sense and have only one desire--the desire for God. Thus it becomes concentrated as it were, and so is in a simple and unified state. Cf. Matt. vi. 22. See Intr., p. 25

[71] i. e. So far as we are capable of receiving this communication.

[72] ekphantorikos kai humnetikos.

[73] i.e. God's differentiated activities. Since the ultimate Godhead is ineffable, Scripture can only hint at its Nature by speaking of Its manifestations in the relative sphere. See Intr., p. 8.

[74] God is ineffable and transcends unity, see Intr., p. 5. But, since His presence in man produces an unity in each individual (and in human society), Scripture calls Him "One."

[75] The ineffable Godhead transcends our conception of the Trinity. But we call Him a Trinity because we experience His trinal working--as

our ultimate Home, as an Individual Personality Who was once Incarnate, and as a Power within our hearts. See Intr., p. 7.

[76] God is not a First Cause, for a cause is one event to a temporal series, and God is beyond Time and beyond the whole creation. Yet in so far as He acts on the relative plane He may, by virtue of this manifestation of Himself in the creation, be spoken of as a Cause.

[77] Beauty is a sacrament and only truly itself when it points to something beyond itself. That is why "Art for Art's sake" degrades art. Beauty reveals God, but God is more than Beauty. Hence Beauty has its true being outside itself in Him. Cf. Intr., p. 31.

[78] Love is the most perfect manifestation of God. Yet God is in a sense beyond even love as we know it. For love, as we know it, implies the distinction between "me" and "thee," and God is ultimately beyond such distinction. See Intr., p. 35.

[79] ho haplous Iesous sunetethe. Cf. Myst. Theol. III., "Super Essential Jesus."

[80] hierarchikon paradoseon, i. e. Ecclesiastical Tradition.

[81] I Thess. iv. 16.

[82] en theioteira mimesei ton huperouranion noon--i. e. the angels.

[83] Luke xx. 36

[84] Meditation leads on to Contemplation; and the higher kind of Contemplation is performed by the Via Negativa.

[85] i.e. The Angels. I have throughout translated huperouranios "celestial" instead of "super-celestial." Presumably the meaning is "beyond the material sky," or "celestial in a transcendent sense."

[86] The whole of this passage shows that there is a positive element in Unknowing.

[87] panton . . . proleptike--i.e. contains them eternally before their creation.

[88] has eite epibolas eite paradochas chre phanai--i. e. according as we describe the act from above or below. God sends the impulse, the angels receive it.

[89] hoi theoeideis . . . noes--i.e. human minds.

[90] angelomimetos. "In a manner which imitates the angels." Cf.

Wordsworth, Prelude, xiv. 108, 102: "Like angels stopped upon the wing by sound of harmony from heaven's remotest spheres."

[91] This shows that the Via Negativa is based on experience and not on mere speculation.

[92] ho ti pote estin he tes hyperagathotetos hyperuparxis.

[93] "Union" (henosis). This word has more than one meaning in D., and hence occasional ambiguity. It may = (1) Unity (i. e. that which makes an individual thing to be one thing); (2) Mental or Spiritual intercourse; (3) Physical intercourse; (4) Sense perception. Here it = either (1) or (2), probably (1).

[94] agathotetos hyperuparxis--i. e. the ultimate Essence in which goodness consists.

[95] Man--Animal--Vegetable--Inorganic Matter. For the thought of this whole passage, cf. Shelley, Adonais: "That Light whose smile kindles the universe." "The property of mere existence" = ousiote kai hektiken epitedeioteta. ousia = an individual existence. Its highest meaning is a "personality," its lowest a "thing." ousiotes refers generally to its lowest meaning and = "possessing mere existence," i. e. "belonging to the realm of inorganic matter." See Intr., p. 4.

[96] This shows that there is a positive element in D.s Via Negativa.

[97] Judges xiii. 18.

[98] Phil. ii. 9 ; Eph. i. 21.

[99] Ex. iii. 14.

[100] John xiv. 6.

- [101] John viii. 12.
- [102] Gen. xxviii. 13.
- [103] John xiv. 6.
- [104] Matt. xix. 17.
- [105] Ps. xxvii. 4.
- [106] Rom. xvi. 27.
- [107] Isa. v. 1.
- [108] Ps. cxxxvi. 2, 3.
- [109] Isa. vi. 3.
- [110] Deut. xxxiii. 27.
- [111] Ex. iii. 14.
- [112] Gen. i. 1-8.
- [113] Gen. i. 20; ii. 7; Job x. 12; John x. 10.
- [114] Prov. viii.
- [115] I Cor. ii. 16.
- [116] John i. 1.
- [117] Ps. xlv. 21.
- [118] Col. ii. 3.
- [119] Rev. xix. 1.
- [120] Rev. i. 5.
- [121] Rev. xvii. 4.
- [122] Dan. vii.
- [123] Ps. cii. 25.
- [124] Ex. xv. 2.
- [125] Jer. xxiii. 6.
- [126] I Cor. i. 30.
- [127] I Cor. i. 30.
- [128] Isa. xl. 15.
- [129] I Kings xix. 12.

- [130] John xiv. 17.
- [131] I Cor. vi. 19.
- [132] Isa. lxvi. 1.
- [133] Ps. lxxxiv. 11.
- [134] Rev. xxii. 16.
- [135] Deut. iv. 24.
- [136] Ps. lxxxiv. 6.
- [137] John iv. 24; Acts ii. 2.
- [138] Hosea xiv. 5.
- [139] Ex. xiii. 21.
- [140] Ps. cxviii. 22.
- [141] Ps. xxxi. 2,3.
- [142] I Cor. xv. 28.
- [143] God is above Time.
- [144] e. g. "I am that I am," "Good," "Fair."
- [145] e. g. Sun," c c Star," "Rock," etc.
- [146] apo ton . . . pronoion e pronooumenon. The first are the faculties of acting or being revealed in a certain way; the second are the results or manifestations of these faculties when in action.
- [147] Thus the complete classification is: (1) Analogies drawn from the material world, (a) universal, (b) particular; (2) psychic visions.
- [148] Ezek. i. 26, 27.
- [149] Ps. x. 5.
- [150] James v. 4.
- [151] Dan. vii. 9.
- [152] Ps. xxxiii. 17.
- [153] Job x. 8.
- [154] Ps. xci. 4.
- [155] Ibid.

[156] Deut. xxxiii. 27.

[157] Ex. xxxiii. 23.

[158] Ex. xxiv. 10.

[159] Rev. xiv. 14.

[160] Ezek. i. 26, 27.

[161] Ps. lxxv. 8.

[162] Prov. ix. 5.

[163] ton noeton theonumion--i. e. the Names belonging to God when revealed in the relative sphere; not those which belong to the ultimate Godhead as such. In fact, the Godhead, as such, is Nameless. See Intr., p. 7.

[164] kurios eipein--i. e. actually godlike because man is deified by them.

[165] See Myst. Theol. I. 2; and cf. Matt. vii. 6.

CHAPTER II: Concerning the Undifferencing and the Differentiation in Divinity, and

Concerning the Undifferencing and the Differentiation in Divinity, and the Nature of Divine Unification and Differentiation. [166]

I. 'Tis the whole Being of the Supernal Godhead (saith the Scripture) that the Absolute Goodness hath defined and revealed. [167] For in what other sense may we take the words of Holy Writ when it tells us how the Godhead spake concerning Itself, and said: "Why asketh thou me concerning the good? None is good save one, that is, God." [168] Now this matter we have discussed elsewhere, and have shown that all the Names proper to God are always applied in Scripture not partially but to the whole, entire, full, complete Godhead, and that they all refer indivisibly, absolutely, unreservedly, and wholly to all the wholeness of the whole and entire Godhead. Indeed (as we made mention in the Outlines of Divinity), if any one deny that such utterance refers to the whole Godhead, he blasphemeth and profanely dares to divide the Absolute and Supreme Unity. We must, then, take them as referring unto the entire Godhead. For not only did the goodly Word Himself say: "I am Good," [169] but also one of the inspired prophets speaks of the Spirit as Good. [170] So, too, of the words "I Am that I Am." [171] If, instead of applying these to the whole Godhead, they wrest them to include only one part Thereof, how will they explain such passages as: "Thus saith He that is and was and is to come, the Almighty," [172] or: "Thou art the same," [173] or "The Spirit of Truth that is, and that proceedeth from the Father"? [174] And if they deny that the whole Godhead is Life, how can that Sacred Word be true Which declared "As the Father raiseth the dead and quickeneth them, even so the Son

quickeneth whom He will," [175] and also, "It is the Spirit that quickeneth"? [176] And as to the Dominion over the whole world belonging to the whole Godhead, it is impossible, methinks, to say (as far as concerns the Paternal and the Filial Godhead) how often in the Scriptures the Name of "Lord" is repeated as belonging both to the Father and to the Son: moreover the Spirit, too, is Lord. [177] And the Names "Fair" and "Wise" are given to the whole Godhead; and all the Names that belong to the whole Godhead (e.g. "Deifying Virtue" and "Cause") Scripture introduces into all its praises of the Supreme Godhead comprehensively, as when it saith that "all things are from God," [178] and more in detail, as when it saith that "through Him are and to Him are all things created," [179] that "all things subsist in Him," [180] and that "Thou shalt send forth Thy Spirit and they shall be created." [181] And, to sum it all in brief, the Divine Word Himself declared: "I and the Father are one," [182] and "All things that the Father hath are mine," [183] and "All mine are thine, and thine are mine." [184] And again, all that belongeth to the Father and to Himself He also ascribes in the Common Unity to the Divine Spirit, viz. the Divine operations, the worship, the originating and inexhaustible creativeness and the ministration of the bountiful gifts. And, methinks, that none of those nurtured in the Divine Scriptures will, except through perversity, gainsay it, that the Divine Attributes in their true and Divine signification all belong to the entire Deity. And, therefore, having here briefly and partially (and more at large elsewhere) given from the Scriptures the proof and definition of this matter, we intend that whatever title of God's Entire Nature we endeavour to explain be understood as referring to the Godhead in Its entirety.

2. And if any one say that we herein are introducing a confusion of all

distinctions in the Deity, [185] we for our part opine that such his argument is not sufficient even to persuade himself. For if he is one utterly at enmity with the Scriptures, he will also be altogether far from our Philosophy; and if he recks not of the Holy Wisdom drawn from the Scriptures, how can he reckon aught of that method by which we would conduct him to an understanding of things Divine? But if he taketh Scriptural Truth as his Standard, this is the very Rule and Light by which we will (so far as in us lies) proceed straight to our defence, and will declare that the Sacred Science sometimes employs a method of Undifference and sometimes one of Differentiation; and that we must neither disjoin those things which are Undifferenced nor confuse those which are Differentiated; but following the Sacred Science to the best of our powers, we must lift up our eyes towards the Divine Rays; for, receiving thence the Divine Revelations as a noble Standard of Truth, we strive to preserve its treasure in ourselves without addition, diminution, or distortion, and in thus preserving the Scriptures, we also are preserved, and are moreover enabled by the same to the end that we may still preserve them and be by them preserved.

3. Now Undifferenced Names belong to the entire Godhead [186] (as we showed more fully from the Scriptures in the Outlines of Divinity). To this class belong the following: "Super-Excellent," "Super-Divine," "Super-Essential," "Super-Vital," "Supra-Sapient," and thereto all those titles wherein the negative expresses excess; moreover, all those titles which have a causal sense, such as "Good," "Fair," "Existent," "Lifegiving," "Wise," and whatever titles are ascribed to the Cause of all good things from Its bountiful gifts. [187] The differentiated Names, on the other hand, are the Super-Essential names and connotations of "Father," "Son," and "Spirit." In these cases the titles cannot be interchanged, nor are they held in common. Again,

besides this, the perfect and unchangeable subsistence of Jesus in our nature is differentiated, and so are all the mysteries of Love and Being therein displayed. [188]

4. But needs must we, methinks, go deeper into the matter and thoroughly explain the difference between Undifference and Differentiation as concerning God, in order that our whole Discourse may be made clear, and, being free from all doubtfulness and obscurity, may (to the best of our powers) give a distinct, plain, and orderly statement of the matter. For, as I said elsewhere, the Initiates of our Divine Tradition designate the Undifferenced Attributes of the Transcendently Ineffable and Unknowable Permanence as hidden, incommunicable Ultimates, but the beneficent Differentiations of the Supreme Godhead, they call Emanations [189] and Manifestations; and following the Holy Scripture they declare that some Attributes belong especially to Undifference, and some, on the other hand, to Differentiation. [190] For instance, they say concerning the Divine Unity, or Super-Essence, that the undivided Trinity holds in a common Unity without distinction Its Subsistence beyond Being, Its Godhead beyond Deity, Its Goodness beyond Excellence; the Identity, surpassing all things, of Its transcendently Individual Nature; Its Oneness above Unity; Its Namelessness and Multiplicity of Names; Its Unknowableness and perfect Intelligibility; Its universal Affirmation [191] and universal Negation in a state above all Affirmation and Negation, [192] and that It possesses the mutual Abiding and Indwelling (as it were) of Its indivisibly supreme Persons in an utterly Undifferentiated and Transcendent Unity, and yet without any confusion [193] even as the lights of lamps (to use visible and homely similes) being in one house and wholly interpenetrating one another, severally possess a clear and absolute distinction each from each, and are by their distinctions

united into one, and in their unity are kept distinct. Even so do we see, when there are many lamps in a house, how that the lights of them all are unified into one undifferentiated light, so that there shineth forth from them one indivisible brightness; and no one, methinks, could separate the light of one particular lamp from the others, in isolation from the air which embraces them all, nor could he see one light without another, inasmuch as, without confusion, they yet are wholly commingled.

Yea, if any one takes out of the dwelling one of the burning lamps, all its own particular light will therewith depart from the place without either carrying off in itself aught of the other lights or bequeathing any of its own brightness to the rest. For, as I said, the entire and complete union of the lights one with another brought no confusion or commixture in any parts--and that though the light is literally embodied in the air and streams from the material substance of fire.

The Super-Essential Unity of God, however, exceedeth (so we declare) not only the unions of material bodies, but even those of Souls and of Intelligences, which these Godlike and celestial Luminaries in perfect mutual interpenetration supernaturally and without confusion possess, through a participation corresponding to their individual powers of participating in the All-Transcendent Unity. [194]

5. There is, on the other hand, a Differentiation made in the Super-Essential Doctrine of God--not merely such as I have just mentioned (viz. that in the very Unity, Each of the Divine Persons possesses without confusion Its own distinct existence), but also that the Attributes of the Super-Essential Divine Generation are not interchangeable. [195] The Father alone is the Source of the Super-Essential Godhead, and the Father is not a Son, nor is the Son a Father; for the Divine Persons all preserve, Each without alloy, His

own particular Attributes of praise. Such, then, are the instances of Undifference and of Differentiation in the Ineffable Unity and Subsistence of God. And if the term "Differentiation" be also applied to the bounteous act of Emanation whereby the Divine Unity, brimming Itself with goodness in the excess of Its Undifferenced Unity thus enters into Multiplicity, [196] yet an undifferenced unity worketh even in those differentiated acts whereby, in ceaseless communications, It bestows Being, Life, and Wisdom, and those other gifts of the all-creative Goodness in respect of which (as we behold the communications and the participants thereof) we celebrate those things wherein the creatures supernaturally participate. Yea, tis a common and undifferenced activity of the whole Godhead that It is wholly and entirely communicated unto each of them that share It and unto none merely in part; [197] even as the centre of a circle is shared by all the radii which surround it in a circle; [198] and as there are many impressions of a seal all sharing in the seal which is their archetype while yet this is entire, nor is it only a part thereof that belongeth unto any of them. But the Incommunicable All-creative Godhead transcends all such symbols in that It is beyond Apprehension nor hath It any other mode of communion such as to join It unto the participants. [199]

Perhaps, however, some one will say: "The seal is not entire and the same in all the printed copies." I answer that this is not due to the seal itself (for it gives itself wholly and identically to each), but the difference of the substances which share it makes the impressions of the one, entire, identical archetype to be different. For instance, if they are soft, plastic, and smooth, and have no print already, and are neither hard and resistent, nor yet melting and unstable, the imprint will be clear, plain, and permanent; but if the aforesaid

fitness should in aught be lacking, then the material will not take the impression and reproduce it distinctly, and other such results will follow as an unsuitable material must bring about.

6. Again, it is by a Differentiated act of God's benevolence that the Super-Essential Word should wholly and completely take Human Substance of human flesh and do and suffer all those things which, in a special and particular manner, belong to the action of His Divine Humanity. In these acts the Father and the Spirit have no share, except of course that they all share in the loving generosity of the Divine counsels and in all that transcendent Divine working of unutterable mysteries which were performed in Human Nature by Him Who as God and as the Word of God is Immutable. [200] So do we strive to differentiate the Divine Attributes, according as these Attributes are Undifferentiated or Differentiated. [201]

7. Now all the grounds of these Unifications, and Differentiations in the Divine Nature which the Scriptures have revealed to us, we have explained in the Outlines of Divinity, to the best of our abilities, treating separately of each. The latter class we have philosophically unravelled and unfolded, and so have sought to guide the holy and unspotted mind to contemplate the shining truths of Scripture, while the former class we have endeavoured (in accordance with Divine Tradition) to apprehend as Mysteries in a manner beyond the activities of our minds. [202] For all Divine things, even those that are revealed to us, are only known by their Communications. Their ultimate nature, which they possess in their own original being, is beyond Mind and beyond all Being and Knowledge. [203] For instance, if we call the Super-Essential Mystery by the Name of "God," or "Life," or "Being," or "Light," or "Word," we conceive of nothing else than the powers that stream Therefrom to us bestowing Godhead, Being, Life or Wisdom; [204]

while that Mystery Itself we strive to apprehend by casting aside all the activities of our mind, since we behold no Deification, [205] or Life, or Being, which exactly resembles the altogether and utterly Transcendent Cause of all things. Again, that the Father is Originating Godhead while Jesus and the Spirit are (so to speak) Divine Off-shoots of the Paternal Godhead, and, as it were, Blossoms and Super-Essential Shinings Thereof we learn from Holy Scripture; but how these things are so we cannot say, nor yet conceive.

8. Just so far can the powers of our .minds attain as to see that all spiritual paternity and sonship is a gift bestowed from the all-transcendent Archetypal Fatherhood and Sonship both upon us and also upon the celestial Powers: whereby Godlike Minds receive the states and names of Gods, and Sons of Gods, and Fathers of Gods, such paternity and sonship being perfected in a spiritual manner (i. e. incorporeally, immaterially, and invisibly) because the Divine Spirit setteth above all invisible Immateriality and Deification, and the Father and the Son, supernaturally transcend all spiritual fatherhood and sonship. [206] For there is no exact similitude between the creatures and the Creative Originals; [207] for the creatures possess only such images of the Creative Originals as are possible to them, while the Originals Themselves transcend and exceed the creatures by the very nature of Their own Originality. To employ human examples, we say that pleasant or painful conditions produce in us feelings of pleasure or pain while yet they possess not these feelings themselves; and we do not say that the fire which warms and burns is itself burnt or warmed. Even so if any one says that Very Life lives, or that Very Light is enlightened, he will be wrong (according to my view) unless, perchance, he were to use these terms in a different sense from the ordinary one to mean that the qualities of created things pre-exist,

after a superlative manner as touching their true Being in the Creative Originals. [208]

9. Even the plainest article of Divinity, namely the Incarnation and Birth of Jesus in Human Form, cannot be expressed by any Language or known by any Mind--not even by the first of the most exalted angels.

That He took man's substance is a mysterious truth, the which we have received; but we know not how from the Virgin's seed He was formed in another manner than is natural, nor how His dry feet supporting the solid weight of His material body He walked upon the unstable substance of the water, nor understand we any of the other things which belong to the Supernatural Nature of Jesus. Of these things I have spoken enough elsewhere; and our renowned Teacher hath wonderfully [209] declared, in his Elements of Divinity, what he hath either learnt directly from the Sacred Writers, or else hath discovered from his cunning research concerning Scriptural truths through the much toil and labour which he bestowed thereon, or else hath had revealed unto him by some diviner inspiration wherein he received not only true spiritual notions but also true spiritual motions, [210] and by the kinship of his mind with them (if I may so express it) was perfected to attain without any other teacher to a mystical communion with these verities and a belief therein. [211] And to put before them in briefest compass the many blessed speculations of his ingenious mind thus speaketh he concerning Jesus in his compilation of the Elements of Divinity.

10. From the Elements of Divinity, by S. Hierotheus.

The Universal Cause which filleth all things is the Deity of Jesus, whereof the parts are in such wise tempered to the whole that It is neither whole nor part, and yet is at the same time whole and also part, containing in Its all-embracing unity both part and whole, and being transcendent and antecedent to both. [212] This Deity is perfect

in those Beings that are imperfect as a Fount of Perfection; [213] It is Perfectionless [214] in those that are perfect as transcending and anticipating their Perfection; It is the Form producing Form in the formless, as a Fount of every form; and it is Formless in the Forms, as being beyond all form; It is the Being that pervades all beings at once though not affected by them; [215] and It is Super-Essential, as transcending every being; It sets all bounds of Authority and Order, and yet It has Its seal beyond all Authority and Order. [216] It is the Measure of the Universe; [217] and it is Eternity, and above Eternity and before Eternity. [218] It is an Abundance in those Beings that lack, and a Super-Abundance in those that abound; unutterable, ineffable; beyond Mind, beyond Life, beyond Being; It supernaturally possesses the supernatural and super-essentially possesses the super-essential. [219] And since that Supra-Divine Being hath in loving kindness come down from thence unto the Natural Estate, and verily took substance and assumed the name of Man (we must speak with reverence of those things which we utter beyond human thought and language), even in this act He possesses His Supernatural and Super-Essential Existence--not only in that He hath without change or confusion of Attributes shared in our human lot while remaining unaffected by that unutterable Self-Emptying as regards the fullness of His Godhead, but also because (most wonderful of all wonders!) He passed in His Supernatural and Super-Essential state through conditions of Nature and Being, and receiving from us all things that are ours, exalted them far above us. [220]

11. So much for these matters. Now let us proceed to the object of our discussion and endeavour to explain the Common and Undifferentiated Names belonging to God's Differentiated Being. [221] And, that the subject of our investigation may be clearly defined beforehand, we give the name

of Divine Differentiation (as was said) to the beneficent Emanations of the Supreme Godhead. [222] For bestowing upon all things and supernally infusing Its Communications unto the goodly Universe, It becomes differentiated without loss of Undifference; [223] and multiplied without loss of Unity; from Its Oneness it becomes manifold while yet remaining within Itself. For example, since God is super-essentially Existent and bestows existence upon all things that are, and brings the world into being, that single Existence of His is said to become manifold through bringing forth the many existences from Itself, while yet He remains One in the act of Self-Multiplication; Undifferenced throughout the process of Emanation, and Full in the emptying process of Differentiation; Super-Essentially transcending the Being of all things, and guiding the whole world onwards by an indivisible act, and pouring forth without diminution His indefectible bounties. Yea, being One and communicating of His Unity both unto every part of the world and also unto the whole, both unto that which is one and unto that which is many, He is One in an unchangeable and super-essential manner, being neither an unit in the multiplicity of things nor yet the sum total of such units. Indeed, He is not an unity in this sense, and doth not participate in unity nor possess it; [224] but He is an Unity in a manner far different from this, above all unity which is in the world; yea, He is an Indivisible Plurality, insatiable yet brim-full, producing, perfecting, and maintaining all unity and plurality. Moreover, since many, through Deification from Him, are made Gods [225] (so far as the Godlike capacity of each allows), there thus appears to be what is called a Differentiation [226] and a Reduplication of the One God, yet none the less He is the primal God, the Supra-Divine and Super-Essentially One God, who dwells Indivisibly within the separate and individual things, being an Undifferenced Unity in Himself and

without any commixture or multiplication through His contact with the Many. [227] And supernaturally perceiving this, thus speaketh (by inspiration, in his holy writings) that Guide unto Divine illumination by whom both we and our teacher are led, that mighty man in things Divine, that Luminary of the world. For though (saith he) there be that are called gods, whether in heaven or in earth (as there be gods many and lords many). But to us there is but one God, the Father, of whom are all things, and we in Him, and one Lord Jesus Christ, by whom are all things, and we by Him. For in divine things the undifferentiated Unities are of more might than the Differentiations [228] and hold the foremost place and retain their state of Undifference even after the One has, without departing from Its oneness, entered into Differentiation. These Differentiations or beneficent Emanations of the whole Godhead--whereby Its Undifferentiated Nature is shared in common [229] --we shall (so far as in us lies) endeavour to describe from the Divine Names which reveal them in the Scriptures, having now made this clear beforehand (as hath been said): that every Name of the Divine beneficent Activity unto whichever of the Divine Persons it is applied, must be taken as belonging, without distinction, to the whole entirety of the Godhead. [230]

[166] *peri henomenes kai diakekrimenes theologias kai tis he theia henosis kai diakrisis.*

[167] The point of this section is that God's Nature is not a sum total of separate Attributes. Therefore when we say that the Scriptural titles of God are only symbols and that the ultimate Godhead transcends them, we do not mean that they express only a part of His Nature (for His Nature has no parts), but that they dimly suggest His whole Nature. Hence, too, we cannot say that some of God's titles belong only to one

separate Person of the Trinity and others only to the other Persons severally--e. g. The Trinity, and not the Father alone, is the Creator of the world. "The one world was made by the Father, through the Son, in the Holy Ghost" (St. Aug., Com. on St. John, Tr. XX. 9).

[168] The title "Good " is applied to the whole Godhead. And if that title, then others too. Cf. Matt. xix. 17.]

[169] John x. 11.

[170] Ps. cxliii. 10. This is a further argument arising out of what has been said above. The point here is that we cannot limit the title "Good" to one Person of the Trinity. (The notion that the Father is stern and the Son mollifies His sternness is false.) The rest of the section takes other titles and shows how they are common to all Three Persons of the Trinity.

[171] Ex. iii. 14.

[172] Rev. i. 4.

[173] Ps. cii. 27.

[174] John xv. 26.

[175] John v. 21.

[176] John vi. 63.

[177] 2 Cor. iii. 17.

[178] 1 Chron. xxix. 14.

[179] Rom. xi. 36.

[180] Ibid.

[181] Ps. civ. 30.

[182] John x. 30.

[183] John xvi. 15.

[184] John xvii. 10.

[185] i.e. That we are seeking to destroy the distinction between the Persons of the Trinity.

[186] The method of Undifference applies to the ultimate Godhead, that of Differentiation to the emanating Godhead. The absolute and the relative planes of Being both belong to God. On the absolute plane all distinctions are transcended, and the Persons exist in a manner in which They would appear to us to be merged, but on the relative plane we see that They are eternally distinct. See Intr., p. 8.

[187] Because we see things which are good, fair, existent, etc., we apply to God, their ultimate Cause, the titles "Good," "Fair," "Existent," etc. See p. 36, n. 6.

[188] i. e. Only the Second Person was Incarnate, was crucified, etc. Mysteries of Love and Being" = philanthrophias ousiode musteria.

[189] proodous te kai ekphanseis,--sc. the Persons of the Trinity. See Intr., p. 16.

[190] The received text reads: Phasi . . . kai tes eiremenes henoseos idia kai authis tes diakriseos einai tinas idikas kai hinoseis kai diakriseis. This, as it stands, must be translated: "They say that certain qualities belong to the said Undifference, and that to Differentiation, on the other hand, belong certain principles of Unity and principles of Differentiation." This would mean that the Persons of the Trinity, though distinct from Each Other, yet have a Common Unity, or else that Each has a Unity of Its Own making It distinct from the Other Persons. I have ventured, however, to emend the text by omitting the last six words and making the sentence end at einai. I believe the last six words have crept in from a marginal gloss or variant, which ran (I imagine) as follows:--einai tinas idikas k.t.l.. If the MS. belonged to a family having seventeen or eighteen letters to a column the einai after diakriseos would end a line, since there are 571 letters from the beginning of the chapter to the end of that word. Hence it would easily be confused with the einai at the beginning of

the gloss, which would thus creep into the text. And, since the added words amount to thirty-four letters, they would exactly fill two lines, thus making the interpolation easier. For the meaning, see Intr., p. 6f.

[191] Cf. Myst. Theo1. I. 2. This universal Affirmation is not pantheism because evil, as such, is held to be non-existent. It is only all goodness that is affirmed of God, though He surpasses it. God is present in all things, but not equally in all.

[192] "Yes" implies the possibility of "No," and "No" the possibility of "Yes." Thus "Yes" and "No" belong to the relative world. God's absolute existence is beyond such antithesis. See Intr., p. 4f.

[193] The Persons, though fused, are yet not confused because the Godhead transcends unity. See Intr., p. 5.

[194] Material things are merged by being united (e. g. drops of water). Souls or angels being united through love (whereby they participate in God) are not merged but remain distinct even while being, as it were, fused into a single spiritual unity more perfect than the fusion of water with wine. The Persons of the Trinity are still more perfectly united and at the same time still more utterly distinct.

[195] Two kinds of Differentiation: (1) Distinctness of Existence, (2) Difference of Functions.

[196] D. means that the Undifferentiated Godhead is actually present in all these creative activities. It is multiplied (as it were) in Its energies, and yet It remains indivisible. See Intr., p. 17.

[197] D. here touches on the fundamental difference between spiritual and material things. Cf. Shelley: "True love has this different from gold or clay that to divide is not to take away."

[198] Plotinus uses the same illustration (Enn. iv. 1).

[199] D. is always on his guard against Pantheism.

[200] Redemption is a work performed by the whole Trinity through the Second Person. (So, too, is Creation. Cf. p. 65, n. 2).

[201] i. e. We strive to distinguish the two planes of Being in God.

Cf. Athan. Creed: "Neither confounding the Persons," etc.

[202] Undifference belongs to the ultimate Godhead, Differentiation to the distinction between the Three Persons of the Trinity. The former is the sphere of Mystical Theology, the latter is that of Dogmatic Theology. The former implies the Via Negativa the latter the Via Affirmativa.

[203] Even the Differentiations finally lead us up into the Undifferentiated Godhead Where they transcend themselves. (Cf. p. 70, n. 3 and the passage in ii. 4 about the torches.) Into that region we cannot track them. But on the other side they flow out into creative activity, and thus are, in some degree, revealed.

[204] These terms may be thus classified:--

Sphere of Activity. Nature of Gift. Form under which Giver is manifested

(i) Grace. Godhead . "God"

(ii) Nature

(1) Material existence. Being . "Being" } "Word."

(2) Vegetable and animal existence. . . Life . "Life"

(3) Human existence. Wisdom . "Light"

[205] The doctrine of "Deification" is not a mere speculation. It embodies an experienced fact. See Intr., p. 43.

[206] The act by which one spirit or soul imparts spiritual life to another is a manifestation in time of a Mystery which is eternally perfect in the Trinity, and would be impossible were it not ultimately rooted in that Mystery. Just as all life draws its existence from the

Divine supra-vitality, so all spiritual paternity draws its existence from the Divine supra-paternity.

[207] ta aitia--i.e. The Persons of the Godhead.

[208] So St. Augustine constantly teaches that God acts not in the manner which we call activity, but by causing the creature itself to perform the action. Thus he explains God's rest on the Seventh Day to mean not that God Himself rested but that the creation now rested in Him. Aristotle and his disciple, St. Thomas, teach that God moves all things simply through being desired by them. So God causes action without Himself acting (somewhat as fire causes warmth without feeling it). Cf. p. 87, n. 1.

[209] huperphuos. The proper meaning of huperphues in the Dionysian writings appears to be "supernatural."

[210] ou monon mathon alla kai pathon ta theia.

[211] pros ten adidakton auton kai mustiken apotelestheis henosin kai pistin.

[212] Being beyond Unity the Godhead is, of course, beyond the categories of whole and part. The Godhead is not a Whole because it is indivisible, nor a Part because there is nothing, on the ultimate plane, outside It. Yet It is a Whole because It includes the true existence of all things, and is Partitive because It contains the principle of separate Individuality whereby Christ possesses a Human Soul distinct from all other human souls, and whereby, too, we possess distinct and separate souls.

[213] God is in us even before we are in Him. Cf. Luke xvii. 21. Cf. St. Aug., "Thou wast within; I was without." Also cf. c. i. 3; c. iii. i: "For the Trinity," etc. See Intr., p. 6 on the use of the word "outside."

[214] Perfection implies an objector purpose achieved. Hence it implies

a distinction between self and not self. The Godhead is beyond such a distinction. Compared with imperfection, It is perfect; compared with perfection, It is perfectionless (ateles), or, rather, beyond Perfection (huperteles) and before it (proteleios), just as compared with impersonal things It is personal, and compared with personality It is non-personal, or, rather, supra-personal.

[215] Cf. p. 75, n. 3.

[216] Cf. St. Paul on the Law and the Spirit. The Law is deposited, as it were, by the Spirit; and yet the Law cramps the Spirit, and the Spirit must break loose from this bondage.

[217] i. e. It gives the universe its bounds and distinctions.

[218] Eternity, in the sense of "Very Eternity" (autoaion), is an Emanation of the Godhead--a distinct view of Its transcendent state (cf. Intr., p. i7). It is the Divine Rest taken in the abstract, as Very Life is perhaps the Divine Motion taken in the abstract. The Godhead includes both rest and Motion by transcending them.

[219] Behind Nature are certain higher supernatural possibilities (which are manifested, e. g., in the Miracles of Christ and His Disciples), and beyond our personalities there is a mystery which is greater than our finite selves, and yet, in a sense, is our true selves. The Godhead possesses in Itself the supernatural possibilities of Nature and the supra-personal possibilities of our personalities.

[220] i. e. Christ did not merely keep His Godhead parallel, as it were, with His Manhood, but brought It into His Manhood and so exalted the Manhood.

[221] e. Let us explain what are the Names which belong indivisibly to all Three Persons of the Trinity.

[222] The word "Emanation" is here used in its very widest sense as including (1) the Persons of the Trinity, (2) Their creative activity

as manifested in the Universal and the Particular stream of energy. See Intr., p. 17. The Differentiated Being of the Trinity underlies all the Differentiations of the creative process. The Trinity is differentiated on the plane of Eternity; then It emanates or energizes on the temporal plane, and thus It is manifested in all the differentiations of the universe, (especially in deified souls).

[223] God is indivisibly present in each separate deified soul (see supra, p. 71), the sentence beginning: "And if the term 'Differentiation' be also applied to the bounteous act," etc.

[224] These two phrases well express the meaning of the title "Beyond things and supernally infusing Unity" (huperenomene), which I have generally translated, like henomene, as "Undifferenced."

[225] τε ex autou theosei . . . theon pollon gignomenon. See Intr., p. 43.

[226] Cf. p. 71, n. 1.

[227] The fullness of God's Unity is manifested, (1) in all the multiplicity of the material world, (2) after a higher manner in the deified souls of men and in angels.

[228] Each deified soul is a differentiation of God (cf. p. 71, n. i); yet the Unity of God transcends them all, even after God has thus poured Himself into them.

[229] i. e. These active Manifestations whereby God enters into each part of the universe, yet without loss of Unity.

[230] See the beginning of this chapter.

CHAPTER III: What is the power of Prayer? Also concerning the Blessed Hierotheus and

What is the power of Prayer? Also concerning the Blessed Hierotheus and concerning Reverence and the Writing of Divinity.

1. And first of all, if it like thee, let us consider the highest Name, even "Goodness," by which all the Emanations of God are conjointly revealed. [231] And let us begin with an invocation of the Trinity, the Which, as It surpasseth Goodness, and is the Source of all goodness, doth reveal all conjoined together Its own good providences. [232] For we must first lift up our minds in prayer unto the Primal Goodness, and by drawing nearer Thereunto, we must thus be initiated into the mystery of those good gifts which are rooted in Its being. For the Trinity is nigh unto all things, and yet not all things are nigh unto It. [233]

And when we call upon It with holy prayers and unspotted mind and with our souls prepared for union with God, then are we also nigh Thereto; for It is not in space, so as to be absent from any spot, or to move from one position to another. [234] Nay, to speak of It as omnipresent doth not express Its all-transcendent all-embracing Infinitude. [235]

Let us then press on in prayer, looking upwards to the Divine benignant Rays, even as if a resplendent cord were hanging from the height of heaven unto this world below, and we, by seizing it with alternate hands in one advance, appeared to pull it down; but in very truth instead of drawing down the rope (the same being already nigh us above and below), we were ourselves being drawn upwards to the higher Refulgence of the resplendent Rays. Or even as, having embarked on a ship and clinging to the cables, the which being stretched out from some rock unto us, presented themselves (as it were) for us to lay hold

upon them, we should not be drawing the rock towards ourselves, but should, in very truth, be drawing ourselves and the vessel towards the rock; as also, conversely, if any one standing upon the vessel pushes away the rock that is on the shore, he will not affect the rock (which stands immovable) but will separate himself therefrom, and the more he pushes it so much the more will he be staving himself away. Hence, before every endeavour, more especially if the subject be Divinity, must we begin with prayer: not as though we would pull down to ourselves that Power which is nigh both everywhere and nowhere, but that, by these remembrances and invocations of God, we may commend and unite ourselves Thereunto.

2. Now perhaps there is need of an explanation why, when our renowned teacher Hierotheus hath compiled [236] his wonderful Elements of Divinity, we have composed other Tractates of Divinity, and now are writing this present as if his work were not sufficient. Now if he had professed to deal in an ordered system with all questions of Divinity, and had gone through the whole sum of Divinity with an exposition of every branch, we should not have gone so far in madness or folly as to suppose that we could touch these problems with a diviner insight than he, nor would we have cared to waste our time in a vain repetition of those same truths; more especially since it would be an injury to a teacher whom we love were we thus to claim for ourselves the famous speculations and expositions of a man who, next to Paul the Divine, hath been our chief preceptor. But since, in his lofty "Instructions on Divinity," he gave us comprehensive and pregnant definitions fitted to our understanding, and to that of such amongst us as were teachers of the newly initiated souls, and bade us unravel and explain with whatever powers of reason we possessed, the comprehensive and compact skeins of thought spun by his mighty intellect; and since thou hast

thyself oftentimes urged us so to do, and hast remitted his treatise to us as too sublime for comprehension, therefore we, while setting him apart (as a teacher of advanced and perfect spirits) for those above the commonalty, and as a kind of second Scriptures worthy to follow the Inspired Writings, will yet teach Divine Truths, according to our capacity, unto those who are our peers. For if solid food is suited only to the perfect, what degree of perfection would it need to give this food to others? Wherefore we are right in saying that the direct study of the spiritual [237] Scriptures and the comprehensive teaching of them need advanced capacities, while the understanding and the learning of the matter which contribute thereto is suited to the inferior Initiators and Initiates. [238] We have, however, carefully observed the principle: Whatsoever things our Divine Preceptor has thoroughly dealt with and made clearly manifest we have never in any wise ventured thereon, for fear of repetition, nor given the same explanation of the passage whereof he treated. For [239] even among our inspired Hierarchs (when, as thou knowest, we with him and many of our holy brethren met together to behold that mortal body, Source of Life, which received the Incarnate God, [240] and James, the brother of God, was there, and Peter, the chief and highest of the Sacred Writers, and then, having beheld it, all the Hierarchs there present celebrated, according to the power of each, the omnipotent goodness of the Divine weakness): on that occasion, I say, he surpassed all the Initiates next to the Divine Writers, yea, he was wholly transported, was wholly outside of himself, and was so moved by a communion with those Mysteries he was celebrating, that all who heard him and saw him and knew him (or rather knew him not) deemed him to be rapt of God and endued with utterance Divine. But why should I tell thee of the divine things that were uttered in that place? For, unless I have forgotten

who I am, I know that I have often heard from thee certain fragments of those enraptured praises; so earnest hast thou been with all thy soul to follow heavenly things.

3. But, to say nothing of those mystical experiences (since they cannot be told unto the world, and since thou knowest them well), when it behoved us to communicate these things unto the world and to bring all whom we might unto that holy knowledge we possessed, how he surpassed nearly all the holy teachers in the time he devoted to the task, in pureness of mind, in exactness of exposition, and in all other holy qualities, to such a degree that we could not attempt to gaze upon such spiritual radiance. For we are conscious in ourselves and well aware that we cannot sufficiently perceive those Divine Truths which are granted to man's perception, nor can we declare and utter those elements of Divine Knowledge which are given unto man to speak. We fall very short of that understanding which the Divine men possessed concerning heavenly truths, and verily, from excess of reverence, we should not have ventured to listen, or give utterance to any truths of Divine philosophy, were it not that we are convinced in our mind that such knowledge of Divine Truth as is possible must not be disregarded. This conviction was wrought within us, not only by the natural impulse of our minds, which yearn and strive for such vision of supernatural things as may be attained, but also by the holy ordinance of Divine Law itself, which, while it bids us not to busy ourselves in things beyond us because such things are both beyond our merits and also unattainable, [241] yet earnestly exhorts us to learn all things within our reach, which are granted and allowed us, and also generously to impart these treasures unto others. [242] In obedience to these behests we, ceasing not through weariness or want of courage in such search for Divine Truth as is possible, yea, and not daring to leave without

assistance those who possess not a greater power of contemplation than ourselves, have set ourselves to the task of composition, in no vain attempt to introduce fresh teaching, but only seeking by more minute and detailed investigations to make more clear and plain that which the true Hierotheus hath said in brief.

[231] All God's activities are good.

[232] The particular activities of God exist as one Act in Him, cf. p. 79, n. 2. So St. Thomas (following Aristotle) calls Him Actus Purus.

[233] Cf p. 77, n. 1.

[234] This is profound. Spatial metaphors are always dangerous, though unavoidable, in Theology. In space if A is touching B then B must be touching A. In the spiritual world this is not so. God is near me (or rather to me), and yet I may be far from God because I may be far from my own true self. I must seek my true self where it is, in God. It is the paradox of Personality that my true self is outside myself and I can only gain it by casting aside this counterfeit "self." Cf. p. 77, n. 1, and Intr., p. 15.

[235] Even the word "omnipresent" suggests that God is in space, whereas really His existence is non-spatial.

[236] τὰς θεολογικὰς στοιχειώσεως ὑπερφυῶς συναγαγόντος.

[237] Or "intelligible" (noeton). Cf. p. 52, n. 1. The Scriptures are expressed in symbolic terms which our minds can grasp. Hierotheus was inspired to penetrate to the ultimate truth enshrined in these symbols. Thus he was able not only to assimilate this solid food himself but also to give it to others. Apparently Hierotheus passed through certain extraordinary psychic experiences, which are described in his writings. These particular experiences D. has not himself passed through. But he believes that his own teaching may clear the ground, and so be a

preliminary to such flights. He is chiefly explaining principles, but these principles may lead the way to a true experience. St. Paul and other Scriptural writers experienced such extraordinary psychic states, though they do not speak of them in the extravagant terms apparently used by Hierotheus. Cf. 2 Cor. xii. 2-4.

[238] tou hupheimenois kathierotais kai hieromenois.

[239] sc. It would be an impiety to do so, for he is almost equal to the Scriptural Writers, as he showed when he met with them to view the body of the B. V. M.

[240] Cf. p. 1, n 1.

[241] Ecclus. iii. 21; Ps. cxxxi. 1.

[242] 2 Tim. ii. 2.

CHAPTER IV: Concerning "Good," "Light," "Beautiful," "Desire," "Ecstasy,"

Concerning "Good," "Light," "Beautiful," "Desire," "Ecstasy,"
"Jealousy." Also that Evil is neither existent nor Sprung from anything
existent nor inherent in existent things.

1. Now let us consider the name of "Good" which the Sacred Writers
apply to the Supra-Divine Godhead in a transcendent manner, calling the
Supreme Divine Existence Itself "Goodness" (as it seems to me) in a
sense that separates It from the whole creation, and meaning, by this
term, to indicate that the Good, under the form of Good-Being, [243]
extends Its goodness by the very fact of Its existence unto all things.
[244] For as our sun, through no choice or deliberation, but by the
very fact of its existence, gives light to all those things which have
any inherent power of sharing its illumination, even so the Good (which
is above the sun, as the transcendent archetype by the very mode of its
existence is above its faded image) sends forth upon all things
according to their receptive powers, the rays of Its undivided
Goodness. Through these all Spiritual Beings and faculties and
activities (whether perceived or percipient [245]) began; through
these they exist and possess a life incapable of failure or diminution,
and are untainted by any corruption or death or materiality or birth,
being separate above all instability and flux and restlessness of
change. And whereas they are bodiless and immaterial they are perceived
by our minds, and whereas they are minds themselves, they possess a
supernatural perception and receive an illumination (after their own
manner) concerning the hidden nature of things, [246] from whence they
pass on their own knowledge to other kindred spirits. Their rest is in

the Divine Goodness, wherein they are grounded, and This Goodness maintains them and protects them and feasts them with Its good things.

Through desiring this they possess their being and their blessedness, and, being conformed thereto (according to their powers, they are goodly, and, as the Divine Law commands, pass on to those that are below them, of the gifts which have come unto them from the Good.

2. Hence have they their celestial orders, their self-unities, their mutual indwellings, their distinct Differences, the faculties which raise the lower unto the higher ranks, the providences of the higher for those beneath them; their preservation of the properties belonging to each faculty, their unchanging introversions, [247] their constancy and elevation in their search for the Good, and all the other qualities which we have described in our book concerning the Properties and Orders of the Angels. [248] Moreover all things appertaining to the Celestial Hierarchy, the angelic Purifications, the Illuminations and the attainments which perfect them in all angelic perfection and come from the all-creative and originating Goodness, from whence it was given to them to possess their created goodness, and to manifest the Secret Goodness in themselves, and so to be (as it were) the angelic Evangelists of the Divine Silence and to stand forth as shining lights revealing Him that is within the shrine. And next those sacred and holy Minds, men's souls and all the excellences that belong to souls derive their being from the Super-Excellent Goodness. So do they possess intelligence; so do they preserve their living being [249] immortal; so is it they exist at all, and can, by straining towards the living angelic powers, through their good guidance mount towards the Bounteous Origin of all things; so can they (according to their measure) participate in the illuminations which stream from above and share the bounteous gift (as far as their power extends) and attain all the other

privileges which we leave recounted in our book, Concerning the Soul. Yea, and the same is true, if it must needs be said, concerning even the irrational souls, or living creatures, which cleave the air, or tread the earth, or crawl upon the ground, and those which live among the waters or possess an amphibious life, and all that live buried and covered in the earth--in a word all that possess a sensitive soul or life. All these are endowed with soul and life because the Good exists. And all plants derive from the Good that life which gives them nourishment and motion, and even whatsoever has no life or soul exists through the Good, and thus came into the estate of being. [250]

3. Now if the Good is above all things (as indeed It is) Its Formless Nature produces all-form; and in It alone Not-Being is an excess of Being, [251] and Lifelessness an excess of Life and Its Mindless state is an excess of Wisdom, [252] and all the Attributes of the Good we express in a transcendent manner by negative images. [253] And if it is reverent so to say, even that which is not desires the all-transcendent Good and struggles itself, by its denial of all things, to find its rest in the Good which verily transcends all being.

4. Nay, even the foundation and the boundaries of the heavens (as we forgot to say while thinking of other matters) owe their origin to the Good. Such is this universe, which lessens not nor grows, and such the noiseless movements (if noiseless they be) [254] of the vast heavenly revolution, and such the starry orders whose light is fixed as an ornament of heaven, and such the various wanderings of certain stars--especially the repeated and returning orbits of those two luminaries to which the Scripture giveth the name of "Great," [255] whereby we reckon our days and nights and months and years; which define the round of time and temporal events and give them measurement, sequence, and cohesion. And what shall I say concerning the sun's rays

considered in themselves? From the Good comes the light which is an image of Goodness; wherefore the Good is described by the name of "Light," being the archetype thereof which is revealed in that image. For as the Goodness of the all-transcendent Godhead reaches from the highest and most perfect forms of being unto the lowest, and still is beyond them all, remaining superior to those above and retaining those below in its embrace, and so gives light to all things that can receive It, and creates and vitalizes and maintains and perfects them, and is the Measure [256] of the Universe and its Eternity, [257] its Numerical Principle, [258] its Order, its Embracing Power, its Cause and its End: [259] even so this great, all-bright and ever-shining sun, which is the visible image of the Divine Goodness, faintly reechoing the activity of the Good, illumines all things that can receive its light while retaining the utter simplicity of light, and expands above and below throughout the visible world the beams of its own radiance. And if there is aught that does not share them, this is not due to any weakness or deficiency in its distribution of the light, but is due to the unreceptiveness of those creatures which do not attain sufficient singleness to participate therein. For verily the light passeth over many such substances and enlightens those which are beyond them, and there is no visible thing unto which the light reacheth not in the exceeding greatness of its proper radiance. [260] Yea, and it contributes to the birth of material bodies and brings them unto life, and nourishes them that they may grow, and perfects and purifies and renews them. And the light is the measure and the numerical principle of seasons and of days and of all our earthly Time; for tis the selfsame light (though then without a form) which, Moses the Divine declares, marked even that first period of three days which was at the beginning of time. And like as Goodness draweth all things to Itself,

and is the great Attractive Power which unite things that are sundered [261] (being as It is: the Godhead and the Supreme Fount and Producer of Unity); and like as all things desire It as their beginning, their cohesive power and end; and like as tis the Good (as saith the Scripture) from which all things were made and are (having been brought into existence thence as from a Perfect Cause); and like as in the Good all things subsist, being kept and controlled in an almighty Receptacle; [262] and like as unto the Good all things are turned (as unto the proper End of each) ; and like as after the Good all things do yearn--those that have mind and reason seeking It by knowledge, those that have perception seeking It by perception, those that have no perception seeking It by the natural movement of their vital instinct, and those that are without life and have mere existence seeking It by their aptitude for that bare participation whence this mere existence is theirs [263] --even so doth the light (being as it were Its visible image) draw together all things and attract them unto Itself: those that can see, those that have motion, those that receive Its light and warmth, those that are merely held in being by Its rays; [264] whence the sun is so called because it summeth [265] all things and uniteth the scattered elements of the world. All material things desire the sun, for they desire either to see or to move and to receive light and warmth and to be maintained in existence by the light. I say not (as was feigned by the ancient myth) that the sun is the God and Creator of this Universe, and therefore takes the visible world under his special care; but I say that the "invisible things of God from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even His eternal power and Godhead." [266]

5. But these things are dealt with in the "Symbolic Divinity." Here I desire to declare what is the spiritual meaning of the name "Light" as

belonging to the Good. [267] The Good God is called Spiritual Light because He fills every heavenly mind with spiritual light, and drives all ignorance and error from all souls where they have gained a lodgment, and giveth them all a share of holy light and purges their spiritual eyes from the mist of ignorance that surrounds them, and stirs and opens the eyes which are fast shut and weighed down with darkness, and gives them first a moderate illumination, then (when they taste the Light and desire It more) He giveth Himself in greater measure and shineth in more abundance on them "because they have loved much," and ever He constraineth them according to their powers of looking upwards.

6. And so that Good which is above all light is called a Spiritual Light because It is an Originating Beam and an Overflowing Radiance, illuminating with its fullness every Mind above the world, around it, or within it, [268] and renewing all their spiritual powers, embracing them all by Its transcendent compass and exceeding them all by Its transcendent elevation. And It contains within Itself, in a simple form, the entire ultimate principle of light; [269] and is the Transcendent Archetype of Light; and, while bearing the light in its womb, It exceeds it in quality and precedes it in time; and so conjoineth together all spiritual and rational beings, uniting them in one. [270] For as ignorance leadeth wanderers astray from one another, so doth the presence of Spiritual Light join and unite together those that are being illuminated, and perfects them and converts them toward that which truly Is--yea, converts them from their manifold false opinions and unites their different perceptions, or rather fancies, into one true, pure and coherent knowledge, and filleth them with one unifying light.

7. This Good is described by the Sacred Writers as Beautiful and as

Beauty, as Love or Beloved, and by all other Divine titles which befit
Its beautifying and gracious fairness. Now there is a distinction
between the titles "Beautiful" and "Beauty" applied to the
all-embracing Cause. For we universally distinguish these two titles as
meaning respectively the qualities shared and the objects which share
therein. We give the name of "Beautiful" to that which shares in the
quality of beauty, and we give the name of "Beauty" to that common
quality by which all beautiful things are beautiful. But the
Super-Essential Beautiful is called "Beauty" because of that quality
which It imparts to all things severally according to their nature,
[271] and because It is the Cause of the harmony and splendour in all
things, flashing forth upon them all, like light, the beautifying
communications of Its originating ray; and because It summons all
things to fare unto Itself (from whence It hath the name of "Fairness"
[272]), and because It draws all things together in a state of mutual
inter penetration. And it is called "Beautiful" because It is
All-Beautiful and more than Beautiful, and is eternally, unvaryingly,
unchangeably Beautiful; in capable of birth or death or growth or
decay; and not beautiful in one part and foul in another; nor yet at
one time and not at another; nor yet beautiful in relation to one thing
but not to another; nor yet beautiful in one place and not in another
(as if It were beautiful for some but were not beautiful for others);
nay, on the contrary, It is, in Itself and by Itself, uniquely and
eternally beautiful, and from beforehand It contains in a transcendent
manner the originating beauty of everything that is beautiful. For in
the simple and supernatural nature belonging to the world of beautiful
things, [273] all beauty and all that is beautiful hath its unique and
pre-existent Cause. From this Beautiful all things possess their
existence, each kind being beautiful in its own manner, and the

Beautiful causes the harmonies and sympathies and communities of all things. And by the Beautiful all things are united together and the Beautiful is the beginning of all things, as being the Creative Cause which moves the world and holds all things in existence by their yearning for their own Beauty. And It is the Goal of all things, and their Beloved, as being their Final Cause (for tis the desire of the Beautiful that brings them all into existence), and It is their Exemplar [274] from which they derive their definite limits; and hence the Beautiful is the same as the Good, inasmuch as all things, in all causation, desire the Beautiful and Good; nor is there anything in the world but hath a share in the Beautiful and Good. Moreover our Discourse will dare to aver that even the Non-Existent [275] shares in the Beautiful and Good, for Non-Existence [276] is itself beautiful and good when, by the Negation of all Attributes, it is ascribed Super-Essentially to God. This One Good and Beautiful is in Its oneness the Cause of all the many beautiful and good things. Hence comes the bare existence of all things, and hence their unions, [277] their differentiations, their identities, their differences, [278] their similarities, their dissimilarities, their communions of opposite things, [279] the unconfused distinctions of their interpenetrating elements; [280] the providences of the Superiors, [281] the interdependence of the Co-ordinates, the responses of the Inferiors, [282] the states of permanence wherein all keep their own identity. And hence again the intercommunion of all things according to the power of each; their harmonies and sympathies (which do not merge them) and the co-ordinations of the whole universe; [283] the mixture of elements therein and the indestructible ligaments of things; the ceaseless succession of the recreative process in Minds and Souls and in Bodies; for all have rest and movement in That Which, above all rest and all

movement, grounds each one in its own natural laws and moves each one to its own proper movement. [284]

8. And the Heavenly Minds are spoken of as moving (1) in a circular manner, when they are united to the beginningless and endless illuminations of the Beautiful and Good; [285] (2) straight forward, when they advance to the providential guidance of those beneath them and unerringly accomplish their designs; [286] and (3) with spiral motion, because, even while providentially guiding their inferiors, they remain immutably in their self-identity, [287] turning unceasingly around the Beautiful and Good whence all identity is sprung.

9. And the soul hath (1) a circular movement--viz. an introversion [288] from things without and the unified concentration [289] of its spiritual powers--which gives it a kind of fixed revolution, and, turning it from the multiplicity without, draws it together first into itself, [290] and then (after it has reached this unified condition) unites it to those powers which are a perfect Unity, [291] and thus leads it on unto the Beautiful and Good Which is beyond all things, and is One and is the Same, without beginning or end. (2) And the soul moves with a spiral motion whensoever (according to its capacity) it is enlightened with truths of Divine Knowledge, not in the special unity of its being [292] but by the process of its discursive reason and by mingled and alternative activities. [293] (3) And it moves straight forward when it does not enter into itself to feel the stirrings of its spiritual unity (for this, as I said, is the circular motion), but goes forth unto the things around it and feels an influence coming even from the outward world, as from a rich abundance of cunning tokens, drawing it unto the simple unity of contemplative acts. [294]

10. These three motions, and also the similar motions we perceive in this material world and (far anterior to these) the individual

permanence, rest and grounding of each Kind [295] have their Efficient, Formal, and Final Cause in the Beautiful and Good; Which is above all rest and motion; through Which all rest and motion come; and from Which, and in Which, and unto Which, and for the sake of Which they are. For from It and through It are all Being and life of spirit and of soul; and hence in the realm of nature magnitudes both small, co-equal and great; hence all the measured order and the proportions of things, which, by their different harmonies, commingle into wholes made up of co-existent parts; hence this universe, which is both One and Many; the conjunctions of parts together; the unities underlying all multiplicity, and the perfections of the individual wholes; hence Quality, Quantity, Magnitude and Infinitude; hence fusions [296] and differentiations, hence all infinity and all limitation; all boundaries, ranks, transcendences, [297] elements and forms, hence all Being, all Power, all Activity, all Condition, [298] all Perception, all Reason, all Intuition, all Apprehension, all Understanding, All Communion [299] --in a word, all, that is comes from the Beautiful and Good, hath its very existence in the Beautiful and Good, and turns towards the Beautiful and Good. Yea, all that exists and that comes into being, exists and comes into being because of the Beautiful and Good; and unto this Object all things gaze and by It are moved and are conserved, and for the sake of It, because of It and in It, existeth every originating Principle--be this Exemplar, [300] or be it Final or Efficient or Formal or Material Cause--in a word, all Beginning, all Conservation, and all Ending, or (to sum it up) all things that have being are derived from the Beautiful and Good. Yea, and all things that have no substantial being [301] super-essentially exist in the Beautiful and Good: this is the transcendent Beginning and the transcendent Goal of the universe. For, as Holy Scripture saith: "Of

Him, and through Him, and to Him, are all things: to whom be glory for ever. Amen." [302] And hence all things must desire and yearn for and must love the Beautiful and the Good. Yea, and because of It and for Its sake the inferior things yearn for the superior under the mode of attraction, and those of the same rank have a yearning towards their peers under the mode of mutual communion; and the superior have a yearning towards their inferiors under the mode of providential kindness; and each hath a yearning towards itself under the mode of cohesion, [303] and all things are moved by a longing for the Beautiful and Good, to accomplish every outward work and form every act of will. And true reasoning will also dare to affirm that even the Creator of all things Himself yearneth after all things, createth all things, perfecteth all things, conserveth all things, attracteth all things, through nothing but excess of Goodness. Yea, and the Divine Yearning is naught else than a Good Yearning towards the Good for the mere sake of the Good. For the Yearning which createth all the goodness of the world, being pre-existent abundantly in the Good Creator, allowed Him not to remain unfruitful in Himself, but moved Him to exert the abundance of His powers in the production of the universe. [304]

11. And let no man think we are contradicting the Scripture when we solemnly proclaim the title of "Yearning." For tis, methinks, unreasonable and foolish to consider the phrases rather than the meaning; and such is not the way of them that wish for insight into things Divine, but rather of them that receive the empty sounds without letting them pass beyond their ears, and shut them out, not wishing to know what such and such a phrase intends, nor how they ought to explain it in other terms expressing the same sense more clearly. Such men are under the dominion of senseless elements and lines, and of uncomprehended syllables and phrases which penetrate not into the

perception of their souls, but make a dumb noise outside about their lips and hearing holding it unlawful to explain the number "four" by calling it "twice two," or a straight line by calling it a "direct line" or the "Motherland" by calling it the "Fatherland," or so to interchange any other of those terms which under varieties of language possess all the same signification. Need is there to understand that in proper truth we do but use the elements and syllables and phrases and written terms and words as an aid to our senses; inasmuch as when our soul is moved by spiritual energies unto spiritual things, our senses, together with the thing which they perceive, are all superfluous; even as the spiritual faculties are also such when the soul, becoming Godlike, [305] meets in the blind embraces of an incomprehensible union the Rays of the unapproachable Light. [306] Now when the mind, through the things of sense, feels an eager stirring to mount towards spiritual contemplations, [307] it values most of all those aids from its perceptions which have the plainest form, the clearest words, the things most distinctly seen, because, when the objects of sense are in confusion, then the senses themselves cannot present their message truly to the mind. But that we may not seem, in saying this, to be setting aside Holy Scripture, let those who blame the title of "Yearning" hear what the Scripture saith: "Yearn for her and she shall keep thee; exalt her and she shall promote thee; she shall bring thee to honour when thou dost embrace her." [308] And there are many other such Scriptural passages which speak of this yearning.

12. Nay, some of our writers about holy things have thought the title of "Yearning" diviner than that of "Love." Ignatius the Divine writes: "He whom I yearn for is crucified." [309] And in the "Introductions' of Scripture [310] thou wilt find some one saying concerning the Divine Wisdom: "I yearned for her beauty." Let us not, therefore, shrink from

this title of "Yearning," nor be perturbed and affrighted by aught that any man may say about it. For methinks the Sacred Writers regard the titles "Love" and "Yearning" as of one meaning; but preferred, when speaking of Yearning in a heavenly sense, to qualify it with the word "real" [311] because of the inconvenient pre-notion of such men. For whereas the title of "Real Yearning" is employed not merely by ourselves but even by the Scriptures, mankind (not grasping the unity intended when Yearning is ascribed to God) fell by their own propensity into, the notion of a partial, physical and divided quality, which is not true Yearning but a vain image of Real Yearning, or rather a lapse therefrom. [312] For mankind at large cannot grasp the simplicity of the one Divine Yearning, and hence, because of the offence it gives to most men, it is used concerning the Divine Wisdom to lead and raise them up to the knowledge of the Real Yearning until they are set free froth all offence thereat; and often on the other hand when it was possible that base minds should suppose that which is not convenient, the word that is held in greater reverence is used concerning ourselves. [313] "Thy love," says some one, "came upon me like as the love of women." [314] To those who listen aright to Holy Scripture, the word "Love" is used by the Sacred Writers in Divine Revelation with the same meaning as the word "Yearning." It means a faculty of unifying and conjoining and of producing a special commingling together [315] in the Beautiful and Good: a faculty which pre-exists for the sake of the Beautiful and Good, and is diffused from this Origin and to this End, and holds together things of the same order by a mutual connection, and moves the highest to take thought for those below and fixes the inferior in a state which seeks the higher.

13. And the Divine Yearning brings ecstasy, not allowing them that are touched thereby to belong unto themselves but only to the objects of

their affection. This principle is shown by superior things through their providential care for their inferiors, and by those which are co-ordinate through the mutual bond uniting them, and by the inferior through their diviner tendency towards the highest. And hence the great Paul, constrained by the Divine Yearning, and having received a share in its ecstatic power, says, with inspired utterance, "I live, and yet not I but Christ liveth in me": true Sweetheart that he was and (as he says himself) being beside himself unto God, and not possessing his own life but possessing and loving the life of Him for Whom he yearned. And we must dare to affirm (for tis the truth) that the Creator of the Universe Himself, in His Beautiful and Good Yearning towards the Universe, is through the excessive yearning of His Goodness, transported outside of Himself in His providential activities towards all things that have being, and is touched by the sweet spell of Goodness, Love and Yearning, and so is drawn from His transcendent throne above all things, to dwell within the heart of all things, through a super-essential and ecstatic power whereby He yet stays within Himself [316] Hence Doctors call Him "jealous," because He is vehement in His Good Yearning towards the world, and because He stirs men up to a zealous search of yearning desire for Him, and thus shows Himself zealous inasmuch as zeal is always felt concerning things which are desired, and inasmuch as He hath a zeal concerning the creatures for which He careth. In short, both the Yearning and its Object belong to the Beautiful and the Good, and have therein their pre-existent roots and because of it exist and come into being.

14. But why speak the Sacred Writers of God sometimes as Yearning and Love, sometimes as the Object of these emotions? In the one case He is the Cause and Producer and Begetter of the thing signified, in the other He is the Thing signified Itself. Now the reason why He is

Himself on the one hand moved by the quality signified, and on the other causes motion by it, [317] is that He moves and leads onward Himself unto Himself. [318] Therefore on the one hand they call Him the Object of Love and Yearning as being Beautiful and Good, and on the other they call Him Yearning and Love as being a Motive-Power leading all things to Himself, Who is the only ultimate Beautiful and Good--yea, as being His own Self-Revelation and the Bounteous Emanation of His own Transcendent Unity, a Motion of Yearning simple, self-moved, self-acting, pre-existent in the Good, and overflowing from the Good into creation, and once again returning to the Good. And herein the Divine Yearning showeth especially its beginningless and endless nature, revolving in a perpetual circle for the Good, from the Good, in the Good, and to the Good, with unerring revolution, never varying its centre or direction, perpetually advancing and remaining and returning to Itself. This by Divine inspiration our renowned Initiator hath declared in his Hymns of Yearning, which it will not be amiss to quote and thus to bring unto a holy consummation our Discourse concerning this matter.

15. Words of the most holy Hierotheus from the Hymns of Yearning.

"Yearning (be it in God or Angel, or Spirit, or Animal Life, or Nature) must be conceived of as an uniting and commingling power which moveth the higher things to a care for those below them, moveth co-equals to a mutual communion, and finally moveth the inferiors to turn towards their superiors in virtue and position."

16. Words of the same, from the same Hymns of Yearning. "Forasmuch as we have set down in order the manifold yearnings springing from the One, and have duly explained what are the powers of knowledge and of action belonging to the yearnings springing from the One, and have duly explained what are the powers of knowledge and of action proper to the

Yearnings within [319] the world and above [320] it (wherein, as hath been already explained, the higher place belongeth unto those ranks and orders of Yearning which are spiritually felt and perceived, and highest amongst these are the Divine Yearnings in the very core of the Spirit towards those Beauties which have their veritable Being Yonder), [321] let us now yet further resume and compact them all together into the one and concentrated Yearning which is the Father of them all, and let us collect together into two kinds their general desiderative powers, over which the entire mastery and primacy is in that Incomprehensible Causation of all yearning which cometh from Beyond them all, and whereunto the universal yearning of all creatures presseth upwards according to the nature of each."

17. Words of the same, from the same Hymns of Yearning "Let us once more collect these powers into one and declare that there is but One Simple Power Which of Itself moveth all things to be mingled in an unity, starting from the Good and going unto the lowest of the creatures and thence again returning through all stages in due order unto the Good, and thus revolving from Itself, and through Itself and upon Itself [322] and towards Itself, in an unceasing orbit."

18. Now some one, perhaps, will say: "If the Beautiful and Good is an Object of Yearning and desire and love to all (for even that which is not longs for It, as was said, [323] and strives to find its rest therein, and thus It creates a form even in formless things and thus is said super-essentially to contain, and does so contain, the non-existent) [324] --if this is so, how is it that the company of the devils desires not the Beautiful and Good, but, being inclined towards matter and fallen far from the fixed angelic state of desire for the Good, becomes a cause of all evils to itself and to all other beings which we describe as becoming evil? How is it that the devils, having

been produced wholly out of the Good, are not good in disposition? Or how is it that, if produced good from out of the Good, they became changed? [325] What made them evil, and indeed what is the nature of evil? From what origin did it arise and in what thing doth it lie? Why did He that is Good will to produce it? And how, having so willed, was He able so to do? [326] And if evil comes from some other cause, what other cause can anything have excepting the Good? How, if there is a Providence, doth evil exist, or arise at all, or escape destruction? And why doth anything in the world desire it instead of Good?"

19. Thus perhaps will such bewildered discourse speak. Now we will bid the questioner look towards the truth of things, and in the first place we will venture thus to answer: "Evil cometh not of the Good; and if it cometh therefrom it is not evil. For even as fire cannot cool us, so Good cannot produce the things which are not good. And if all things that have being come from the Good (for it is natural to the Good to produce and preserve the creatures, and natural to evil to corrupt and to destroy them) then nothing in the world cometh of evil. Then evil can- not even in any wise exist, if it act as evil upon itself. And unless it do so act, evil is not wholly evil, but hath some portion of the Good whereby it can exist at all. And if the things that have being desire the Beautiful and Good and accomplish all their acts for the sake of that which seemeth good, and if all that they intend hath the Good as its Motive and its Aim (for nothing looks unto the nature of evil to guide it in its actions), what place is left for evil among things that have being, or how can it have any being at all bereft of such good purpose? And if all things that have being come of the Good and the Good is Beyond things that have being, then, whereas that which exists not yet hath being in the Good; evil contrariwise hath none (otherwise it were not wholly evil or Non-Ens; for that which is wholly

Non-Ens can be but naught except this be spoken Super-Essentially of the Good). So the Good must have Its seat far above and before that which hath mere being and that which hath not; but evil hath no place either amongst things that have being or things that have not, yea it is farther removed than the Non-Existent from the Good and hath less being than it. Then' (saith one perchance) whence cometh evil? For if' (saith he) evil is not, virtue and vice must needs be the same both in their whole entirety and in their corresponding particulars, '-i. e. even that which fighteth against virtue cannot be evil. And yet temperance is the opposite of debauchery, and righteousness of wickedness. And I mean not only the righteous and the unrighteous man, or the temperate and intemperate man; I mean that, even before the external distinction appeared between the virtuous man and his opposite, the ultimate distinction between the virtues and the vices hath existed long beforehand in the soul itself, and the passions war against the reason, and hence we must assume something evil which is contrary to goodness. For goodness is not contrary to itself, but, being come from One Beginning and being the offspring of One Cause, it rejoices in fellowship, unity, and concord. Even the lesser Good is not contrary to the greater, for that which is less hot or cold is not contrary to that which is more so. Wherefore evil lieth in the things that have being and possesseth being and is opposed and contrary to goodness. And if evil is the destruction of things which have being, that depriveth it not of its own being. It itself still hath being and giveth being to its offspring. Yea, is not the destruction of one thing often the birth of another? And thus it will be found that evil maketh contribution unto the fullness of the world, and through its presence, saveth the universe from imperfection."

20. The true answer whereunto will be that evil (qua evil) causes no

existence or birth, but only debases and corrupts, so far as its power extends, the substance of things that have being. And if any one says that it is productive, and that by the destruction of one thing it giveth birth to somewhat else, the true answer is that it doth not so qua destructive. Qua destructive and evil it only destroys and debases; but it taketh upon it the form of birth and essence through the action of the Good. Thus evil will be found to be a destructive force in itself, but a productive force through the action of the Good. Qua evil it neither hath being nor confers it; through the action of the Good, it hath being (yea, a good being) and confers being on good things. Or rather (since we cannot call the same thing both good and bad in the same relations, nor are the destruction and birth of the same thing the same function or faculty, whether productive or destructive, working in the same relations), Evil in itself hath neither being, goodness, productiveness, nor power of creating things which have being and goodness; the Good, on the other hand, wherever It becomes perfectly present, creates perfect, universal and untainted manifestations of goodness; while the things which have a lesser share therein are imperfect manifestations of goodness and mixed with other elements through lack of the Good. In fine, evil is not in any wise good, nor the maker of good; but every thing must be good only in proportion as it approacheth more or less unto the Good, since the perfect Goodness penetrating all things reacheth not only to the wholly good beings around It, but extendeth even unto the lowest things, being entirely present unto some, and in a lower measure to others, and unto others in lowest measure, according as each one is capable of participating therein. [327] Some creatures participate wholly in the Good, others are lacking in It less or more, and others possess a still fainter participation therein, while to others the Good is present as but the

faintest echo. For if the Good were not present only in a manner proportioned unto each, then the divinest and most honourable things would be no higher than the lowest! And how, pray, could all things have a uniform share in the Good, since not all are equally fit to share entirely therein? But in truth the exceeding greatness of the power of the Good is shown by this--that It giveth power even to the things which lack It, yea even unto that very lack itself, inasmuch as even here is to be found some kind of participation in It. [328] And, if we must needs boldly speak the truth, even the things that fight against It possess through Its power their being and their capability to fight. Or rather, to speak shortly, all creatures in so far as they have being are good and come from the Good, and in so far as they are deprived of the Good, neither are good nor have they being. [329] For in the case of other qualities, such as heat or cold, the things which have been warmed have their being even when they lose their warmth, and many of the creatures there are which have no life or mind; and in like manner God transcendeth all being and so is Super-Essential; [330] and generally, in all other cases, though the quality be gone or hath never been present, the creatures yet have being and can subsist; but that which is utterly bereft of the Good never had, nor hath, nor ever shall have, no nor can have any sort of being whatever. For instance, the depraved sinner, though bereft of the Good by his brutish desire, is in this respect unreal and desires unrealities; but still he hath a share in the Good in so far as there is in him a distorted reflection of true Love and Communion. [331] And anger hath a share in the Good, in so far as it is a movement which seeks to remedy apparent evils, converting them to that which appears to be fair. And even he that desires the basest life, yet in so far as he feels desire at all and feels desire for life, and intends what he thinks the best kind of life, so far

participates in the Good. And if you wholly destroy the Good, there shall be neither being, life, desire, nor motion, or any other thing. Hence the birth of fresh life out of destruction is not the function of evil but is the presence of Good in a lesser form, even as disease is a disorder, yet not the destruction of all order, for if this happen the disease itself will not exist. [332] But the disease remains and exists. Its essence is order reduced to a minimum; and in this it consists. For that which is utterly without the Good hath neither being nor place amongst the things that are in being; but that which is of mixed nature owes to the Good its place among things in being, and hath this place amongst them and hath being just so far as it participates in the Good. Or rather all things in being will have their being more or less in proportion as they participate in the Good. For so far as mere Being is concerned, that which hath not being in any respect will not exist at all; that which hath being in one respect but not in another doth not exist in so far as it hath fallen away from the everlasting Being; while in so far as it hath a share of being, to that extent it exists; and thus both an element of existence and an element of non-existence in it are kept and preserved. So too with evil. That which is utterly fallen from Good can have no place either in the things which are more good or in the things which are less so. That which is good in one respect but not in another is at war with some particular good but not with the whole of the Good. It also is preserved by the admixture of the Good, and thus the Good giveth existence to the lack of Itself through some element of Itself being present there. For if the Good be entirely removed, there will not remain aught at all, either good or mixed or absolutely bad. For if evil is imperfect Goodness, the perfect absence of the Good will remove both the perfect and the imperfect Good, and evil will only exist and

appear because, while it is evil in relation to one kind of good (being the contrary thereof), yet it depends for its existence on another kind of good and, to that extent, is good itself. For things of the same kind cannot [333] be wholly contradictory to one another in the same respects. [334] Hence evil is Non-Existent.

21. Neither inhereth evil in existent creatures. [335] For if all creatures are from the Good, and the Good is in them all and embraces them all, either evil can have no place amongst the creatures, or else it must have a place in the Good. [336] Now it cannot inhere in the Good, any more than cold can inhere in fire; just so the quality of becoming evil cannot inhere in that which turns even evil into good. And if evil doth inhere in the Good, what will the mode of its inherence be? If you say: It cometh of the Good, I answer: That is absurd and impossible. For (as the infallible Scriptures say), a good tree cannot bring forth evil fruit, nor yet is the converse possible. But if it cometh not of the Good, it is plainly from another origin and cause. Either evil must come from the Good, or the Good from evil, or else (if this is impossible) both the Good and evil must be from another origin or cause. For no duality can be an origin: same unity must be the origin of all duality. And yet it is absurd to suppose that two entirely opposite things can owe their birth and their being to the same thing. This would make the origin itself not a simple unity but divided, double, self-contradictory and discordant. Nor again is it possible that the world should have two contradictory origins, existing in each other and in the whole and mutually at strife. For, [337] were this assumed, God [338] cannot be free from pain, nor without a feeling of ill, since there would be something causing Him trouble, yea, all things must in that case be in a state of disorder and perpetual strife; whereas the Good imparts a principle of harmony to all things

and is called by the Sacred Writers Peace and the Bestower of Peace. And hence it is that all good things display a mutual attraction and harmony, and are the offspring of one Life and are disposed in fellowship towards one Good, and are kindly, of like nature, and benignant to one another. And so evil is not in God, [339] and is not divine. Nor cometh it of God. For either He is not good, or else He worketh goodness and bringeth good things unto existence. Nor acts He thus only at some times and not at others, or only in the case of some things but not of all. For were He to act thus, He must suffer a change and alteration, and that in respect of the divinest quality of all--causality. And if the Good is in God as His very substance, God must, in changing from the Good, sometimes exist and sometimes not exist. Doubtless if you feign that He hath the Good by mere participation therein, and derives It from another, in that case He will, forsooth, sometimes possess It and sometimes not possess It. [340] Evil, therefore, doth not come from God, nor is it in God either absolutely or temporally. [341]

22. Neither inhereth evil in the angels. [342] For if the good angel declares the Divine Goodness, he is in a secondary manner and by participation that which the Subject of his message is in a primary and causal manner. [343] And thus the angel is an image of God, a manifestation of the invisible light, a burnished mirror, bright, untarnished, without spot or blemish, receiving (if it is reverent to say so) all the beauty of the Absolute Divine Goodness, and (so far as may be) kindling in itself, with unallowed radiance, the Goodness of the Secret Silence. Hence evil inhereth not in the angels; they are evil only in so far as they must punish sinners. But in this respect even those who chastise wrong-doers are evil, and so are the priests who exclude the profane man from the Divine Mysteries. But, indeed, tis

not the suffering of the punishment that is evil but the being worthy thereof; nor yet is a just exclusion from the sacrifices evil, but to be guilty and unholy and unfit for those pure mysteries is evil.

23. Nor are the devils naturally evil. For, were they such, they would not have sprung from the Good, nor have a place amongst existent creatures, nor have fallen from Goodness (being by their very nature always evil). Moreover, are they evil with respect to themselves or to others? If the former [344] they must also be self-destructive; if the latter, how do they destroy, and what do they destroy? [345] Do they destroy Essence, or Faculty, or Activity? [346] If Essence, then, first, they cannot destroy it contrary to its own nature; for they cannot destroy things which by their nature are indestructible, but only the things which are capable of destruction. And, secondly, destruction itself is not evil in every case and under all circumstances. Nor can any existent thing be destroyed so far as its being and nature act; for its destruction is due to a failure of its natural order, whereby the principle of harmony and symmetry grows weak and so cannot remain unchanged. [347] But the weakness is not complete; for, were it complete, it would have annihilated both the process of destruction and the object which suffers it: and such a destruction as this must be self-destructive. Hence such a quality is not evil but imperfect good; for that which is wholly destitute of the Good can have no place among things that have being. [348] And the same is true of destruction when it works upon a faculty or activity. Moreover, how can the devils be evil since they are sprung from God? For the Good produceth and createth good things. But it may be said that they are called evil not in so far as they exist (for they are from the Good and had a good existence given them), but in so far as they do not exist, having been unable (as the Scripture saith) to keep their original

state. For in what, pray, do we consider the wickedness of the devils to consist except their ceasing from the quality and activity of divine virtues? Otherwise, if the devils are naturally evil, they must be always evil. But evil is unstable. [349] Hence if they are always in the same condition, they are not evil; for to remain always the same is a property of the Good. But if they are not always evil, then they are not evil by their natural constitution, but only through a lack of angelic virtues. [350] Hence they are not utterly without the Good, seeing that they exist and live and form intuitions and have within them any movement of desire at all; but they are called evil because they fail in the exercise of their natural activity. The evil in them is therefore a warping, a declension from their right condition; a failure, an imperfection, an impotence, and a weakness, loss and lapse of that power which would preserve their perfection in them. Moreover what is the evil in the devils? Brutish wrath, blind desire, headstrong fancy. But these qualities, even though they exist in the devils, are not wholly, invariably, and essentially evil. For in other living creatures, not the possession of these qualities but their loss is destructive of the creature and hence is evil; while their possession preserves the creature and enables the creature possessing them to exist. Hence the devils are not evil in so far as they fulfil their nature, but in so far as they do not. Nor hath the Good bestowed complete upon them been changed; rather have they fallen from the completeness of that gift. And we maintain that the angelic gifts bestowed upon their have never themselves suffered change, but are unblemished in their perfect brightness, even if the devils themselves do not perceive it through blinding their faculties of spiritual perception. [351] Thus, so far as their existence is concerned, they possess it from the Good, and are naturally good, and desire the

Beautiful and Good in desiring existence, life, and intuition, which are existent things. And they are called evil through the deprivation and the loss whereby they have lapsed from their proper virtues. And hence they are evil in so far as they do not exist; and in desiring evil they desire that which is non-existent.

24. But perhaps some one will say that human souls are the seat of evil. Now if the reason alleged is that they have contact with evil temptations when they take forethought to preserve themselves therefrom, this is not evil but good and cometh from the Good that turns even evil into good. But if we mean the depravation which souls undergo, in what do they undergo depravation except in the deficiency of good qualities and activities and in the failure and fall therefrom due to their own weakness? Even so we say that the air is darkened around us by a deficiency and absence of the light; while yet the light itself is always light and illuminates the darkness. Hence the evil inhereth not in the devils or in us, as evil, but only as a deficiency and lack of the perfection of our proper virtues.

25. Neither inhereth evil in the brute beasts. For if you take away the passions of anger, desire, etc. (which are not in their essential nature evil, although alleged to be so), the lion, having lost its savage wildness, will be a lion no longer; and the dog, if it become gentle to all, will cease to be a dog, since the virtue of a dog is to watch and to allow its own masters to approach while driving strangers away. Wherefore tis not evil for a creature so to act as preserveth its nature undestroyed; evil is the destruction of its nature, the weakness and deficiency of its natural qualities, activities, and powers. And if all things which the process of generation produces have their goal of perfection in time, then even that which seemeth to be their imperfection is not wholly and entirely contrary to nature. [352]

26. Neither inhereth evil in nature as a whole. For if all natural laws together come from the universal system of Nature, there is nothing contrary to Nature. [353] 'Tis but when we consider the nature of particular thins, that we find one part of Nature to be natural and another part to be unnatural. For one thing may be unnatural in one case, and another thing in another case; and that which is natural in one is unnatural in another. [354] Now the evil taint of a natural force is something unnatural. It is a lack of the thing's natural virtues. Hence, no natural force is evil: the evil of nature lies in a thing's inability to fulfil its natural functions. [355]

27. Neither inhereth evil in our bodies. For ugliness and disease are a deficiency in form and a want of order. But this is not wholly evil, being rather a lesser good. For were there a complete destruction of beauty, form, and order, the very body must disappear. And that the body is not the cause of evil in the soul is plain in that evil can be nigh at hand even without a body, as it is in the devils. Evil in spirits' souls and bodies is a weakness and lapse in the condition of their natural virtues.

28. Nor is the familiar notion true that "Evil inheres in matter qua matter." For matter, too, hath a share in order, beauty, and form. And if matter is without these things, and in itself hath no quality or form, how can it produce anything, since in that case it hath not of itself even the power of suffering any affection? Nay, how can matter be evil? For if it hath no being whatever, it is neither good nor evil; but if it hath a kind of being, then (since all things that have being come from the Good) matter must come from the Good. And thus either the Good produces evil (i. e. evil, since it comes from the Good, is good), or else the Good Itself is produced by evil (i. e. the Good, as coming thus from evil, is evil). Or else we are driven back again to two

principles. But if so, these must be derived from some further single source beyond them. And if they say that matter is necessary for the whole world to fulfil its development, how can that be evil which depends for its existence upon the Good? For evil abhors the very nature of the Good. And how can matter, if it is evil, produce and nourish Nature? For evil, qua evil, cannot produce or nourish anything, nor create or preserve it at all. And if they reply that matter causes not the evil in our souls, but that it yet draws them down towards evil, can that be true? For many of them have their gaze turned towards the Good. And how can that be, if matter doth nothing except drag them down towards evil? Hence evil in our souls is not derived from matter but from a disordered and discordant motion. And if they say that this motion is always the consequence of matter; and if the unstable medium of matter is necessary for things that are incapable of firm self-subsistence, then why is it that evil is thus necessary or that this necessary thing is evil? [356]

29. Nor is the common saying true that Deprivation or Lack fights by its natural power against the Good. For a complete lack is utterly impotent; and that which is partial hath its power, not in so far as it is a lack, but in so far as it is not a perfect lack. For when the lack of the Good is partial, evil is not as yet; and when it becomes perfect, evil itself utterly vanishes.

30. In fine, Good cometh from the One universal Cause; and evil from many partial deficiencies. God knows evil under the form of good, and with Him the causes of evil things are faculties productive of good. And if evil is eternal, creative, and powerful, and if it hath being and activity, whence hath it these attributes? Come they from the Good? Or from the evil by the action of the Good? Or from some other cause by the action of them both? All natural results arise from a definite

cause; and if evil hath no cause or definite being, it is unnatural. For that which is contrary to Nature hath no place in Nature, even as unskilfulness hath no place in skilfulness. Is the soul, then, the cause of evils, even as fire is the cause of warmth? And doth the soul, then, fill with evil whatsoever things are near it? Or is the nature of the soul in itself good, while yet in its activities the soul is sometimes in one state, and sometimes in another? [357] Now, if the very existence of the soul is naturally evil, whence is that existence derived? From the Good Creative Cause of the whole world? If from this Origin, how can it be, in its essential nature, evil? For all things sprung from out this Origin are good. But if it is evil merely in its activities, even so this condition is not fixed. Otherwise (i. e. if it doth not itself also assume a good quality) what is the origin of the virtues? [358] There remains but one alternative: Evil is a weakness and deficiency of Good.

31. Good things have all one cause. If evil is opposed to the Good, then hath evil many causes. The efficient causes of evil results, however, are not any laws and faculties, but an impotence and weakness and an inharmonious mingling of discordant elements. Evil things are not immutable and unchanging but indeterminate and indefinite: the sport of alien influences which have no definite aim. The Good must be the beginning and the end even of all evil things. For the Good is the final Purpose of all things, good and bad alike. For even when we act amiss we do so from a longing for the Good; for no one makes evil his definite object when performing any action. Hence evil hath no substantial being, but only a shadow thereof; since the Good, and not itself, is the ultimate object for which it comes into existence.

32. Unto evil we can attribute but an accidental kind of existence. It exists for the sake of something else, and is not self-originating. And

hence our action appears to be right (for it hath Good as its object) while yet it is not really right (because we mistake for good that which is not good). Tis proven, then, that our purpose is different from our action. Thus evil is contrary to progress, purpose, nature, cause, principle, end, law, will, and being. Evil is, then, a lack, a deficiency, a weakness, a disproportion, an error, purposeless, unlovely, lifeless, unwise, unreasonable, imperfect, unreal, causeless, indeterminate, sterile, inert, powerless, disordered, incongruous, indefinite, dark, unsubstantial, and never in itself possessed of any existence whatever. How, then, is it that an admixture of the Good bestows any power upon evil? For that which is altogether destitute of Good is nothing and hath no power. And if the Good is Existent and is the Source of will, power, and action, how can Its opposite (being destitute of existence, will, power, and activity), have any power against It? Only because evil things are not all entirely the same in all cases and in all relations. [359] In the case of a devil evil lieth in the being contrary to spiritual goodness; in the soul it lieth in the being contrary to reason; in the body it lieth in the being contrary to nature.

33. How can evil things have any existence at all if there is a Providence? Only because evil (as such) hath no being, neither inhereth it in things that have being. And naught that hath being is independent of Providence; for evil hath no being at all, except when mingled with the Good. And if no thing in the world is without a share in the Good, and evil is the deficiency of Good and no thing in the world is utterly destitute of Good, then the Divine Providence is in all things, and nothing that exists can be without It. Yea, even the evil effects that arise are turned by Providence to a kindly purpose, for the succour of themselves or others (either individually or in common), and thus it is

that Providence cares individually for each particular thing in all the world. Therefore we shall pay no heed to the fond argument so often heard that "Providence shall lead us unto virtue even against our will." 'Tis not worthy of Providence to violate nature. Wherefore Its Providential character is shown herein: that It preserves the nature of each individual, and, in making provision for the free and independent, it hath respect unto their state, providing, both in general and in particular, according as the nature of those It cares for can receive Its providential benefactions, which are bestowed suitably on each by Its multiform and universal activity.

34. Thus evil hath no being, nor any inherence in things that have being. Evil is nowhere qua evil; and it arises not through any power but through weakness. Even the devils derive their existence from the Good, and their mere existence is good. Their evil is the result of a fall from their proper virtues, and is a change with regard to their individual state, a weakness of their true angelical perfections. And they desire the Good in so far as they desire existence, life, and understanding; and in so far as they do not desire the Good, they desire that which hath no being. And this is not desire, but an error of real desire.

35. By "men who sin knowingly" Scripture means them that are weak in the exercised knowledge [360] and performance of Good; and by "them that know the Divine Will and do it not," [361] it means them that have heard the truth and yet are weak in faith to trust the Good or in action to fulfil it. [362] And some desire not to have understanding in order that they may do good, so great is the warping or the weakness of their will. And, in a word, evil (as we have often said) is weakness, impotence, and deficiency of knowledge (or, at least, of exercised knowledge), or of faith, desire, or activity as touching the Good. Now,

it may be urged that weakness should not be punished, but on the contrary should be pardoned. This would be just were the power not within man's grasp; but if the power is offered by the Good that giveth without stint (as saith the Scripture) that which is needful to each, we must not condone the wandering or defection, desertion, and fall from the proper virtues offered by the Good. But hereon let that suffice which we have already spoken (to the best of our abilities) in the treatise Concerning Justice and Divine Judgment: [363] a sacred exercise wherein the Truth of Scripture disallowed as lunatic babbling such nice arguments as despitefully and slanderously blaspheme God. In this present treatise we have, to the best of our abilities, celebrated the Good as truly Admirable, as the Beginning and the End of all things, as the Power that embraces them, as That Which gives form to non-existent things, as That which causes all good things and yet causes no evil things, as perfect Providence and Goodness surpassing all things that are and all that are not, and turning base things and the lack of Itself unto good, as That Which all must desire, yearn for, and love; and as possessed of many other qualities the which a true argument hath, methinks, in this chapter expounded.

[243] hos ousiodis agathun.

[244] God's activity cannot be distinguished from Himself. Cf. p. 81, n. 4. God acts simply by being what He is--by being Good. This fits in with the doctrine that He creates the world as being the Object of its desire. He attracts it into existence.

[245] hai noetai kai noerai pasai kai ousiai kai dunameis kai energeiai. Angels and men are percipient Essences; their powers when quiescent or dormant on the one hand and active on the other are respectively percipient faculties and activities. But angels and men

with their faculties and activities can also be perceived. Cf. next sentence.

[246] This doctrine may be based on some psychic experience enjoyed by D. or recounted to him. George Fox received an experience of this kind in which he had an intuitive knowledge concerning the hidden properties of plants. See his Diary near the beginning.

[247] Lit. "Revolutions." (hai . . . peri heautas ametaptotoi sunelixeis.) In Dante's Paradiso the souls of the Redeemed all move with a circular motion. This symbolizes an activity of spiritual concentration. Cf. iv. 8, 9.

[248] The Celestial Hierarchy is among D's extant works. It is referred to by Dante and was the chief source of medieval angelology.

[249] ten ousiode zoen--i. e. life as such, mere life, the life which they share with animals and plants.

[250] The existence of the whole creation--angels, men, animals, and vegetables, dead matter--is in the Good. It has not, in the ordinary sense, made them, but they are grounded in It and draw their existence from it and would not exist but for it. They exist not through any particular activity It exerts but solely because It is.

[251] "Being" implies finite relations; for one thing must be distinguished from another. If a thing is itself, it is not something else; this thing is not that. The Good is beyond this distinction, for nothing (on the ultimate plane) is outside It. See Intr., p. 5.

[252] This apparently profitless speculation really suggests profound spiritual mysteries. Love is the one reality and love is self realization through self-sacrifice. We must lose our life to find it. We must, through the excess of spiritual life within us, seek to be (as it were) lifeless, so that this excess of life may still be ours. And such was the Incarnate Life of Christ and such is the Life of God in

eternity. So too the wisdom of Christ is, from a worldly point of view, foolishness. For worldly wisdom = self-seeking, but the Wisdom of Christ = self-abandonment. In fact Heavenly Wisdom = Love. Cf. 1 Cor. i. 25; iii. 18, 19.

[253] That which Is Not = Evil (vide infra in this chapter). Cf. Intr., p. 20. The Good is Non-Existent as being beyond existence; evil is non-existent as being contrary to it. Thus evil is by its very nature trying as it were to be Good. This also looks like a barren paradox and yet it may contain a spiritual truth. Evil is, in the words of Goethe, "the spirit that denies": It is destructive, e. g. injustice, cruelty, immorality, etc., undermine or overwhelm civilization and so destroy it. But the Good supersedes civilization and so in a sense destroys it. Cf. the eschatological teaching of Christ. Civilization, art, morality, etc., are good so far as they go, but imperfect. Being halfway, as it were, between Good and evil, and being of necessity neither wholly the one nor wholly the other, they must disappear wherever the one or the other completely triumphs. Christ's teaching on Marriage illustrates this. Marriage is sacred, and divorce is wrong, because it seeks to abolish Marriage. And yet Marriage is finally abolished in heaven. St. Paul's antithesis of Law and Spirit is another example. The Law is good and yet is not the Good. Sin is contrary to the Law, but the Spirit is contrary to the Law in another sense and so supersedes it. So too with art. A modern vandal is indifferent to beauty because he is below it, a Mediæval Saint became sometimes indifferent to beauty by rising to a super-sensuous plane above it. Greek idolatry is a higher thing than Calvinism, but the Christianity of the New Testament is a higher thing than Greek idolatry. The Saints sometimes employ negatives in one sense and those who are not saints employ the same negatives in another; whence disaster. Much of Nietzsche's language (e. g. the phrase "Beyond

Good and Evil") might have been used by a Mediæval Christian Mystic; but Nietzsche did not generally mean what the Christian Mystic would have meant by it. So too with pain. All pain is in itself bad, being a negation of our personality. And yet a self-abnegation springing from Love which bravely bears pain is the highest kind of Good. "The devil . . . put it into the heart of Judas to betray" Christ, and yet the Passion was in accordance with "the determinate counsel and foreknowledge of God."

[254] *ei houte chre phanai*. D. is alluding to the ancient belief in the Music of the Spheres.

[255] *Gen. i. 16*.

[256] *metron*. All things have their pre-existent limits in the Super-Essence.

[257] *aion--i.e.* The Permanent Principle underlying its temporal process. This and the next phrase explain what is meant by the words "the Measure of the universe." The Good sets bounds to the world (1) temporally, because Eternity is the Fount of Time, (2) spatially, because Transcendent Unity is the Fount of Number. All temporal things are permanent in God; and all diversities are one in Him.

[258] All number has its roots in the Good. Elsewhere D. says that the Good being beyond Unity, is a Multiplicity as well as an Unity. Cf. *Intr.*, p. 5.

[259] Here we get once more the Aristotelian classification of causes. The Good is:-- (i) Formal Cause (1) immanent in the world (Order--*taxis*); (2) containing the world (Embracing Power--*perioche*). (ii) Efficient Cause (Cause--*aitia*). (iii) Final Cause (End--*telos*).

[260] The light permeates water but it does not permeate a stone. It passes over the stone and permeates the water beyond it.

[261] *archisunagogos esti ton eskedasmenon*.

[262] hos en pantokratoriko puthmeni.

[263] (1) Man, (2) Animal, (3) Vegetable, (4) Matter.

[264] This seems to imply that matter itself could not exist without the influence of the light. Perhaps this belief rests on Gen. i. 1, 2.

[265] helios hoti panta aolle poiei. With the naïf etymology cf. iv. 5.

[266] Rom. i. 20. The sun is not personal or supra-personal. But its impersonal activity is an emblem, as it were, of God's supra-personal activity.

[267] Two worlds: (1) Nature, (2) Grace. God is revealed in both; the former was apparently the subject of the Symbolic Divinity; the latter is that of the present treatise.

[268] i.e. Men and different orders of angels.

[269] Material light is diffused in space and hence is divisible. The Spiritual Light is indivisible, being totally present to each illuminated mind. Hence the Spiritual Light is simple in a way that the material light is not.

[270] All our spiritual and mental powers are due to the same Spiritual Light working in each one of us. Cf. Wordsworth: "Those mysteries of Being which have made and shall continue evermore to make of the whole human race one brotherhood."

[271] Cf. ii. 8.

[272] hos panta pros heauto kaloun (hothen kai kallos legetai). Cf. iv. 4.

[273] The ultimate nature of all beautiful things is a simple and supernatural Element common to them all and manifested in them all. The law of life is that it has its true and ultimate being outside it. The true beauty of all beautiful things is outside them in God. Hence all great art (even when not directly religious) tends towards the Supernatural or has a kind of supernatural atmosphere.

[274] paradeigmatikon--i.e. the ultimate Law of their being, the Idea or Type.

[275] to me on--i.e. that mere nothingness which is manifested either as (1) formless "matter" or (2) evil. See Intr., p. 20.

[276] Evil is non-existent in one sense. The Good is Non-Existent in another. Cf. p. 90, n. 1.

[277] henoseis, diakriseis, tautotetes, heterotetes.

[278] Hence parts are united into wholes and wholes articulated into parts, and hence each thing is identical with itself and distinct from everything else.

[279] e.g. Moisture interpenetrates the solid earth.

[280] e.g. In a piece of wet ground the water is water and the earth is earth.

[281] hai pronoiai ton huperteron. Lit. "the providences," etc., e.g. the influence of the light without which, D. holds, the material world could not exist. Or this and the following may refer to different ranks of angels, or to angels and men.

[282] hai epistrophai ton katadeesteron. Lit. "the conversions," etc. e.g. Matter (according to his theory) responds to the influence of the light. And men are influenced by angels, and the lower angels by the higher.

[283] The point of this section is that besides the particular and partial harmonies already mentioned, there is a universal harmony uniting the whole world in one system.

[284] In the two following sections the difference between angelic and human activity is that the angels confer spiritual enlightenment and men receive it. Angels are in a state of attainment and men are passing through a process of attainment.

[285] Vide supra on Introversion (p. 88, n. 1).

[286] They are united to God in the centre of their being, by ceaselessly entering into themselves. They help us by going forth, as it were, from themselves.

[287] Their true self-identity is rooted in God. See Intr., pp. 31 f.

[288] he eis heauten eisodos.

[289] In souls being unified and simplified. See Intr., p. 25.

[290] Cf. St. Aug. "ascendat per se supra se."

[291] i. e. To the Angels and the perfected Saints. There is a somewhat similar thought in Wordsworth's Prelude: "To hold fit converse with the spiritual world / and with the generations of mankind / spread over time past, present, and to come / age after age till time shall be no more." This thought in Wordsworth and in D. is an experience and not a speculation.

[292] This spiritual unity was by later Mystical writers called the apex of the soul, or the ground, or the spark. Another name is synteresis or synderesis.

[293] There is an element of intuition in all discursive reasoning because all argument is based on certain axioms which are beyond proof (e. g. the law of universal causation). In fact the validity of our laws of thought is an axiom and therefore perceived by intuition. In the present passage D. means something deeper. He means that formal Dogmatic Theology advances round a central core of spiritual experience by which it must constantly be verified, *Pectus facit theologum*. Whenever theology even attempts to be purely deductive it goes wrong (e. g. Calvinism). If it is not rooted in intuition it will be rooted in fancies.

[294] In D.s classification Introversion and Sensation are both unmixed movements, for each leads to a kind of perception. Discursive reasoning is a mixed movement because it does not lead to a direct perception and

yet it must contain an element of perception.

[295] i.e. The types of things existent in the permanent spiritual world before the things were created in this transitory material world; the Platonic Ideas. There was also a Jewish belief in such a pre-existence of things. Cf. Rev. iv. 11 (R. V.).]

[296] sunkriseis.

[297] huperochai.

[298] hexis.

[299] enosis. The word is here used in the most comprehensive manner to include physical communion, sense-perception, and spiritual communion of souls with one another and with God.

[300] The exemplar is the formal cause before this is actualized in the object embodying it. The principle in an oak tree constituting it an oak is the formal cause. But before there were any oak trees this principle existed as an exemplar. The final cause is the beneficent purpose the oak tree serves. In the Aristotelian classification exemplar, and final cause would be classed together as final cause.

[301] This means either (1) that actually non-existent things (e. g. the flowers of next year which have not yet appeared, or those of last year, which are now dead) have an eternal place in God; or else (2) that evil things have their true being, under a different form, in Him.

[302] Rom. xi. 36.

[303] In the whole of this passage D. is thinking primarily of Angels and men, or at least of sentient creatures. But he would see analogies of such activity in the inanimate material world.

[304] eis to praktikeuesthai kata ten hapanton gennetiken huperbolen. Desire = want. And want in us = imperfection; but in God it = that excess of perfection, whereby God is "Perfectionless." Thus the words "super-excellence," "super-unity," etc., are not meaningless

superlatives. They imply an impulse towards motion within the Divine Stillness, a Thirst in the Divine Fullness. Cf. Julian of Norwich Revelations, ch. xxxi. " . . . There is a property in God of thirst and longing." The categories of Greek Philosophy are static. The superlatives of D. imply something dynamic, though the static element remains. In much modern philosophy (the Pragmatists and also Bergson) dynamic conceptions are prominent; but the tendency here is for the static to disappear instead of being subsumed as it is in D. The result, or the cause, is that Grace is lost sight of and only Nature is perceived. Really Absolutism and Pragmatism are not mutually exclusive; for Rest and Motion co-exist as transcended elements in God. This is the paradox of perfect Love which is both at rest and in motion, both satisfied and unsatisfied. Cf. Julian of Norwich: "I had Him and I wanted Him" (Revelations, ch. x.).

[305] theoeides..

[306] This clause can only have been written by one for whom Unknowing was a personal experience. The previous clause shows how there is a negative element even in the Method of Affirmation. Sense-perception must first give way to spiritual intuition, just as this must finally give way to Unknowing. (Cf. St. John of the Cross's Dark Night, on three kinds of night.) All progress is a transcendence and so, in a sense, a Via Negativa. Cf. St. Aug., *Transcende mundum et sape animum, transcende animum et sape Deum.*

[307] This shows that the Via Negativa starts from something positive. It is a transcendence, not a mere negation.

[308] Prov. iv. 6, 8.

[309] *ho emos Eros estaurotai*>. Ignatius Ep. ad Rom. § 6. But possibly St. Ignatius means: "My earthly affections are crucified." St. Ignatius wrote just before being martyred, at the beginning of the

second century. This reference would alone be sufficient to make the authenticity of the Dionysian writings improbable. [It is perhaps impossible to determine whether Ignatius meant by the words "my Love is crucified" to refer to Jesus or to himself. The latter is supported by Zahn and by Lightfoot, the former by Origen, Prologue to Commentary on Canticles. "Nec pato quod culpari possit, si quis Deum, sicut Joannis, charitatur, ita ipse amorem nominat. Denejire memini, aliquem sanctorum dixisse Ignatium nomine de Christo: Mens autem amor crucifixus est: nec reprehendi eum per hoc dignum judico." Much further evidence is given in Jacobson's Apostolic Fathers (p. 377). Jacobson himself supports it, observing that the Greek commemoration of Ignatius takes the words in this sense. Whether Dionysius followed Origen or not, his exposition is very interesting and is quite possibly the true. See also the translator's note on eros. Ed.]

[310] εν ταις προεισαγωγαις τον λογιον. Apparently this was a title of the books ascribed to Solomon. The present reference is Wisdom viii. 2.

[311] τοις θειοις μαλλον αναθειναι τον οντοσ εροτα.

[312] Earthly desire is below static conditions, the Divine Desire is above them.

[313] i. e. The word eros is sometimes used concerning God to stimulate our minds by its unexpectedness and so to make us penetrate beyond the word to the mystery hinted at by it. On the other hand agape or anapesis is sometimes used concerning human relationships to prevent any degrading associations from entering in.

[314] 2 Sam. i. 26.

[315] kai esti touto dunameos henopoious kai sundetikes kai diapherontos sunkratikes.

[316] This finely suggests that the "Selfhood" of God is selfless. Vide Intr., p. 9. Note also the combination of rest and motion alluded to

here.

[317] Yearning is a movement in the soul; the Object of Yearning causes such movement in the soul.

[318] Cf. St. Thomas Aquinas: Deus movet sicut desideratum a Se Ipso.

Cf. Spenser: "He loved Himself because Himself was fair." CE Plato's Doctrine of eros. This Yearning is eternally fulfilled in the Trinity.

Cf. Dante: "O somma luce che sofa in Te sidi / sola T' intendi e da Te intelletta / ed intendente Te ami ed arridi." It is struggling towards actualization in this world.

[319] i. e. The social instinct in men and animals, and the impulse of mutual attraction in the inanimate world.

[320] The manifold yearnings of the spirit for Truth, Beauty, Spiritual Love, etc.

[321] i.e. Of the two classes just alluded to the second is the higher; and of those yearnings which belong to this class the most transcendent are the highest. Religion is higher than secular life, and the highest element in Religion is other-worldly. The received text reads-- "The Divine Yearnings in the very core," etc., hoi autonotoi kai theioi ton ontos ekei kalos eroton. I have ventured to amend eroton to erotes. If the MS. from which the received text is derived belonged to a family having seventeen or eighteen letters to a line then this word would probably come at the end of a line (since there are 260 letters to the end of it, from the beginning of the section), and would have the on- of ontos just above it and the -on- of autonotoi just above that, and eroton at the end of the line next but one above that. This would make the corruption of erotes into eroton very natural.

[322] "That which is not" = formless matter. Plotinus (Enn. i. 8. 3) defines the Non-Existent as the world of sense-perception. It is, as it were, the stuff of which all things perceived by the senses are made.

This stuff cannot exist without some kind of "form," and therefore, if entirely bereft of all "form," would simply disappear into nothingness. Thus, apart from that element of "form" which it derives from the Good, it is sheer Non-Entity. Each individual thing consists of "matter" and "form"--i. e. of this indeterminate "stuff" and of the particular qualities belonging to that thing. Remove those qualities and the thing is destroyed: e.g. remove the colours, shape, etc., of a tree, and the tree becomes nonexistent. It crumbles into dust, and thus the "stuff" takes on a new form. If, as M. Le Bon maintains, material particles sometimes lose their material qualities and are changed into energy, in such a case the "stuff" takes on yet another kind of form. The individual thing, in every case, becomes non-existent when it loses its "form," or the sum total of its individual qualities, but the "stuff" persists because it at once assumes another "form." Hence this "stuff," being non-existent per se, draws its existence from the Good Which is the Source of all "form." And thus the existence of this non-existent stuff is ultimately contained in the Good. D. tries to prove that evil is non-existent by showing that there is nothing that can have produced it. Good cannot have produced it because a thing cannot produce its own opposite; evil cannot have produced itself because evil is always destructive and never productive. All things that exist are produced by the Good or the desire for the Good-which comes to the same thing.

[323] The "matter" or stuff of which the universe is made, exists ultimately in the Good, but evil does not. All force exists ultimately in the Good, but the warping of it, or the lawlessness of it (which is the evil of it), does not exist in the Good. Force, or energy, as such is a relative embodiment of the Absolute: evil as such is a contradiction of the Absolute.

[324] i. e. There is an element of good in evil things enabling them to

cohere and so to exist. In this passage "Non-Existent" is used in three senses: (1) "Matter," or force, cannot exist without some form (which is its complement) and therefore is technically called non-existent. (2) Evil cannot exist at all on the ultimate plane of Being, nor in this world without an admixture of good (which is its contrary) and therefore is in an absolute sense non-existent. (3) The Good is beyond all existence and therefore is by transcendence Non-Existent.

[325] The Good is beyond this world and beyond the stuff, or force, of which this world is made. Evil, on the other hand, is below this world and the stuff composing it. Get rid of the limitations in this world (sc. the difference between one quality and another) and you have an energy or force possessing all the particular qualities of things fused in one. Get rid of the limitations inherent in this (i. e. intensify it to infinity) and you have the Good. On the other hand, destroy some particular object (e.g. a tree), and that object, being now actually non-existent, has still a potential existence in the world-stuff.

Destroy that potential existence and you have absolute non-existence, which is Evil. Thus the three grades may be tabulated as follows: (i) Transcendent Non-Existence (= the Good). (ii) Actual Non-Existence (=the world stuff, force or energy, of which material particles are a form. Modern science teaches that atoms have no actual existence. Thus the atomic theory has worked round to something very much like D's theory of the non-existent world stuff). (iii) Absolute Non-Existence (= Evil). The three grades might be expressed by a numerical symbol as follows: If finite numbers represent the various forms of existence, the Infinity (which contradicts the laws of finite numbers) = the Good: Unity (which is a mere abstraction and cannot exist apart from multiplicity since every finite unit is divisible into parts) = the world stuff: Zero (which annihilates all finite numbers that are

multiplied by it) = Evil.

[326] The argument in the rest of the section is as follows: Evil exists, for there is a radical difference between virtue and vice. Evil is, in fact, not merely negative, but positive: not merely destructive, but also productive. And hence it is necessary to the perfection of the world. To which D. replies in the next section that evil does not exist qua evil, nor is it positive or productive qua evil. It exists and is positive and productive solely through an admixture of the Good. (We might illustrate this by the fact that Zero, multiplied by Infinity, produces finite number.)

[327] D. is no pantheist. According to Pantheism God is equally present in all things. Thus Pantheism is a debased form of the Immanence doctrine, as Calvinism is a debased form of the Transcendence doctrine. In the one case we get Immanence without Transcendence: in the other Transcendence without Immanence. D. holds a Transcendent Immanence (cf. Bradley, Appearance and Reality, rebutting charge of Pantheism).

[328] e. g. The cruelty of Nature seems to show Intelligence; and Intelligence per se is a good thing.

[329] All evil things contain the seed of their own decay, and so tend to non-existence. The arrogance and cruelty of the Germans has been their weakness, as discipline and self-sacrifice has been their strength.

[330] God exists without Essence, as an object can exist without this particular quality or that.

[331] D. is thinking especially of carnal sin. Such sin is a depraved form of that which, in its true purity, is a mystery, symbolizing the Unitive Life.

[332] A diseased body still lives. Death ends the disease.

[333] Exuberant vitality is per se a good thing and the more exuberant

the better, though, like all good things, it is dangerous, and unless properly directed is disastrous.

[334] If good and evil are both existent, they are, to that extent, both of the same kind; which is impossible.

[335] So far D. has been showing that evil is not an ultimate principle, being neither (1) identical with the Good, nor (2) self-subsistent. Now he argues that it is not a necessary element in any created thing: neither in their existence as such, nor in any particular kind of creature.

[336] D. rambles characteristically, but the general argument is plain. All existence is from the Good. Hence, if evil is inherent in the nature of existence, evil is from the Good. Thus D. meets again and proceeds to lay the ghost of a theory which he has already elaborately slain in the previous section.

[337] Having just given a metaphysical argument for the non-existence of evil, D. now gives an argument drawn from the actual nature of the universe and of God's creative activity. This argument is not so satisfactory as the metaphysical one, for, under all the harmony of the world, there is perpetual strife, and the Cross of Christ reveals God as suffering pain. "Christ is in an agony and will be till the end of the world" (Pascal). The metaphysical argument is sound because metaphysics deal with ultimate ideals, and evil is ultimately or ideally non-existent. The argument from actual facts is unsound because evil is actually existent. Much wrong thinking on the subject of evil is due to a confusion of ideal with actual non-existence. D. here seems to fall into this mistake.

[338] D. here uses the name "God" because he is thinking of the Absolute or the Good, not in Its ultimate Nature, but in Its emanating or creative activity, in which the Personal Differentiations of the

Trinity appear. See II. 7.

[339] i. e. Evil does not arise through the passage of the Good from Super-Essence into Essence. It is not in the Good through the Good submitting to the conditions of existence (D. has already shown that evil has no place in the ultimate Super-Essential Nature of the Good).

[340] This is a reductio ad absurdum. D. considers it obvious that God possesses the Good as His Substance and not by participation. The Persons of the Trinity are not products of the Absolute but Emanations or Differentiations of It.

[341] The argument is as follows: No evil is from God. All existence is from God. Therefore no existence is evil.

[342] Having shown that existence as such is not inherently evil, D. now takes various forms of existence and shows that none of them is, as such, inherently evil.

[343] Cf. Old Testament title, "Sons of God," and D. on Deification. Cf. also "I have said, Ye are Gods."

[344] i. e. If totally and essentially by very nature evil with respect to themselves. In so far as they continue to exist they are good with respect to themselves.

[345] Evil is the contrary of the Good. Hence since the Good is by Its very nature productive, evil must be destructive. Hence the devils, if essentially evil, must be essentially destructive. Now they are not essentially self-destructive, for, were they such, they could not exist. Therefore, if essentially evil, they must under all circumstances be destructive of other things.

[346] The essence of (e. g.) an apple-tree is self-identity; its faculty is its latent power of producing leaves, apples, etc.; its activity is the actual production of the leaves, apples, etc.

[347] (1) The devils do not destroy all things (e. g. they do not

annihilate the human soul). Therefore they are not essentially evil. Evil passions are good things misdirected. (2) Often the destruction of a thing is beneficial (e. g. the falling of the faded leaf). In fact, nothing could be destroyed if it had not grown feeble and so become worthy to be destroyed. (D. here, in his zeal to explain evil away, countenances the base doctrine that might is right. What is wrong with the whole system of the universe is that its underlying law is the survival of the fittest. The enlightened conscience of humanity rebels against this law.)

[348] The weakness is an imperfect good, and therefore the process of destruction which co-operates with the weakness is an imperfect good.

[349] The Good is permanent. Hence its contrary must be unstable.

[350] Evil is essentially a negative and self-contradictory thing. Its very permanence would be opposed to its own nature and would be due to an element of the Good within it.

[351] There is a timeless ground in all personalities, and this ground is good. Eckhart and Tauler say, that even the souls in hell possess eternally the divine root of their true being. Ruysbroeck says, this divine root does not of itself make us blessed, but merely makes us exist.

[352] i. e. That which is imperfect in them is capable of being made perfect.

[353] The sum total of natural laws comes from the ultimate unity of Nature, which comes from the Good. Thus the sum total of natural laws is not, as such, opposed to the ultimate unity of Nature, and therefore is not as such opposed to the Good. It is not essentially evil.

[354] Cf. Section 30.

[355] The argument of the whole passage is that evil is not inherent in the essential nature of things as a whole or of any particular thing.

It arises in particular things (accidentally, as it were) through their failure to fulfil their true nature. But what of this accident? Is it inherent? Perhaps we might answer, "Not inherent because capable of being eliminated."

[356] Matter, it is argued, is evil because the discordant motion of the soul springs from matter. But, replies D., matter is necessary for certain kinds of existence. Hence it follows that evil is necessary. But this is impossible.

[357] D. is here alluding to the mystical doctrine of the timeless self--the ultimate root of goodness in each individual which remains unchanged by the failures and sins of the temporal self.

[358] D. is arguing with those who hold that evil is in some sense necessary to the existence of the world, and therefore has a permanent place in it. Sin is, they hold, a necessary self-realization of human souls which are in their ultimate essence sinless. D. replies that, if this is so, we cannot explain how goodness can ever be (as it is) a form of self-realization for human souls.

[359] i. e. Evil things are not entirely bad, but are bad only in some partial aspect.

[360] *peri ten aleston tou agathou gnosin.*

[361] Luke xii. 47.

[362] In the previous section D. has maintained that all people ultimately desire the Good. Hence it follows that all sin is due to ignorance; for could we all recognize that which we desire we would follow it. This raises the question: What, then, does Scripture mean by speaking of men who sin knowingly? To this D. replies that wilful sin is wilful ignorance. It is the failure to exercise the knowledge we possess: as when we know a fact which yet is not actually present to our minds. We know (having been taught it) the desirableness of the

Good, but we can shut this desirableness out from our minds and refuse to dwell upon it. In such a case we refuse to exercise our knowledge.

[363] This treatise is lost.

CHAPTER V: Concerning "Existence" and also concerning "Exemplars."

Concerning "Existence" and also concerning "Exemplars."

I. Now must we proceed to the Name of "Being" which is truly applied by the Divine Science to Him that truly Is. But this much we must say, that it is not the purpose of our discourse to reveal the Super-Essential Being in its Super-Essential Nature [364] (for this is unutterable, nor can we know It, or in anywise express It, and It is beyond even the Unity [365]), but only to celebrate the Emanation of the Absolute Divine Essence into the universe of things. For the Name of "Good" revealing all the emanations of the universal Cause, extends both to the things which are, and to the things which are not, and is beyond both categories. [366] And the title of "Existent" extends to all existent things and is beyond them. And the title "Life" extends to all living things and is beyond them. And the title of "Wisdom" extends to the whole realm of Intuition, Reason, and Sense-Perception, and is beyond them a11. [367]

2. These Names which reveal the Providence of God our Discourse would now consider. For we make no promise to express the Absolute Super-Essential Goodness and Being and Life and Wisdom of the Absolute Super-Essential Godhead which (as saith the Scripture) hath Its foundation in a secret place [368] beyond all Goodness, Godhead, Being, Wisdom, and Life; but we are considering the benignant Providence which is revealed to us and are celebrating It as Transcendent Goodness and Cause of all good things, and as Existent as Life and as Wisdom, and as productive Cause of. Existence and of Life and the Giver of Wisdom, in those creatures which partake of Existence, Life, Intelligence, and

Perception. We do not regard the Good as one thing, the Existent as another, and Life or Wisdom as another; nor do we hold that there are many causes and different Godheads producing different effects and subordinate one to another; but we hold that one God is the universal Source of the emanations, [369] and the Possessor of all the Divine Names we declare; and that the first Name expresses the perfect Providence of the one God, and the other names express certain more general or more particular modes of His Providence. [370]

3. Now, some one may say: "How is it, since Existence transcends Life, and Life transcends Wisdom, that living things are higher than things which merely exist, and sentient things than those which merely live, and reasoning things than those which merely feel, and intelligences than those which have only reason? [371] Why do the creatures rise in this order to the Presence of God and to a closer relationship with Him? You would have expected those which participate in God's greater gifts to be the higher, and to surpass the rest." Now if intelligent beings were defined as having no Existence or Life, the argument would be sound; but since the divine Intelligences do exist in a manner surpassing other existences, and live in a manner surpassing other living things, and understand and know in a manner beyond perception and reason, and in a manner beyond all existent things participate in the Beautiful and Good, they have a nearer place to the Good in that they especially participate therein, and have from It received both more and greater gifts, even as creatures possessed of Reason are exalted, by the superiority of Reason, above those which have but Perception, and these are exalted through having Perception and others through having Life. And the truth, I think, is that the more anything participates in the One infinitely-bountiful God the more is it brought near to Him and made diviner than the rest. [372]

4. Having now dealt with this matter, let us consider the Good as that which really Is and gives their being to all things that exist. The Existent God is, by the nature of His power, super-essentially above all existence; He is the substantial Cause and Creator of Being, Existence, Substance and Nature, the Beginning and the Measuring Principle of ages; the Reality underlying time and the Eternity underlying existences; the time in which created things pass, [373] the Existence of those that have any kind of existence, the Life-Process of those which in any way pass through that process. From Him that Is come Eternity, Essence, Being, Time, Life-Process; and that which passes through such Process, the things which inhere in existent things [374] and those which under any power whatever possess an independent subsistence. For God is not Existent in any ordinary sense, but in a simple and undefinable manner embracing and anticipating all existence in Himself. Hence He is called "King of the Ages," because in Him and around Him all Being is and subsists, and He neither was, nor will be, nor hath entered the life-process, nor is doing so, nor ever will, or rather He doth not even exist, but is the Essence of existence in things that exist; and not only the things that exist but also their very existence comes from Him that Is before the ages. For He Himself is the Eternity of the ages and subsists before the ages.

5. Let us, then, repeat that all things and all ages derive their existence from the Pre-Existent. All Eternity and Time are from Him, and He who is Pre-Existent is the Beginning and the Cause of all Eternity and Time and of anything that hath any kind of being. All things participate in Him, nor doth He depart from anything that exists; He is before all things, and all things have their maintenance in Him; and, in short, if anything exists under any form whatever, tis in the Pre-Existent that it exists and is perceived and preserves its

being. Antecedent [375] to all its other participated gifts is that of Being. Very Being is above Very Life, Very Wisdom, Very Divine Similarity and all the other universal Qualities, wherein all creatures that participate must participate first of all in Being Itself; or rather, all those mere Universals wherein the creatures participate do themselves participate in very Being Itself. And there is no existent thing whose essence and eternal nature is not very Being. [376] Hence God receives His Name from the most primary of His gifts when, as is meet, He is called in a special manner above all things, "He which Is." For, possessing in a transcendent manner Pre-Existence and Pre-Eminence, He caused beforehand all Existence (I mean Very Being) and in that Very Being caused all the particular modes of existence. For all the principles of existent things derive from their participation in Being the fact that they are existent and that they are principles and that the former quality precedes the latter. And if it like thee to say that Very Life is the Universal Principle of living things as such, and Very Similarity of similar things as such, and Very Unity of unified things as such, and Very Order of orderly things as such, and if it like thee to give the name of Universals to the Principles of all other things which (by participating in this quality or in that or in both or in many) are this, that, both or many thou wilt find that the first Quality in which they participate is Existence, and that their existence is the basis, (1) of their permanence, and (2) of their being the principles of this or that; and also that only through their participation in Existence do they exist and enable things to participate in them. And if these Universals exist by participating in Existence, far more is this true of the things which participate in them.

6. Thus the first gift which the Absolute and Transcendent Goodness

bestows is that of mere Existence, and so It derives its first title from the chiefest of the participations in Its Being. From It and in It are very Being and the Principles of the world, and the world which springs from them and all things that in any way continue in existence. This attribute belongs to It in an incomprehensible and concentrated oneness. For all number pre-exists indivisibly in the number One, and this number contains all things in itself under the form of unity. All number exists as unity in number One, and only when it goes forth from this number is it differenced and multiplied. [377] All the radii of a circle are concentrated into a single unity in the centre, and this point contains all the straight lines brought together within itself and unified to one another, and to the one starting-point from which they began. Even so are they a perfect unity in the centre itself, and, departing a little therefrom they are differenced a little, and departing further are differenced further, and, in fact, the nearer they are to the centre, so much the more are they united to it and to one another, and the more they are separated from it the more they are separated from one another. [378]

7. Moreover, in the Universal Nature of the world all the individual Laws of Nature are united in one Unity without confusion; and in the soul the individual faculties which govern different parts of the body are united in one. And hence it is not strange that, when we mount from obscure images to the Universal Cause, we should with supernatural eyes behold all things (even those things which are mutually contrary) existing as a single Unity in the Universal Cause. For It is the beginning of all things, whence are derived Very Being, and all things that have any being, all Beginning and End, all Life, Immortality, Wisdom, Order, Harmony, Power, Preservation, Grounding, Distribution, Intelligence, Reason, Perception, Quality, Rest, Motion, Unity, Fusion,

Attraction, Cohesion, Differentiation, Definition, and all other Attributes which, by their mere existence, qualify all existent things.

8. And from the same Universal Cause come those godlike and angelical Beings, which possess Intelligence and are apprehended by Intelligence; and from It come our souls and the natural laws of the whole universe, and all the qualities which we speak of as existing in other objects or as existing merely in our thoughts. Yea, from It come the all-holy and most reverent Powers, which possess a real existence [379] and are grounded, as it were, in the fore-court of the Super-Essential Trinity, possessing from It and in It their existence and the godlike nature thereof; and, after them, those which are inferior to them, possessing their inferior existence from the same Source; and the lowest, possessing from It their lowest existence (i. e. lowest compared with the other angels, though compared with us it is above our world). And human souls and all other creatures possess by the same tenure their existence, and their blessedness, and exist and are blessed only because they possess their existence and their blessedness from the Pre-existent, and exist and are blessed in Him, and begin from Him and are maintained in Him and attain in Him their Final Goal. And the highest measure of existence He bestows upon the more exalted Beings, which the Scripture calls eternal; [380] but also the mere existence of the world as a whole is perpetual; and its very existence comes from the Pre-existent. He is not an Attribute of Being, but Being is an Attribute of Him; He is not contained in Being, but Being is contained in Him; He doth not possess Being, but Being possesses Him; He is the Eternity, the Beginning, and the Measure of Existence, being anterior to Essence and essential Existence and Eternity, because He is the Creative Beginning, Middle, and End of all things. And hence the truly Pre-existent receives from the Holy Scripture manifold attributions

drawn from every kind of existence; and states of being and processes (whether past, present, or future) are properly attributed to Him; for all these attributions, if their divine meaning be perceived, signify that He hath a Super-Essential Existence fulfilling all our categories, and is the Cause producing every mode of existence. For He is not This without being That; nor doth He possess this mode of being without that. On the contrary He is all things as being the Cause of them all, and as holding together and anticipating in Himself all the beginnings and all the fulfilments of all things; and He is above them all in that He, anterior to their existence, super-essentially transcends them all. Hence all attributes may be affirmed at once of Him, and yet He is No Thing. [381] He possesses all shape and form, and yet is formless and shapeless, containing beforehand incomprehensibly and transcendently the beginning, middle, and end of all things, and shedding upon them a pure radiance of that one and undifferenced causality whence all their fairness comes. [382] For if our sun, while still remaining one luminary and shedding one unbroken light, acts on the essences and qualities of the things which we perceive, many and various though they be, renewing, nourishing, guarding, and perfecting them; differencing them, unifying them, warming them and making them fruitful, causing them to grow, to change, to take root and to burst forth; quickening them and giving them life, so that each one possesses in its own way a share in the same single sun--if the single sun contains beforehand in itself under the form of an unity the causes of all the things that participate in it; much more doth this truth hold good with the Cause which produced the sun and all things; and all the Exemplars [383] of existent things must pre-exist in It under the form of one Super-Essential Unity. [384] For It produces Essences only by an outgoing from Essence. And we give the name of "Exemplars" to those

laces which, preexistent in God [385] as an Unity, produce the essences of things: laws which are called in Divine Science "Preordinations" or Divine and beneficent Volitions, laws which ordain things and create them, laws whereby the Super-Essential preordained and brought into being the whole universe.

9. And whereas the philosopher Clement [386] maintains that the title "Exemplar" may, in a sense, be applied to the more important types in the visible world, he employs not the terms of his discourse in their proper, perfect and simple meaning. [387] But even if we grant the truth of his contention, we must remember the Scripture which saith: "I did not show these things unto thee that thou mightest follow after them," but that through such knowledge of these as is suited to our faculties we may be led up (so far as is possible) to the Universal Cause. We must then attribute unto It all things in one All-Transcendent Unity, inasmuch as, starting from Being, and setting in motion the creative Emanation and Goodness, and penetrating all things, and filling all things with Being from Itself, and rejoicing in all things, It anticipates all things in Itself, in one exceeding simplicity rejecting all reduplication; and It embraces all things alike in the Transcendent Unity of Its infinitude, and is indivisibly shared by all (even as a sound, while remaining one and the same, is shared as one by several pairs of ears).

10. Thus the Pre-existent is the Beginning and the End of all things: the Beginning as their Cause, the End as their Final Purpose. He bounds all things. and yet is their boundless Infinitude, in a manner that transcends all the opposition between the Finite and the Infinite. [388] For, as hath been often said, He contains beforehand and did create all things in One Act, being present unto all and everywhere, both in the particular individual and in the Universal Whole, and going

out unto all things while yet remaining in Himself. He is both at rest and in motion, [389] and yet is in neither state, nor hath He beginning, middle, or end; He neither inheres in any individual thing, nor is He any individual thing. [390] We cannot apply to Him any attribute of eternal things nor of temporal things. He transcends both Time and Eternity, and all things that are in either of them; inasmuch as Very Eternity [391] and the world with its standard of measurement and the things which are measured by those standards have their being through Him and from Him. But concerning these matters let that suffice which hath been spoken more properly elsewhere.

[364] The ultimate Godhead is reached only by the Negative Path, and known only by Unknowing. The Affirmative Path of philosophical knowledge leads only to the differentiated manifestations of the Godhead: e.g. the Trinity, in Its creative and redemptive activities, is known by the Affirmative Method, but behind these activities and the faculty for them lies an ultimate Mystery where the Persons transcend Themselves and are fused (though not confused).

[365] In spiritual Communion, the mind, being joined with God, distinguishes itself from Him as Self from Not-Self, Subject from Object. And this law was fulfilled even in the Human Soul of Christ, Who distinguished Himself from His Father. The Persons of the Trinity, though they lie deeper than this temporal world (being, in Their eternal emanative Desire, the Ground of its existence), were manifested through the Incarnation. Hence the distinction of Father, Son, and Spirit, revealed in the Human Soul of Christ, exists eternally in the Trinity. And those who reach the Unitive State, since they reach it only through the Spirit of Christ and are one spirit with Him, must in a lesser degree reveal the Personal Differentiations of the Trinity in

their lives. But because the eternal Differentiations of the Trinity transcend Themselves in-the Super-Essence, therefore Their manifestations in the Unitive State lead finally to a point beyond Union where all distinctions are transcended. At that point the distinction between Self and Not-Self, Subject and Object, vanishes in the unknowable Mystery of the Divine Darkness. The Self has disappeared and been, in a sense, merged. But in another sense the Self remains. This is the paradox of Personality--that it seeks (and attains) annihilation in the Supra-personal plane, and yet on the relative plane retains its own particular being. This is the paradox of Love. See Intr., p. 28 f., and p.8.

[366] i. e. Extends both to good things and to bad things and is beyond the opposition between good and bad. The Good extends to bad things because evil is a mere distortion of good, and no evil thing could exist but for an element of good holding it together: its existence, qua existence, is good. See ch. iv. The Good is beyond the opposition between good and evil because on the ultimate plane nothing exists outside It. It is beyond relationships. Hence also beyond Existence, Life, and Wisdom, since these (as we know them) imply relationships.

[367] Sense-perception is a direct apprehension of that which we actually touch, see, hear, taste, or smell; Reason or Inference is an indirect apprehension of that which we do not actually touch, see, etc. Intuition is a direct apprehension of that which (by its very nature) we do not touch, see, etc. Sense perception, Reason, and Intuition are refractions from the perfect Light of Divine Wisdom; but the Divine Wisdom is beyond them because God apprehends all things, not as existent outside Himself, but as existent in Himself, under the form of a single Unity which is identical with His own Being. The Godhead is a Single Desire wherein all the souls eternally exist as fused and

inseparable elements.

[368] See Ps. xvii. 22.

[369] i. e. Is the Source of Goodness, existence, life, wisdom, etc.

[370] The title "Good" applies to all God's providential activity, for everything that He makes is good. And even evil is good depraved; and exists as good in the Good (see p. 132, n. i). Or, rather, evil possesses not an existence but a non-existence in the Good. It is (according to D.) a kind of non-existent good. Hence the title "Existent" is not quite so general as the title "Good." "Living" is a less general title still (since a stone, for instance, has no life), and "Wise" is yet less general (since a plant is not wise). Thus we get the following table of emanating activity: (1) Good (including and transcending existent and non-existent things, viz. "good," and "evil"). (2) Existent (existent things, viz. good). (3) Life (plants, animals, men, angels). (4) Wisdom (men and angels).

[371] Intuition is the faculty of the Intelligences or Angels, by which are meant, of course, angels and spiritual men; Discursive Reason is that of natural men.

[372] The more universal a Title is, the more truly it is applicable to God (see end of Section 2). Thus Existence is more applicable than Life, and Life than Wisdom, as involving in each case less that needs to be discarded. Thus Wisdom implies both a time-process and also a certain finite mode of consciousness, neither of which belong to the eternal and infinite God: Life implies a time-process though not a finite consciousness: Existence implies neither time-process nor finite consciousness. Thus we reach the highest conception of God by a process of abstraction in which we cast aside all particular elements (cf. St. Augustine on the Bonum bonum). This is the philosophical basis of the Via Negativa. But this abstraction is not mere abstraction nor this

negation mere negation. Existence in God subsumes and so includes all that is real in Life; and Life in Him subsumes all that is real in Wisdom. Hence the creatures, as they advance in the scale of creation, draw from Him more and more particular qualities and progress by becoming more concrete and individual instead of more abstract. All the rich variety of creation exists as a simple Unity in God, and the higher a creature stands in the scale, the more does it draw fresh forces from this simple Unity and convert them into its own multiplicity. D. would have understood Evolution very well. This passage exactly fits in with D's. psychological doctrine of the Via Negativa. That which is reached by the spiritual act of Contemplation explains the principles underlying the whole creative process, the growing diversity of the world-process and of human life. In God there is a rich Unity, and we must leave all diversity behind to reach It. Thus we shall have richness without diversity.

[373] Eternity is a totum simul. It may thus be symbolized by a point revolving round a centre at infinite speed. Time would be symbolized by a point revolving round a centre at a finite speed. Thus eternity is time made perfect. Time is thus subsumed in eternity as the incomplete in the complete. Hence time, like existence, life, etc., exists in God as transcended. Hence the temporal-process is a manifestation of Him. This might had to Pantheism, but D. is saved from such a result by his hold on the complementary truth of Transcendence. All the properties, etc., of each thing exist outside that thing as an element in the Transcendent Being of God.

[374] i. e. The qualities of things.

[375] sc. Logically not temporally.

[376] Cf. St. Augustine, "Homini bono tolle hominem, et Deum invenis."

Cf. Section 8.

[377] The number One, being infinitely divisible, contains the potentiality of all numbers.

[378] Cf. Plotinus.

[379] sc. In contradistinction to the Godhead, which (being beyond essence) does not literally exist.

[380] 2 Cor. iv. 18

[381] Cf. Theol. Germ. passim. Hence the soul possessing God is in a state of "having nothing and yet possessing all things." Cf. Dante, *cio che per l'universa si squaderna*, etc.

[382] Cf. Section 5.

[383] i. e. The Platonic ideas of things--their ultimate essences. But see below.

[384] Cf. Blake. "Jerusalem," ad fin.

[385] i. e. If It produces the essences of things, It must first contain Essence. D. here uses the term "God" because he is thinking of the Absolute in Its emanating activity (wherein the Differentiations of the Trinity appear).

[386] This is apparently the Bishop of Rome (c. A.D. 95), writer of the well-known Epistle to the Corinthians, which is the earliest Christian writing outside the New Testament, and is published in Lightfoot's *Apostolic Fathers*. But no such passage as D. alludes to occurs in the Epistle, which is his one extant writing.

[387] Cf. St. Augustine, *Commentary on St. John*, Tr. XXI., § 2: "Ubi demonstrat Filio Pater quod facit nisi in ipso Filio per quem facit? . . . Si quid facit Pater per Filium facit; si per sapientiam suam et virtutem suam facit; non extra illi ostendit quod videat . . . in ipso illi ostendit quod facit. . . (3) Quid videt Pater, vel potius quid videt Filius in Patre . . . et ipse." (The Son beholds all things in Himself, and is Himself in the Father.) All things ultimately and

timelessly exist in the Absolute. It is their Essence (or Super-Essence). Their creation from the Absolute into actual existence is performed by the Differentiated Persons of the Trinity: the Father working by the Spirit through the Son. Thus the Differentiated Persons (to which together is given the Name of God) being the manifested Absolute, contain eternally those fused yet distinct essences of things which exist in the Absolute as a single yet manifold Essence. This Essence they, by their mutual operation, pour forth, so that while ultimately contained in (or, rather identified with) the Absolute, it is in this world of relationships distinct and separate from the Differentiated Persons Which together are God, being in fact, a created manifestation of the Absolute, as God is an Uncreated Manifestation Thereof. This created Essence of the world itself becomes differentiated into the separate creatures (water, earth, plants, animals, etc.), having this tendency because it contains within itself their separate generic forms which seek expression in the various particular things. Wherever we can trace a law or purpose it is due to the presence of a generic form. Thus vapour condenses into water in obedience to the generic form of water, and an oak-tree grows to its full stature in obedience to the generic form of the oak. So too with works of art. A cathedral is built in accordance with a plan or purpose, and this plan is the pre-existent generic form of the building; whereas a fortuitous heap of stones does not (as such) manifest any plan, and therefore has no generic form. D. attributing to Clement (perhaps fictitiously) the view that generic forms can in themselves--i. e. in their created essence--be properly called Exemplars, maintains that this is not strictly accurate. Properly speaking, he says, they are Exemplars only as existent in God, and not as projected out from Him. If, by a licence, we call them Exemplars,

yet we must not let our minds rest in them, but must pass on at once to find their true being in God. This apparent hair-splitting is really of the utmost practical importance. D. is attacking the irreligious attitude in science, philosophy, and life. We must seek for all things (including our own personalities) not in themselves but in God. The great defect of Natural Science in the nineteenth century was its failure to do this. It was, perhaps, the defect of Gnosticism in earlier days, and is the pitfall of Occultism to-day.

[388] i.e. He gives each thing its distinctness while yet containing infinite possibilities of development for it.

[389] He is always yearning yet always satisfied. Cf. St. Augustine, Confessions, ad in. A reproduction of this state has been experienced by some of the Saints. Cf. Julian of Norwich: "I had Him and I wanted Him."

[390] He is the ultimate Reality of all beings, and is not one Being among others.

[391] Very Eternity perhaps corresponds to the aeternitas of St. Thomas and Eternity to his aevum (with which cf. Bergson's *durée*). Eternity is a totum simul without beginning or end, aevum is a totum simul with beginning but no end. It is eternity reached through Time, or Time accelerated to the stillness of infinite motion and so changed into Eternity, as in human souls when finally clothed with perfected immortality. The Absolute, or Godhead, is beyond Very Eternity, because this latter is a medium of differentiated existence (for the differentiated Persons of the Trinity exist in it), whereas the Godhead is undifferentiated and beyond relationships. This world of Time springs out of Very Eternity and is rooted therein, being made by the differentiated Persons.

CHAPTER VI: Concerning "Life."

Concerning "Life."

1. Now must we celebrate Eternal Life as that whence cometh very Life and all life, [392] which also endues every kind of living creature with its appropriate meed of Life. Now the Life of the immortal Angels and their immortality, and the very indestructibility of their perpetual motion, exists and is derived from It and for Its sake. Hence they are called Ever-living and Immortal, and yet again are denied to be immortal, because they are not the source of their own immortality and eternal life, but derive it from the creative Cause which produces and maintains all life. And, as, in thinking of the title "Existent," we said that It is an Eternity of very Being, so do we now say that the Supra-Vital or Divine Life is the Vitalizer and Creator of Life. And all life and vital movement comes from the Life which is beyond all Life and beyond every Principle of all Life. Thence have souls their indestructible quality, and all animals and plants possess their life as a far-off reflection of that Life. When this is taken away, as saith the Scripture, all life fades; [393] and those which have faded, through being unable to participate therein, when they turn to It again revive once more.
2. In the first place It gives to Very Life its vital quality, and to all life and every form thereof It gives the Existence appropriate to each. To the celestial forms of life it gives their immaterial, godlike, and unchangeable immortality and their unswerving and unerring perpetuity of motion; and, in the abundance of its bounty, It overflows even into the life of the devils, for not even diabolic life derives its existence from any other source, but derives from This both its

vital nature and its permanence. And, bestowing upon men such angelic life as their composite nature can receive, in an overflowing wealth of love It turns and calls us from our errors to Itself, and (still Diviner act) It hath promised to change our whole being (I mean our souls and the bodies linked therewith) to perfect Life and Immortality, which seemed to the ancients unnatural, but seems to me and thee and to the Truth a Divine and Supernatural thing: Supernatural, I say, as being above the visible order of nature around us, not as being above the Nature of Divine Life. For unto this Life (since it is the Nature of all forms of life, [394] and especially of those which are more Divine) no form of life is unnatural or supernatural. And therefore fond Simon's captious arguments [395] on this subject must find no entry into the company of God's servants or into thy blessed soul. For, in spite of his reputed wisdom, he forgot that no one of sound mind should set the superficial order of sense-perception against the Invisible Cause of all things. [396] We must tell him that if there is aught "against Nature" tis his language. For naught can be contrary to the Ultimate Cause.

3. From this Source all animals and plants receive their life and warmth. And wherever (under the form of intelligence, reason, sensation, nutrition, growth, or any mode whatsoever) you find life or the Principle of life or the Essence of life, there you find that which lives and imparts life from the Life transcending all life, and indivisibly [397] pre-exists therein as in its Cause. For the Supra-Vital and Primal Life is the Cause of all Life, and produces and fulfils it and individualizes it. And we must draw from all life the attributes we apply to It when we consider how It teems with all living things, and how under manifold forms It is beheld and praised in all Life and lacketh not Life or rather abounds therein, and indeed hath

Very Life, and how it produces life in a Supra-Vital manner and is above all life [398] and therefore is described by whatsoever human terms may express that Life which is ineffable.

[392] The Godhead, though called Eternal Life, is really supra-vital, because life implies differentiations, and the Godhead as such is undifferentiated. This Supra-Vitality passes out through the Differentiated persons of the Trinity into Very Life, whence life is derived to all the creatures.

[393] Ps. civ. 29, 30.

[394] i. e. The ultimate Principle.

[395] Simon denied the Resurrection of the Body. Vide Irenæus, Origen, Hippolytus, Epiphanius.

[396] Physical life has behind it Eternal Life, by which it is in the true sense natural for it to be renewed and transformed.

[397] Since Eternal Life is undifferentiated, all things have in It a common or identical life, as all plants and animals have a common life in the air they breathe.

[398] See p. 144, n. i.

CHAPTER VII: Concerning "Wisdom," "Mind," "Reason," "Truth," "Faith."

Concerning "Wisdom," "Mind," "Reason," "Truth," "Faith."

1. Now, if it like thee, let us consider the Good and Eternal Life as Wise and as Very Wisdom, or rather as the Fount of all wisdom and as Transcending all wisdom and understanding. Not only is God so overflowing with wisdom that there is no limit to His understanding, but He even transcends all Reason, Intelligence, and Wisdom. [399] And this is supernaturally perceived by the truly divine man (who hath been as a luminary both to us and to our teacher) when he says: "The foolishness of God is wiser than men." [400] And these words are true not only because all human thought is a kind of error when compared with the immovable permanence of the perfect thoughts which belong to God, but also because it is customary for writers on Divinity to apply negative terms to God in a sense contrary to the usual one. For instance, the Scripture calls the Light that shines on all things "Terrible," and Him that hath many Titles and many Names "Ineffable" and "Nameless," and Him that is present to all things and to be discovered from them all "Incomprehensible" and "Unsearchable." In the same manner, it is thought, the divine Apostle, on the present occasion, when he speaks of God's "foolishness," is using in a higher sense the apparent strangeness and absurdity implied in the word, so as to hint at the ineffable Truth which is before all Reason. But, as I have said elsewhere, we misinterpret things above us by our own conceits and cling to the familiar notions of our senses, and, measuring Divine things by our human standards, we are led astray by the superficial meaning of the Divine and Ineffable Truth. Rather

should we then consider that while the human Intellect hath a faculty of Intelligence, whereby it perceives intellectual truths, yet the act whereby the Intellect communes with the things that are beyond it transcends its intellectual nature. [401] This transcendent sense, therefore, must be given to our language about God, and not our human sense. We must be transported wholly out of ourselves and given unto God. For tis better to belong unto God and not unto ourselves, since thus will the Divine Bounties be bestowed, if we are united to God. [402] Speaking, then, in a transcendent manner of this "Foolish Wisdom," [403] which hath neither Reason nor Intelligence, let us say that It is the Cause of all Intelligence and Reason, and of all Wisdom and Understanding, and that all counsel belongs unto It, and from It comes all Knowledge and Understanding, and in It "are hid all the treasures of wisdom and knowledge." [404] For it naturally follows from what hath already been said that the All-wise (and more than Wise) Cause is the Fount of Very Wisdom and of created wisdom both as a whole and in each individual instance. [405]

2. From It the intelligible and intelligent powers of the Angelic Minds derive their blessed simple perceptions, not collecting their knowledge of God in partial fragments or from partial activities of Sensation or of discursive Reason, nor yet being circumscribed by aught that is akin to these, [406] but rather, being free from all taint of matter and multiplicity, they perceive the spiritual truths of Divine things in a single immaterial and spiritual intuition. And their intuitive faculty and activity shines in its unalloyed and undefiled purity and possesses its Divine intuitions all together in an indivisible and immaterial manner, being by that Godlike unification made similar (as far as may be) to the Supra-Sapient Mind and Reason of God through the working of the Divine Wisdom. [407] And human souls possess Reason, whereby they

turn with a discursive motion round about the Truth of things, and, through the partial and manifold activities of their complex nature, are inferior to the Unified Intelligences: yet they too, through the concentration of their many faculties, are vouchsafed (so far as their nature allows) intuitions like unto those of the Angels. Nay, even our sense-perceptions themselves may be rightly described as an echo of that Wisdom; even diabolic intelligence, qua intelligence, belongs thereto, though in so far as it is a distraught intelligence, not knowing how to obtain its true desire, nor wishing to obtain it, we must call it rather a declension from Wisdom. Now we have already said that the Divine Wisdom is the Beginning, the Cause, the Fount, the Perfecting Power, the Protector and the Goal of Very Wisdom and all created Wisdom, and of all Mind, Reason, and Sense-Perception. We must now ask in what sense God, [408] Who is Supra-Sapient, can be spoken of as Wisdom, Mind, Reason, and Knowledge? How can He have an intellectual intuition of intelligible things when He possesses no intellectual activities? Or how can He know the things perceived by sense when His existence transcends all sense-perception? And yet the Scripture says that He knoweth all things and that nothing escapes the Divine Knowledge. But, as I have often said, we must interpret Divine Things in a manner suitable to their nature. For the lack of Mind and Sensation must be predicated of God by excess and not by defect. [409] And in the same way we attribute lack of Reason to Him that is above Reason, and Imperfectibility to Him that is above and before Perfection; and Intangible and Invisible Darkness we attribute to that Light which is Unapproachable because It so far exceeds the visible light. And thus the Mind of God embraces all things in an utterly transcendent knowledge and, in Its causal relation to all things, anticipates within Itself the knowledge of them all--knowing and

creating angels before the angels were, and knowing all other things inwardly and (if I may so put it) from the very beginning, and thus bringing them into existence. And methinks this is taught by the Scripture when it saith "Who knoweth all things before their birth."

[410] For the Mind of God gains not Its knowledge of things from those things; but of Itself and in Itself It possesses, and hath conceived beforehand in a causal manner, the cognizance and the knowledge and the being of them all. And It doth not perceive each class speciically,

[411] but in one embracing casuality It knows and maintains all things--even as Light possesses beforehand in itself a causal knowledge of the darkness, not knowing the darkness in any other way than from the Light. [412] Thus the Divine Wisdom in knowing Itself will know all things: will in that very Oneness know and produce material things

immaterially, divisible things indivisibly, manifold things under the form of Unity. For if God, in the act of causation, imparts Existence to all things, in the same single act of causation He will support all these His creatures the which are derived from Him and have in Him their forebeing, and He will not gain His knowledge of things from the things themselves, but He will bestow upon each kind the knowledge of

itself and the knowledge of the others. And hence God doth not possess a private knowledge of Himself and as distinct therefrom a knowledge embracing all the creatures in common; for the Universal Cause, in knowing Itself, can scarcely help knowing the things that proceed from it and whereof It is the Cause. With this knowledge, then, God knoweth all things, not through a mere understanding of the things but through

an understanding of Himself. For the angels, too, are said by the Scripture to know the things upon earth not through a sense-perception of them (though they are such as may be perceived this way), but through a faculty and nature inherent in a Godlike Intelligence.

Scripture to know the things upon earth not through a sense-perception of them (though they are such as may be perceived this way), but through a faculty and nature inherent in a Godlike Intelligence.

3. Furthermore, we must ask how it is that we know God when He cannot be perceived by the mind or the senses and is not a particular Being. Perhaps tis true to say that we know not God by His Nature (for this is unknowable and beyond the reach of all Reason. and Intuition), yet by means of that ordering of all things which (being as it were projected out of Him) possesses certain images and semblances of His Divine Exemplars, we mount upwards (so far as our feet can tread that ordered path), advancing through the Negation and Transcendence of all things and through a conception of an Universal Cause, towards That Which is beyond all things. [413] Hence God is known in all things and apart from all things; and God is known through Knowledge and through Unknowing, and on the one hand He is reached by Intuition, Reason, Understanding, Apprehension, Perception, Conjecture, Appearance, Name, etc; and yet, on the other hand, He cannot be grasped by Intuition, Language, or Name, and He is not anything in the world nor is He known in anything. He is All Things in all things and Nothing in any, [414] and is known from all things unto all men, and is not known from any unto any man. Tis meet that we employ such terms concerning God, and we get from all things (in proportion to their quality) notions of Him Who is their Creator. And yet on the other hand, the Divinest Knowledge of God, the which is received through Unknowing, is obtained in that communion which transcends the mind, when the mind, turning away from all things and then leaving even itself behind, is united to the Dazzling Rays, being from them and in them, illumined by the unsearchable depth of Wisdom. [415] Nevertheless, as I said, we must draw this knowledge of Wisdom from all things; for wisdom it is (as saith the Scripture) [416] that hath made all things and ever ordereth them all, and is the Cause of the indissoluble harmony and order of all things, perpetually fitting the end of one part unto the beginning of

the second, and thus producing the one fair agreement and concord of the whole.

4. And God is called "Word" or "Reason" [417] by the Holy Scriptures, not only because He is the Bestower of Reason and Mind and Wisdom, but also because He contains beforehand in His own Unity the causes of all things, and because He penetrates all things, "reaching" (as the Scripture saith) "unto the end of all things," [418] and more especially because the Divine Reason is more simple than all simplicity, and, in the transcendence of Its Super-Essential Being, is independent of all things. [419] This Reason is the simple and verily existent Truth: that pure and infallible Omniscience round which divinely inspired Faith revolves. It is the permanent Ground of the faithful, which builds them in the Truth and builds the Truth in them by an unwavering firmness, through which they possess a simple knowledge of the Truth of those things which they believe [420] For if Knowledge unites the knower and the objects of knowledge, and if ignorance is always a cause of change and of self-discrepancy in the ignorant, naught (as saith Holy Scripture) shall separate him that believeth in the Truth from the Foundation of true faith on which he shall possess the permanence of immovable and unchanging firmness. For surely knoweth he who is united to the Truth that it is well with him, even though the multitude reprove him as one out of his mind. Naturally they perceive not that he is but come out of an erring mind unto the Truth through right faith. But he verily knows that instead of being, as they say, distraught, he hath been relieved from the unstable ever-changing movements which tossed him hither and thither in the mazes of error, and hath been set at liberty through the simple immutable and unchanging Truth. Thus is it that the Teachers from whom we have learnt our knowledge of Divine Wisdom die daily for the Truth,

bearing their natural witness in every word and deed to the single Knowledge of the Truth which Christians possess: yea, showing that It is more simple and divine than all other kinds of knowledge, or rather that it is the only true, one, simple Knowledge of God.

[399] All wisdom or knowledge implies the distinction between thinker and object of thought. The undifferentiated Godhead is beyond this distinction; but (in a sense) it exists in the Persons of the Trinity and between them and the world, and hence from Them comes Absolute Wisdom, though the Godhead transcends it.

[400] 1 Cor. i. 25.

[401] This is the Doctrine of Unknowing. Cf. "Through love, through hope, and faith's transcendent dower, We feel that we are mightier than we know."

[402] The term "God" is rightly used here because the manifested Absolute is meant.

[403] 1 Cor. i. 25.

[404] Col. ii. 3.

[405] (1) Very Wisdom = Wisdom in the abstract. (2) Wisdom as a whole = Wisdom embodied in the universe as a whole. (3) Wisdom in each individual instance = Wisdom as shown in the structure of some particular plant or animal, or part of a plant or animal. (1) Is an Emanation; (2) and (3) are created.

[406] i. e. They are not limited by the material world, which, with its laws, is known through sensation and discursive reason.

[407] This speculation is, no doubt, based on experience. A concentration of the spiritual faculties in the act of contemplation produces that unity of the soul of which all mystics often speak. The angels are conceived of as being always in such a state of

contemplation.

[408] God is the Manifested Absolute. Hence qua Absolute He is supra-sapient, qua Manifested He is wise (cf. ch. i, § 1). The Persons of the Trinity possess one common Godhead (= the Absolute) which is supra-sapient, and in that Godhead. They are One. Yet they are known by us only in their differentiation wherein Supra-Sapience is revealed as Wisdom.

[409] Via Negativa. It is not mere negation.

[410] Susannah 42.

[411] "According to its idea," "according to the law of its species."

We perceive that this is a rose and that is a horse because we have two separate notions in our minds--one the notion of a rose and the other that of a horse. But in the Divine Knowledge there is only one Notion wherein such specific notions are elements, as the activities of several nerves are elements in one indivisible sensation of taste, or touch, or smell.

[412] i. e. Suppose the light were conscious, and knew its own nature, it would know that if it withheld its brightness there would be darkness (for the very nature of light is that it dispels, or at least prevents, darkness). On the other hand, the light could not directly know the darkness, because darkness cannot exist where there is light.

The simile is capable of being applied to illustrate God's knowledge of the world, because the world is imperfect. It applies more fundamentally to God's knowledge of evil, and is so employed by St. Thomas Aquinas, who quotes this passage and says (Summa, xiv. 10) that, since evil is the lack of good, God knows evil things in the act by which He knows good things, as we know darkness through knowing light.

[413] God, being the Manifested Absolute, exists on two planes at once: that of Undifferentiation and that of Differentiation. On this second

plane He moves out into creative activity. And thus He is both knowable and unknowable: knowable in so far as He passes outwards into such activity, unknowable in that His Being passes inwards into Undifferentiation. Thus He is known in His acts but not in His ultimate Nature.

[414] He is the Super-Essence of all things, wherein all things possess their true being outside of themselves [as our perceptions are outside of ourselves in the things we perceive. (Vide Bergson, *Matière et Mémoire.*)].

[415] This is experience and not mere theory.

[416] Prov. viii.

[417] The reference is, of course, to the opening verses of St. John's Gospel. The present passage shows that by the term "God" D. means not one Differentiation of the Godhead singly (i. e. not God the Father), but all Three Differentiations together; the undivided (though differentiated) Trinity.

[418] Wisdom viii. i

[419] God is called Reason: (1) because He is the Giver of reason; (2) because reason causes unity (e.g. it unifies our thoughts, making them coherent), and God in His creative activity causes unity and in His ultimate Godhead is Unity.

[420] The Divine Omniscience is: (1) the Object of our faith because we trust in it; (2) the Ground of our faith because the development of our faith comes from it. Faith is a faint image of Divine Knowledge, and is gradually perfected by being changed into knowledge.

CHAPTER VIII: Concerning "Power," "Righteousness," "Salvation," "Redemption"; and

Concerning "Power," "Righteousness," "Salvation," "Redemption"; and also concerning "Inequality."

1. Now since the Sacred Writers speak of the Divine Truthfulness and Supra-Sapient Wisdom as Power, and as Righteousness, and call It Salvation and Redemption, let us endeavour to unravel these Divine Names also. Now I do not think that any one nurtured in Holy Scripture can fail to know that the Godhead transcends and exceeds every mode of Power however conceived. For often Scripture attributes the Dominion to the Godhead and thus distinguishes It even from the Celestial Powers.

[421] In what sense, then, do the Sacred Writers speak of It also as Power when It transcends all Power? Or in what sense can we take the title Power when applied to the Godhead?

2. We answer thus: God is Power because in His own Self He contains all power beforehand and exceeds it, and because He is the Cause of all power and produces all things by a power which may not be thwarted nor circumscribed, and because He is the Cause wherefrom Power exists whether in the whole system of the world or in any particular part.

[422] Yea, He is Infinitely Powerful not only in that all Power comes from Him, but also because He is above all power and is Very Power, and possesses that excess of Power which produces in infinite ways an infinite number of other existent powers; and because the infinitude of powers which is continually being multiplied to infinity can never blunt that transcendently infinite [423] activity of His Power whence all power comes; and because of the unutterable, unknowable, inconceivable greatness of His all-transcendent Power which, through

its excess of potency, gives strength to that which is weak and maintains and governs the lowest of its created copies, even as, in those things whose power strikes our senses, very brilliant illuminations can reach to eyes that are dim and as loud sounds can enter ears dull of hearing. (Of course that which is utterly incapable of hearing is not an ear, and that which cannot see at all is not an eye. [424])

3. Thus this distribution of God's Infinite Power permeates all things, and there is nothing in the world utterly bereft of all power. Some power it must have, be it in the form of Intuition, Reason, Perception, Life, or Being. And indeed, if one may so express it, the very fact that power exists [425] is derived from the Super-Essential Power.

4. From this Source come the Godlike Powers of the Angelic Orders; from this Source they immutably possess their being and all the ceaseless and immortal motions of their spiritual life; and their very stability and unfailing desire for the Good they have received from that infinitely good Power which Itself infuses into them this power and this existence, and makes them ceaselessly to desire existence, and gives them the very power to desire that ceaseless power which they possess.

5. The effects of this Inexhaustible Power enter into men and animals and plants and the entire Nature of the Universe, and fill all the unified organizations with a force attracting them to mutual harmony and concord, and drawing separate individuals into being, according to the natural laws and qualities of each, without confusion or merging of their properties. And the laws by which this Universe is ordered It preserves to fulfil their proper functions, .and keeps the immortal lives of the individual angels inviolate; and the luminous stars of heaven It keeps in all their ranks unchanged, and gives unto Eternity

the power to be; and the temporal orbits It differentiates when they begin their circuits and brings together again when they return once more; and It makes the power of fire unquenchable, and the liquid nature of water It makes perpetual; and gives the atmosphere its fluidity, and founds the earth upon the Void and keeps its pregnant travail without ceasing. And It preserves the mutual harmony of the interpenetrating elements distinct and yet inseparable, and knits together the bond uniting soul and body, and stirs the powers by which the plants have nourishment and growth, and governs the faculties whereby each kind of creature maintains its being and makes firm the indissoluble permanence of the world, and bestows Deification [426] itself by giving a faculty for it unto those that are deified. And, in short, there is nothing in the world which is without the Almighty Power of God to support and to surround it. For that which hath no power at all hath no existence, no individuality, and no place whatever in the world.

6. But Elymas [427] the sorcerer raises this objection: "If God is Omnipotent" (quoth he) "what meaneth your Sacred Writer by saying that there are some things He cannot do?" And so he blames Paul the Divine for saying that God cannot deny Himself. [428] Now, having stated his objection, I greatly fear that I shall be laughed at for my folly, in going about to pull down tottering houses built upon the sand by idle children, and in striving to aim my arrow at an inaccessible target when I endeavour to deal with this question of Divinity. [429] But thus I answer him: The denial of the true Self is a declension from Truth. And Truth hath Being; and therefore a declension from the Truth is a declension from Being. Now whereas Truth hath Being and denial of Truth is a declension from Being, God cannot fall from Being. We might say that He is not lacking in Being, that He cannot lack Power, that He

knows not how to lack Knowledge. The wise Elymas, forsooth, did not perceive this; and so is like an unskilled athlete, who (as often happens), thinking his adversary to be weak, through judging by his own estimation, misses him each time and manfully strikes at his shadow, and bravely beating the air with vain blows, fancies he hath gotten him a victory and boasts of his prowess through ignorance of the other's power. [430] But we striving to shoot our guard home to our teacher's mark celebrate the Supra-Potent God as Omnipotent, as Blessed and the only Potentate, as ruling by His might over Eternity, as indwelling every part of the universe, or rather as transcending and anticipating all things in His Super-Essential Power, as the One Who hath bestowed upon all things their capacity to exist, and their existence through the rich outpouring of His transcendent and abundant Power.

7. Again, God is called "Righteousness" because He gives to all things what is right, defining Proportion, Beauty, Order, Arrangement, and all Dispositions of Place and Rank for each, in accordance with that place which is most truly right; and because He causeth each to possess its independent activity. For the Divine Righteousness ordains all things, and sets their bounds and keeps all things unconfused and distinct from one another, and gives to all things that which is suited to each according to the worth which each possesses. [431] And if this is true, then all those who blame the Divine Righteousness stand (unwittingly) self-condemned of flagrant unrighteousness; for they say that immortality should belong to mortal things and perfection to the imperfect, and necessary or mechanical motion to those which possess free spiritual motion, and immutability to those which change, and the power of accomplishment to the weak, and that temporal things should be eternal, and that things which naturally move should be unchangeable, and that pleasures which are but for a season should last for ever;

and, in short, they would interchange the properties of all things. But they should know that the Divine Righteousness is found in this to be true Righteousness, that it gives to all the qualities which befit them, according to the worth of each, and that it preserves the nature of each in its proper order and power. [432]

8. But some one may say: "It is not right to leave holy men unaided to be oppressed by the wicked." We must reply, that if those whom you call holy love the earthly things which are the objects of material ambition, they have utterly fallen from the Desire for God. And I know not how they can be called holy where they do this wrong to the things which are truly Lovely and Divine, wickedly rejecting them for things unworthy of their ambition and their love. But if they long for the things that are real, then they who desire aught should rejoice when the object of their desire is obtained. Now are they not nearer to the angelic virtues when they strive, in their desire for Divine Things, to abandon their affection towards material things, and manfully to train themselves unto this object in their struggles for the Beautiful? Thus, 'tis true to say that it is more in accordance with Divine Righteousness not to lull into its destruction the manliness of the noblest characters through bestowing material goods upon them, nor to leave them without the aid of Divine corrections if any one attempt so to corrupt them. It is true justice to strengthen them in their noble and loyal stability, and to bestow on them the things which befit their high condition. [433]

9. This Divine Righteousness is also called the Salvation or Preservation of the world, because It preserves and keeps the particular being and place of each thing inviolate from the rest, and is the inviolate Cause of all the particular activity in the world. And if any one speaks of Salvation as the saving Power which plucks the

world out of the influence of evil, we will also certainly accept this account of Salvation since Salvation hath so many forms. We shall only ask him to add, that the primary Salvation of the world is that which preserves all things in their proper places without change, conflict, or deterioration, and keeps them all severally without strife or struggle obeying their proper laws, and banishes all inequality and interference from the world, and establishes the due capacities of each so that they fall not into their opposites nor suffer any transferences. [434] Indeed, it would be quite in keeping with the teaching of the Divine Science to say that this Salvation, working in that beneficence which preserves the world, redeems all things (according as each can receive this saving power) so that they fall not from their natural virtues. Hence the Sacred Writers call It Redemption, both because It allows not the things which truly exist [435] "to fall away into nothingness," [436] and also because, should anything stumble into error or disorder and suffer a diminution of the perfection of its proper virtues, It redeems even this thing from the weakness and the loss it suffers: filling up that which it lacks and supporting its feebleness with Fatherly Love; raising it from its evil state, or rather setting it firmly in its right state; completing once more the virtue it had lost, and ordering and arraying its disorder and disarray; making it perfect and releasing it from all its defects. So much for this matter and for the Righteousness whereby the equality or proportion of all things is measured and given its bounds, and all inequality or disproportion (which arises from the loss of proportion in the individual things) is kept far away. For if one considers the inequality shown in the mutual differences of all things in the world, this also is preserved by Righteousness which will not permit a complete mutual confusion and disturbance of all things, but keeps all

things within the several forms naturally belonging to each. [437]

[421] The highest power our minds can conceive is that of the angels. But God has the dominion over them, and hence His power is of a yet higher kind such as we cannot conceive.

[422] Since the ultimate Godhead is undifferentiated God's power is conceived of as an undifferentiated or potential energy.

[423] The inexhaustible multiplication of things in this world, though it should go on for ever, is a series made up of separate units. God's inexhaustible energy is beyond this series because it is one indivisible act. The Undifferentiated transcends infinite divisibility. Cf. IX. 2.

[424] This is meant to meet the objection that if God's power is infinite there should be no decay or death. Things, says D., are sometimes incapable of responding, as a blind eye cannot respond to the light.

[425] i. e. Power in the abstract.

[426] See Intr., p. 43.

[427] The name is introduced to support the fiction of authorship, and an objection, current no doubt in the writer's day (as in every age), is put into the mouth of one who belonged to the same time as St. Paul's Athenian convert.

[428] 2 Tim. ii. 13.

[429] He seems to mean two distinct things: (1) The objection is childish and needs no answering; (2) The whole question is beyond the reach of our understanding.

[430] This unskilled athlete is not very convincing. Presumably D. could not box!

[431] Vide supra on Exemplars.

[432] D. is least satisfactory when he becomes an apologist, and when (like other apologists) he tries to explain away the obvious fact of evil and imperfection. Within certain limits what he says will hold. A rose fulfils its true function by being a rose, and not by trying to be an elephant. But to hold that whatever is, is best, is quietism. The variety of the world is good, but not its imperfections.

[433] True again within certain limits. The Saints are made perfect through suffering. But :what of the innocent child victims of war atrocities?

[434] Salvation is that which, when persons or things are in a right state, keeps them therein; when they are in a wrong state, transfers them thence. The first meaning is positive and essential, the second negative and incidental. The Scriptural view includes both sides, with the emphasis on the first. Protestantism (being in this as in other matters of a negative tendency) ignores the positive side to the great detriment of Religion.

[435] i. e. All good things.

[436] Nothingness includes (1) mere non-entity ; (2) evil. (Perhaps both meanings are intended.) Salvation maintains all good things both in their being and in their excellence. If they fell away towards nothingness the result is first corruption and then destruction.

[437] The word isotes implies that a thing is identical in size, etc.

(1) with other things; (2) with its own true nature. It thus = (1) "equality"; (2) "rightness." D. maintains that all things possess the latter though not the former.

CHAPTER IX: Concerning "Great," "Small," "Same," "Different," "Like," "Unlike,"

Concerning "Great," "Small," "Same," "Different," "Like," "Unlike,"
"Standing," "Motion," "Equality."

1. Now, since Greatness and Smallness are ascribed to the Universal Cause, and Sameness and Difference, and Similarity and Dissimilarity, and Rest and Motion, let us also consider these Titles of the Divine Glory so far as our minds can grasp them. Now Greatness is attributed in the Scriptures unto God, both in the great firmament and also in the thin air whose subtlety reveals the Divine Smallness. [438] And Sameness is ascribed to Him when the Scripture saith, "Thou art the same," and Difference when He is depicted by the same Scriptures as having many forms and qualities. And He is spoken of as Similar to the creatures, in so far as He is the Creator of things similar to Himself and of their similarity; and as Dissimilar from them in so far as there is not His like. And He is spoken of as Standing and Immovable and as Seated for ever, and yet as Moving and going forth into all things. [439] These and many similar Titles are given by the Scriptures unto God.

2. Now God is called Great in His peculiar Greatness which giveth of Itself to all things that are great and is poured upon all Magnitude from outside and stretches far beyond it; embracing all Space, exceeding all Number, penetrating beyond all Infinity [440] both in Its exceeding fullness and creative magnificence, and also in the bounties that well forth from It, inasmuch as these, being shared by all in that lavish outpouring, yet are totally undiminished and possess the same exceeding Fullness, nor are they lessened through their distribution,

but rather overflow the more. This Greatness is Infinite, without Quantity and without Number. [441] And the excess of Greatness reaches to this pitch through the Absolute Transcendent outpouring of the Incomprehensible Grandeur.

3. And Smallness, or Rarity, is ascribed to God's Nature because He is outside all solidity and distance and penetrates all things without let or hindrance. Indeed, Smallness is the elementary Cause of all things; for you will never find any part of the world but participates in that quality of Smallness. This, then, is the sense in which we must apply this quality to God. It is that which penetrates unhindered unto all things and through all things, energizing in them and reaching to the dividing of soul and spirit, and of joints and marrow; and being a Discerner of the desires and the thoughts of the heart, or rather of all things, for there is no creature hid before God. [442] This Smallness is without Quantity or Quality; [443] It is Irrepressible, Infinite, Unlimited, and, while comprehending all things, is Itself Incomprehensible.

4. And Sameness is attributed to God as a super-essentially Eternal and Unchangeable Quality, resting in Itself, always existing in the same condition, present to all things alike, firmly and inviolably fixed on Its own basis in the fair limits of the Super-Essential Sameness; not subject to change, declension, deterioration or variation, but remaining Unalloyed, Immaterial, utterly Simple, Self-Sufficing, Incapable of growth or diminution, and without Birth, not in the sense of being as yet unborn or imperfect, nor in the sense of not having received birth from this source or that, nor yet in the sense of utter nonexistence; but in the sense of being wholly or utterly Birthless and Eternal and Perfect in Itself and always the Same, being self-defined in Its Singleness and Sameness, and causing a similar quality of

Identity to shine forth from Itself upon all things that are capable of participating therein and yoking different things in harmony together.

[444] For It is the boundless Richness and Cause of Identity, and contains beforehand in Itself all opposites under the form of Identity in that one unique Causation which transcends all identity. [445]

5. And Difference is ascribed to God because He is, in His providence, present to all things and becomes all things in all for the preservation of them all, [446] while yet remaining in Himself nor ever going forth from His own proper Identity in that one ceaseless act wherein His life consists; and thus with undeviating power He gives Himself for the Deification of those that turn to Him. [447] And the difference of God's various appearances from each other in the manifold visions of Him must be held to signify something other than that which was outwardly shown. For just as, supposing we were in thought to represent the soul itself in bodily shape, and represent this indivisible substance as surrounded by bodily parts, we should, in such a case, give the surrounding parts a different meaning suited to the indivisible nature of the soul, and should interpret the head to mean the Intellect, the neck Opinion (as being betwixt reason and irrationality), the breast to mean Passion, the belly Animal Desire, and the legs and feet to mean the Vital Nature: thus using the names of bodily parts as symbols of immaterial faculties; even so (and with much greater reason) must we, when speaking of Him that is beyond all things, purge from false elements by sacred heavenly and mystical explanations the Difference of the Forms and Shapes ascribed to God. And, if thou wilt attribute unto the intangible and unimaged God, the imagery of our threefold bodily dimensions, the Divine Breadth is God's exceeding wide Emanation over all things, His Length is His Power exceeding the Universe, His Depth the Unknown Mystery which no creature

can comprehend. Only we must have a care lest, in expounding these different forms and figures we unwittingly confound the incorporeal meaning of the Divine Names with the terms of the sensible symbols.

[448] This matter I have dealt with in my Symbolical Divinity: the point I now wish to make clear is this: we must not suppose that Difference in God means any variation of His utterly unchanging Sameness. It means, instead, a multiplicity of acts wherein His unity is undisturbed, and His all-creative fertility while passing into Emanations retains its uniformity in them.

6. And if God be called Similar (even as He is called "Same," to signify that He is wholly and altogether like unto Himself in an indivisible Permanence) this appellation of "Similar" we must not repudiate. But the Sacred Writers tell us that the All-Transcendent God is in Himself unlike any being, but that He nevertheless bestows a Divine Similitude upon those that turn to Him and strive to imitate those qualities which are beyond all definition and understanding. And tis the power of the Divine Similitude that turneth all created things towards their Cause. These things, then, must be considered similar to God by virtue of the Divine Image and Process of Similitude working in them; and yet we must not say that God resembles them any more than we should say a man resembles his own portrait. For things which are co-ordinate may resemble one another, and the term "similarity" may be applied indifferently to either member of the pair; they can both be similar to one another through a superior principle of Similarity which is common to them both. But in the case of the Cause and Its effects we cannot admit this interchange. For It doth not bestow the state of similarity only on these objects and on those; but God is the Cause of this condition unto all that have the quality of Similarity, [449] and is the Fount of Very Similarity; [450] and all the Similarity in the

world possesses its quality through having a trace of the Divine Similarity and thus accomplishes the Unification of the creatures.

7. But what need is there to labour this point? Scripture itself declares [451] that God is Dissimilar to the world, and not to be compared therewith. It says that He is different from all things, and (what is yet more strange) that there is nothing even similar to Him. And yet such language contradicts not the Similitude of things to Him. For the same things are both like unto God and unlike Him: like Him in so far as they can imitate Him that is beyond imitation, unlike Him in so far as the effects fall short of the Cause and are infinitely and incomparably inferior.

8. Now what say we concerning the Divine attributes of "Standing" and "Sitting"? Merely this--that God remains What He is in Himself and is firmly fixed in an immovable Sameness wherein His transcendent Being is fast rooted, and that He acts under the same modes and around the same Centre without changing; and that He is wholly Self-Subsistent in His Stability, possessing Very Immutability and an entire Immobility, and that He is all this in a Super-Essential manner. [452] For He is the Cause of the stability and rest of all things: He who is beyond all Rest and Standing. And in Him all things have their consistency and are preserved, so as not to be shaken from the stability of their proper virtues.

9. And what is meant, on the other hand, when the Sacred Writers say that the Immovable God moves and goes forth unto all things? Must we not understand this also in a manner befitting God? Reverence bids us regard His motion to imply no change of place, variation, alteration, turning or locomotion, whether straightforward, circular, or compounded of both; or whether belonging to mind, soul, or natural powers; but to mean that God brings all things into being and sustains them, [453] and

exerts all manner of Providence over them, and is present to them all, holding them in His incomprehensible embrace, and exercising over them all His providential Emanations and Activities. Nevertheless our reason must agree to attribute movements to the Immutable God in such a sense as befits Him. Straightness we must understand to mean Directness of aim and the unswerving Emanation of His energies, and the outbirth of all things from Him. His Spiral Movement must be taken to mean the combination of a persistent Emanation and a productive Stillness. And His Circular Movement must be taken to mean His Sameness, wherein He holds together the intermediate orders and those at either extremity, so as to embrace each other, and the act whereby the things that have gone forth from Him return to Him again.

10. And if any one takes the Scriptural Title of "Same," or that of "Righteousness," as implying Equality, we must call God "Equal," not only because He is without parts and doth not swerve from His purpose, but also because He penetrates equally to all things and through all, and is the Fount of Very Equality, whereby He worketh equally the uniform interpenetration of all things and the participation thereof possessed by things which (each according to its capacity) have an equal share therein, and the equal [454] power bestowed upon all according to their worth; and because all Equality (perceived or exercised by the intellect, or possessed in the sphere of reason, sensation, essence, nature, or will) is transcendently contained beforehand as an Unity in Him through that Power, exceeding all things, which brings all Equality into existence.

[438] Boundless space cannot contain God, yet He is wholly contained in a single point of that apparent nothingness which we call air. Cf.

Section 3.

[439] Cf. St. Augustine, Confessions, 1, Section 1. The great paradox is that God combines perfect Rest and perfect Motion. Idealism has seized the first aspect, Pragmatism and Vitalism the second. A sense of both is present in the highest Mystical experience and in the restful activity or strenuous repose of Love.

[440] Cf. 155, n. 3.

[441] It is a Quality, not a quantity. Vulgarly consists in mistaking quantity for quality. This has been the mistake of the modern world.

[442] Heb. iv. 12. We can conceive of the mind's search for God in two ways: as a journey, (1) outwards, to seek Him beyond the sky, (2) inwards, to seek Him in the heart. Psalm xix. combines both ways. So does the Paradiso. Dante passes outwards through the concentric spheres of space to the Empyrean which is beyond space and encloses it. There he sees the Empyrean as a point and his whole journey from sphere to sphere as a journey inwards instead of outwards. (Canto xxviii. 16.) The Mystics often speak of "seeing God in a Point." God is in all things as the source of their existence and natural life; and in us as the Source of our existence and spiritual life.

[443] The Potentiality of all quality is without particular quality.

Cf. p. 155, n. 2.

[444] It causes each thing (1) to be a thing, (2) to co-exist harmoniously with other things.

[445] It contains the potential existence of all things, however different from each other, as the air contains the potential life of all the various plants and animals.

[446] Since He is the Super-Essence of all things, their life is ultimately His Life--i. e. He is, in every case, the underlying Reality of their individual existence.

[447] Because He is the underlying Reality of our separate

personalities, which have their true being outside themselves in Him, therefore in finding our true selves we find and possess His Being. Cf. St. Bernard: Ubi se mihi dedit me mihi reddidit.

[448] i. e. We must not take metaphorical titles literally (much bad philosophy and much sentimentality and also brutality in Religion, has come from taking anthropomorphic titles of God literally).

[449] If anything derived this quality from some other source than God, that thing, instead of standing towards God in the relation of effect to Cause, would be co-ordinate with Him. But as it is, all things stand towards God in the relation of effect to Cause.

[450] Vide supra on Very Existence, Very Life, Very Wisdom, etc.

[451] Cf. e. g. Ps. lxxxvi. 8.

[452] i. e. This stability is due to Undifferentiation.

[453] St. Augustine frequently explains God's activity to consist in His causing His creatures to act, while Himself resting.

[454] i. e. "Due," "right," cf. p. 161, n. 3.

CHAPTER X: Concerning "Omnipotent," "Ancient of Days"; and also concerning

Concerning "Omnipotent," "Ancient of Days"; and also concerning "Eternity" and "Time."

1. Now tis time that our Discourse should celebrate God (Whose Names are many) as "Omnipotent" and "Ancient of Days." The former title is given Him because He is that All-Powerful Foundation of all things which maintains and embraces the Universe, founding and establishing and compacting it; knitting the whole together in Himself without a rift, producing the Universe out of Himself as out of an all-powerful Root, and attracting all things back into Himself as unto an all-powerful Receptacle, holding them all together as their Omnipotent Foundation, and securing them all in this condition with an all-transcendent bond suffering them not to fail away from Himself, nor (by being removed from out of that perfect Resting Place) to come utterly to destruction. Moreover, the Supreme Godhead is called "Omnipotent" because It is potent over all things, and rules with unalloyed sovranity over the world It governs; and because It is the Object of desire and yearning for all, and casts on all Its voluntary yoke and sweet travail of Divine all-powerful and indestructible Desire for Its Goodness.
2. And "Ancient of Days" is a title given to God because He is the Eternity [455] of all things and their Time, [456] and is anterior [457] to Days and anterior to Eternity and Time. And the titles "Time," "Day," "Season," and "Eternity" must be applied to Him in a Divine sense, to mean One Who is utterly incapable of all change and movement and, in His eternal motion, remains at rest; [458] and Who is the Cause

whence Eternity, Time, and Days are derived. Wherefore in the Sacred Theophanies revealed in mystic Visions He is described as Ancient and yet as Young: the former title signifying that He is the Primal Being, existent from the beginning, and the latter that He grows not old. Or both titles together teach that He goes forth from the Beginning through the entire process of the world unto the End. Or, as the Divine Initiator [459] tells us, either term implies the Primal Being of God: the term "Ancient" signifying that He is First in point of Time, and the term "Young" that He possesses the Primacy in point of Number, since Unity and the properties of Unity have a primacy over the more advanced numbers. [460]

3. Need is there, methinks, that we understand the sense in which Scripture speaketh of Time and Eternity. For where Scripture speaks of things as "eternal" it doth not always mean things that are absolutely Uncreated or verily Everlasting, Incorruptible, Immortal, Invariable, and Immutable (e.g. "Be ye lift up, ye eternal doors," [461] and suchlike passages). Often it gives the name of "Eternal" to anything very ancient; and sometimes, again, it applies the term "Eternity" to the whole course of earthly Time, inasmuch as it is the property of Eternity to be ancient and invariable and to measure the whole of Being. The name "Time" it Gives to that changing process which is shown in birth, death, and variation. And hence we who are here circumscribed by Time are, saith the Scripture, destined to share in Eternity when we reach that incorruptible Eternity which changes not. And sometimes the Scripture declares the glories of a Temporal Eternity and an Eternal Time, although we understand that in stricter exactness it describes and reveals Eternity as the home of things that are in Being; and Time as the home of things that are in Birth. [462] We must not, therefore, think of the things which are called Eternal as being simply

co-ordinate with the Everlasting God Who exists before Eternity; [463] but, strictly following the venerable Scriptures, we had better interpret the words "Eternal" and "Temporal" in their proper senses, and regard those things which to some extent participate in Eternity and to some extent in Time as standing midway between things in Being and things in Birth. [464] And God we must celebrate as both Eternity and Time, [465] as the Cause of all Time and Eternity and as the Ancient of Days; as before Time and above Time and producing all the variety of times and seasons; and again, as existing before Eternal Ages, in that He is before [466] Eternity and above Eternity and His Kingdom is the Kingdom of all the Eternal Ages. Amen.

[455] In the Super-Essence each thing has its ultimate and timeless being,

[456] In the Super-Essence each thing has the limits of its duration predetermined. Or else D. means that in the Super-Essence the movement of Time has the impulse which generates it.

[457] Temporal precedence is metaphorically used to express metaphysical precedence. God cannot in the literal sense of the words, temporally precede time.

[458] He transcends both Rest and Motion.

[459] Presumably Hierotheus.

[460] He is the Source of all extension both in Time and in Space, Unity underlies all counting (for 2, 3, 4, etc. = twice 1, three times 1, four times 1, etc.). Hence it is the Origin, as it were, of all number. And, being at the beginning of the arithmetical series (as youth is at the beginning of life) it is symbolized (according to D.) by youthfulness.

[461] Ps. xxiv. 7.

[462] We cannot help thinking of Eternity as an Endless Time, as we think of infinite number as an endless numerical process. But this is wrong. Eternity is timeless as infinite number is superior to all numerical process. According to Plato, Time is "incomplete life" and Eternity is "complete life." Thus Eternity fulfils Time and yet contradicts it, as infinite number fulfils and contradicts the properties of finite numbers. If Time be thought of as an infinite series of finite numbers Eternity is the sum of that series and not its process. But the name may be applied loosely to the process, though this is generally to be avoided. According to St. Thomas, Eternity measures Rest, and Time measures Motion: Eternity is a totum simul and Time is successivum. The difference between them is not, he says, that Time has a beginning and an end whereas Eternity has neither, though he admits that each of the particular objects existing in Time began and will end. (Summa, Pars I. Q. x. Art. iv.) But this is, he says, not essential to the nature of time: it is only per accidens (ibid. Art. v.). Cf. Aristotle's distinction between "unlimited Time" and limited Time.

[463] He alludes to Angels and the perfected souls of men and to their celestial abode.

[464] St. Thomas speaks of aevum as standing between Eternity and Time and participating in both. Time, he says, consists in succession, Aevum does not but is capable of it, Eternity does not and is incapable of it (Summa, Pars I. Q. x. Art. v.). Thus the heavenly bodies, he says, are changeless in essence, but capable of motion from place to place; and the angels are changeless in nature, but capable of choice and so of spiritual movement. Maximus's note on the present passage explains this to be D.s meaning. There is in each one of us a timeless self. It is spoken of by all the Christian Mystics as the root of our being, or as

the spark, or the Synteresis, etc. Our perfection consists in this ultimate reality, which is each man's self, shining through his whole being and transforming it. Hence man is at last lifted on to the eternal plane from that of time. The movements of his spirit will then be so intense that they will attain a totum simul. We get a foretaste of this when, in the experience of deep spiritual joy, the successive parts of Time so coalesce (as it were) that an hour seems like a moment. Eternity is Rest and Time is Motion. Accelerate the motion in the individual soul, through the intensification of that soul's bliss to infinity. There is now in the soul an infinite motion. But Infinite Motion is above succession, and therefore is itself a form of repose. Thus Motion has been changed into Rest, Time into Eternity. Mechanical Time, or dead Time (of which Aristotle speaks as mere movement or succession) is the Time measured by the clock; developing or living Time (which is Plato's "incomplete life") is real Time, and this is Aevum, which partakes both of mechanical Time and of Eternity. The best treatment of the subject is probably to be found in Bergson's theory of *durée*. (Cf. Von Hügel's *Eternal Life*.) The words "eternal," "everlasting," etc., being loosely employed, may refer to three different things: (1) endless mechanical Time, i. e. mere endless succession; (2) Aevum, or developing and finally perfected living Time; (3) True Timeless Eternity.

[465] Vide pp. 169 n. 1, 170 n. 1.

[466] Vide p. 170, n. 2.

CHAPTER XI: Concerning "Peace" and what is meant by "Very Being" Itself, "Very

Concerning "Peace" and what is meant by "Very Being" Itself, "Very Life," "Very Power," and similar phrases.

1. Now let us praise with reverent hymns of peace the Divine Peace which is the Source of all mutual attraction. For this Quality it is that unites all things together and begets and produces the harmonies and agreements of all things. And hence it is that all things long for It, and that It draws their manifold separate parts into the unity of the whole and unites the battling elements of the world into concordant fellowship. So it is that, through participation in the Divine Peace, the higher of the mutually Attractive Powers [467] are united in themselves and to each other and to the one Supreme Peace of the whole world; and so the ranks beneath them are by them united both in themselves and to one another and unto that one perfect Principle and Cause of Universal Peace, [468] which broods in undivided Unity upon the world, and (as it were with bolts which fasten the sundered parts together) giveth to all things their laws, their limits, and their cohesion; nor suffers them to be torn apart and dispersed into the boundless chaos without order or foundation, so as to lose God's Presence and depart from their own unity, and to mingle together in a universal confusion. Now as to that quality of the Divine Peace and Silence, to which the holy Justus [469] gives the name of "Dumbness" and "Immobility" (sc. so far as concerns all emanation which our knowledge can grasp), [470] and as to the manner in which It is still and silent and keeps in Itself and within Itself and is wholly and entirely one transcendent Unity in Itself, and while entering into

Itself and multiplying Itself, [471] doth not leave Its own Unity, but, even in the act of going forth to all things, remains entirely within Itself through the excess of that all-transcendent Unity: concerning these things tis neither right nor possible for any creature to frame any language or conception. Let us, then, describe that Peace (inasmuch as It transcends all things) as "Unutterable," yea and "Unknowable"; and, so far as tis possible for men and for ourselves who are inferior to many good men, let us examine those cases where It is amenable to our intuitions and language through being manifested in created things.

2. Now, the first thing to say is this: that God is the Fount of Very Peace and of all Peace, both in general and in particular, and that He joins all things together in an unity without confusion whereby they are inseparably united without any interval between them, and at the same time stand unmixed each in its own form, not losing their purity through being mingled with their opposites nor in any way blunting the edge of their clear and distinct individuality. Let us, then, consider that one and simple nature of the Peaceful Unity which unites all things to Itself to themselves and to each other, and preserves all things, distinct and yet interpenetrating in an universal cohesion without confusion. Thus it is that the Divine Intelligences derive that Unity whereby they are united to the activities and the objects of their intuition; [472] and rise up still further to a contact, beyond knowledge, with truths which transcend the mind. Thus it is that souls, unifying their manifold reasoning powers and concentrating them in one pure spiritual act, advance by their own ordered path through an immaterial and indivisible act of spiritual intuition. Thus it is that the one and indissoluble connection of all things exists by reason of its Divine harmony, and is fitted together with perfect concord, agreement and congruity, being drawn into one without confusion and

inseparably held together. For the entirety of that perfect Peace penetrates to all things through the simple, unalloyed presence of Its unifying power, uniting all things and binding the extremities together through the intermediate parts, all things being thus conjoined by one homogenous attraction. And It bestows even upon the utmost limits of the universe the enjoyment of Its Presence, and makes all things akin to one another by the unities, the identities, the communions and the mutual attractions which It gives them; for the Divine Peace remains indivisible and shows forth all Its power in a single act, and permeates the whole world without departing from Its own Identity. For It goes forth to all things and gives to all things of Itself (according to their kinds), and overflows with the abundance of Its peaceful fecundity, and yet through the transcendence of Its unification It remains wholly and entirely in a state of Absolute Self-Unity. [473]

3. "But," some one perchance will say, "in what sense do all things desire peace? Many things rejoice in opposition and difference and distinction, and would never choose willingly to be at rest." Now if the opposition and difference here intended is the individuality of each thing, and the fact that naught (while it remains itself) wishes to lose this quality, then neither can we deny this statement; but, however, we shall show that this itself is due to a desire for Peace. For all things love to have peace and unity in themselves and to remain without moving or falling from their own existence or properties. And the perfect Peace guards each several individuality unalloyed by Its providential gift of peace, keeping all things without internal or mutual discord or confusion, and establishing all things, in the power of unswerving stability, so as to possess their own peace and rest. [474]

4. And if all things which move be found desiring not to be at rest but always to perform their proper movements, this also is a desire for that Divine Peace of the Universe which keeps all things in their proper places so that they fall not, and preserves the individual and the motive life of all moving things from removal or declension. And this it doth by reason that the things which move perform their proper functions through being in a constant state of inward peace. [475]

5. But if, in affirming that Peace is not desired by all, the objector is thinking of the opposition caused by a falling away from Peace, in the first place there is nothing in the world which hath utterly fallen away from all Unity; for that which is utterly unstable, boundless, baseless, and indefinite hath neither Being nor any inherence in the things that have Being. And if he says that hatred towards Peace and the blessings of Peace is shown by them that rejoice in strife and anger and in conditions of variations and instability, I answer that these also are governed by dim shadows of the desire for Peace; for, being oppressed by the various movements of their passions, they desire (without understanding) to set these at rest, and suppose that the surfeit of fleeting pleasures will give them Peace because they feel themselves disturbed by the unsatisfied cravings which have mastered them. [476] There is no need to tell how the loving-kindness of Christ cometh bathed in Peace, wherefrom we must learn to cease from strife, whether against ourselves or against one another, or against the angels, and instead to labour together even with the angels for the accomplishment of God's Will, in accordance with the Providential Purpose of Jesus Who worketh all things in all and maketh Peace, unutterable and foreordained from Eternity, and reconcileth us to Himself, and, in Himself, to the Father. Concerning these supernatural gifts enough hath been said in the Outlines of Divinity with

confirmation drawn from the holy testimony of the Scriptures.

6. Now, since thou hast, on a previous occasion, sent me an epistle asking what I mean by Very Being Itself, Very Life Itself, Very Wisdom Itself: and since thou saidst thou couldst not understand why sometimes I call God "Life" and sometimes the "Fount of Life": I have thought it necessary, holy man of God, to solve for thee this question also which hath arisen between us. In the first place, to repeat again what hath often been said before, there is no contradiction between calling God "Life" or "Power" and "Fount of Life, Peace, or Power." [477] The former titles are derived from forms of existence, and especially from the primary forms, [478] and are applied to Him because all existences come forth from Him; the latter titles are given Him because in a superessential manner He transcends all things, even the primary existences. [479] "But," thou wilt say, "what mean we at all by Very Being and Very Life and those things to which we ascribe an Ultimate Existence derived primarily from God?" We reply as follows: "This matter is not crooked, but straightforward, and the explanation thereof is easy. The Very Existence underlying the existence of all things is not some Divine or Angelic Being (for only That Which is Super-Essential can be the Principle, the Being and the Cause of all Existences and of Very Existence Itself) [480] nor is It any life-producing Deity other than the Supra-Divine Life which is the Cause of all living things and of Very Life, [481] nor, in short, is It identical with any such originative and creative Essences and Substances of things as men in their rash folly call "gods" and "creators" of the world, though neither had these men themselves any true and proper knowledge of such beings nor had their fathers. In fact, such beings did not exist. [482] Our meaning is different: "Very Being," "Very Life," "Very Godhead" are titles which in an Originating

Divine and Causal sense we apply to the One Transcendent Origin and Cause of all things, but we also apply the terms in a derivative sense to the Providential Manifestations of Power derived from the Unparticipated God, i. e. to the Infusion of Very Being, Very Life, and Very Godhead, which so transmutes the creatures where each, according to its nature, participates therein, that these obtain the qualities and names: "Existent," "Living," "Divinely Possessed," etc. [483] Hence the Good God is called the Fount, first, of the Very Primaries: then, of those creatures which share completely therein; then, of those which share partially therein. [484] But it needs not to say more concerning this matter, since some of our Divine Teachers have already treated thereof. They give the title "Fount of Very Goodness and Deity" to Him that exceeds both Goodness and Deity; and they give the name of "Very Goodness and Deity" to the Gift which, coming forth from God, bestows both Goodness and Deity upon the creatures; and they give the name of "Very Beauty" to the outpouring of Very Beauty; and in the same manner they speak of "complete Beauty" and "partial Beauty," and of things completely beautiful and things beautiful in part. [485] And they deal in the same way with all other qualities which are, or can be, similarly employed to signify Providential Manifestations and Virtues derived from the Transcendent God through that abundant outpouring, where such qualities proceed and overflow from Him. So is the Creator of all things literally beyond them all, and His Super-Essential and Supernatural Being altogether transcends the creatures, whatever their essence and nature.

[467] i. e. The Seraphim.

[468] The Divine Energy and Light streams through the medium of the higher orders to the lower. This is worked out in the Celestial

Hierarchy of the same writer. We get the same thought in Dante's Paradiso, where the Primum Mobile, deriving its motion from an immediate contact with the Empyrean, passes them on to the next sphere and so to all the rest in turn, the movement being received and conveyed by the succeeding angelic orders presiding severally, in descending scale of dignity, over the concentric spheres.--See Convito, ll. 6.

[469] Vide Acts i. 23; xviii. 7; or Col. iv. 11.

[470] Victorinus calls God the Father Cessatio, Silentium, or Quies, and also Motus, as distinguished from Motio (the name he gives God the Son), the former kind of movement being the quiescent generator of the latter, since Victorinus was an older contemporary of St. Augustine (see Conf. viii. 2-5) his speculations may have been known to D. The peace of God attracts by its mysterious influence. This influence is, in a sense, an emanation or outgoing activity (or it could not affect us), but it is a thing felt and not understood.

[471] It multiplies itself by entering into the creatures and seeking to be reproduced in each of them. This whole passage throws light on the problem of Personality. If our personalities are ultimately contained in the Absolute, the Absolute is not a Person but a Society of Persons. D. would reply that the Absolute is Supra-Personal, and that in It our personalities have their ultimate existence, outside of themselves, as an undifferentiated Unity, though that ultimate plane needs also and implies the existence of the relative plane on which our personalities exist as differentiated individuals. The Holy Spirit enters into the various individuals, but still possesses One Supra-Personal Godhead. Plotinus says the Godhead is indivisibly divided.

[472] Contemplation, Act of Contemplation, and Object Contemplated are

all united together, and so imply a fundamental Unity which exists ultimately in God.

[473] Cf. p. 174, n. 3.

[474] D.s paradox is the paradox of sanity. We must hold at the same time two apparent contradictions. On one side all things are, in a sense, merged, in the other side they are not. Their Super-Essence is identical and is one and the same Super-Essence for all. Yet each one severally and individually possesses it. The paradox is due to the fact that the question is one of ultimate Reality. All life and individuality start in the individual's opposition to the rest of the world, for by distinguishing myself from the world I, in a sense, oppose myself to it. This is the basis of selfishness and so of moral evil. But being transmuted by Love, it becomes the basis of all harmony and moral good, and so leads to Peace: And the same principles of opposition and harmony are at work in the whole creation, animate and inanimate alike. (Cf. Dante, Paradiso, I. 103 to end.)

[475] Vide supra [Movel Deus sicut Desideratum]: True peace is restful energy, both elements of which are incomplete in the present world but complete in the Godhead.

[476] Cf. Dante, Paradiso. "E se altra cosa vostra amor seduce Non è se non di quella alcun vestigio," etc.

[477] Absolute Existence or Life, etc., is in God super-essentially, and timelessly emanates from Him. It is in Him as a Super-Essence and projected from Him as an Essence.

[478] i. e. The angels, who, being the highest creatures, possess Existence, Life, Peace, Power, etc., in the greatest degree.

[479] The titles "Absolute Life," etc., correspond to the Via Affirmativa, and the titles "Cause of Absolute Life," etc., to the Via Negativa.

[480] The Godhead causes: (1) the particular existent thing, (2) the ultimate fact of Existence, i. e. Absolute Existence. The Exemplars are in the Godhead and not in the emanating Absolute Existence.

[481] See last note.

[482] Perhaps under the pretence of attacking Paganism D. is really aiming his shafts against Manicheism or some Gnostic heresy current in his day.

[483] (1) God possesses and is Absolute Being, Absolute Life, etc. (2) He pours forth Absolute Being that the creatures may share it and so exist and be ennobled.

[484] Migne's text here is corrupt, I have emended it. (1) The First Things = Absolute Existence, etc. (2) Those that share completely therein = the angels and perfected human souls. (3) Those that share partially therein = the lower orders of creation which possess existence without life, or life without consciousness, or consciousness without spirituality (stones, plants, animals).

[485] The beauty of a human being is more complete than that of a horse, and spiritual beauty is more complete than mere physical beauty.

CHAPTER XII: Concerning "Holy of holies," "King of kings," "Lord of lords," "God of gods."

Concerning "Holy of holies," "King of kings," "Lord of lords," "God of gods."

1. Forasmuch as the things which needed to be said concerning this matter have been brought, I think, to a proper ending, we must praise God (whose Names are infinite) as "Holy of holies" and "King of kings," reigning through Eternity and unto the end of Eternity and beyond it, and as "Lord of lords" and "God of gods." And we must begin by saying what we understand by "Very Holiness," what by "Royalty," "Dominion," and "Deity," and what the Scripture means by the reduplication of the titles.

2. Now Holiness is that which we conceive as a freedom from all defilement and a complete and utterly untainted purity. And Royalty is the power to assign all limit, order, law, and rank. And Dominion is not only the superiority to inferiors, but is also the entirely complete and universal possession of fair and good things and is a true and steadfast firmness; wherefore the name is derived from a word meaning "validity" and words meaning severally "that which possesseth validity" and "which exerciseth" it. [486] And Deity is the Providence which contemplates all things and which, in perfect Goodness, goes round about all things and holds them together and fills them with Itself and transcends all things that enjoy the blessings of Its providential care.

3. These titles, then, must be given in an absolute sense to the All-Transcendent Cause, and we must add that It is a Transcendent Holiness and Dominion, that It is a Supreme Royalty and an altogether

Simple Deity. [487] For out of It there hath, in one single act, come forth collectively and been distributed throughout the world all the unmixed Perfection of all untainted Purity; all that Law and Order of the world, which expels all disharmony, inequality and disproportion, and breaks forth into a smiling aspect of ordered Consistency [488] and Rightness, bringing into their proper place all things which are held worthy to participate in It; all the perfect Possession of all fair qualities; and all that good Providence which contemplates and maintains in being the objects of Its own activity, bounteously bestowing Itself for the Deification of those creatures which are converted unto It.

4.. And since the Creator of all things is brim-full with them all in one transcendent excess thereof. He is called "Holy of Holies," etc., by virtue of His overflowing Causality and excess of Transcendence. [489] Which meaneth that just as things that have no substantial Being [490] are transcended by things that have such Being, together with Sanctity, Divinity, Dominion, or Royalty; and just as the things that participate in these Qualities are transcended by the Very Qualities themselves--even so all things that have Being are surpassed by Him that is beyond them all, and all the Participants and all the Very Qualities are surpassed by the Unparticipated [491] Creator. And Holy Ones and Kings and Lords and Gods, in the language of Scripture, are the higher Ranks in each Kind [492] through which the secondary Ranks receiving of their gifts from God, show forth the abundance of that Unity thus distributed among them in their own manifold qualities--which various qualities the First Ranks in their providential, godlike activity draw together into the Unity of their own being. [493]

[486] D. holds that God's dominion is an absolute quality in Himself apart from all reference to the creation. The Greek word, as he truly says, supports his view. The Latin Dominus, on the other hand, implies the notion of governing, and so has a necessary reference to the creation. Hence St. Augustine says that God could not actually be spoken of as "Lord" before the world or the angels were made. Eckhart says that before the creation God was not God, "Er war was Er war." D. holds that the title "God" is relative to us. But then he holds--and here explains--that the roots of this relationship exist timelessly in the undifferentiated Godhead.

[487] "Transcendent," "Supreme," "Simple," all express the same fact--that, being Super-Essential, it is above the multiplicity of the creatures.

[488] Cf. Shelley, Adonais: "That Light whose smile kindles the universe."

[489] "Holiness" especially contains the notion of Transcendence.

[490] i. e. The material things (cf. Myst. Theol. I.). This is the ordinary meaning of the phrase in D.

[491] Material things are surpassed by angels and perfected human souls, and these by the Divine Grace which they all share; and this, together with the whole creation on which it is bestowed, is surpassed by God from Whom it emanates. For while this emanation can be communicated the Godhead cannot. (Cf. Via Negativa. See esp. Myst. Theol. I.).

[492] i. e. The higher ranks whether among angels or among human souls. (Cf. "I have said, Ye are gods," "hath made us kings and priests," etc.)

[493] The highest ranks (i. e. the Seraphim and the Contemplative Saints) have a direct vision of God, Whom they behold by an act of

complete spiritual contemplation. Others, learning from them, behold God truly but less directly--by knowing rather than by Unknowing, by discursive Meditation rather than by intuitive Contemplation--or are called to serve Him chiefly in practical works. Contemplation is a complete activity of the concentrated spirit, unifying it within itself and uniting it to all kindred spirits (for true Mysticism is the same in every age and place). Meditation and practical works are partial activities which imply a succession of different images in the same mind and a shifting variety of different mental types and interests in the same Community.

CHAPTER XIII: Concerning "Perfect" and "One."

Concerning "Perfect" and "One."

1. So much for these titles. Now let us, if thou art willing, proceed to the most .important Title of all. For the Divine Science attributes all qualities to the Creator of all things and attributes them all together, and speaks of Him as One. [494] how such a Being is Perfect: not only in the sense that It is Absolute Perfection and possesseth in Itself and from Itself distinctive Uniformity of Its existence, [495] and that It is wholly perfect in Its whole Essence, but also in the sense that, in Its transcendence It is beyond Perfection; and that, while giving definite form or limit to all that is indefinite, It is yet in Its simple Unity raised above all limitation, and is not contained or comprehended by anything, but penetrates to all things at once and beyond them in Its unfailing bounties and never-ending activities. [496] Moreover, the Title "Perfect" means that It cannot be increased (being always Perfect) and cannot be diminished, and that It contains all things beforehand in Itself and overflows in one ceaseless, identical, [497] abundant and inexhaustible supply, whereby It perfects all perfect [498] things and fills them with Its own Perfection.

2. And the title "One" implies that It is all things under the form of Unity through the Transcendence of Its single Oneness, [499] and is the Cause of all things without departing from that Unity. For there is nothing in the world without a share in the One; and, just as all number participates in unity (and we speak of one couple, one dozen, one half, one third, or one tenth) even so everything and each part of everything participates in the One, and on the existence of the One all

other existences are based, and the One Cause of all things is not one of the many things in the world, [500] but is before all Unity and Multiplicity and gives to all Unity and Multiplicity their definite bounds. [501] For no multiplicity can exist except by some participation in the One: [502] that which is many in its parts is one in its entirety; that which is many in its accidental qualities is one in its substance; [503] that which is many in number or faculties is one in species; [504] that which is many in its emanating activities is one in its originating essence. [505] There is naught in the world without some participation in the One, the Which in Its all-embracing Unity contains beforehand all things, and all things conjointly, combining even opposites under the form of oneness. And without the One there can be no Multiplicity; yet contrariwise the One can exist without the Multiplicity just as the Unit exists before all multiplied Number. [506] And if all things be conceived as being ultimately unified with each other, then all things taken as a whole are One. [507]

3. Moreover, we must bear this in mind: that when we attribute a common unity to things we do so in accordance with the preconceived law of their kind belonging to each one, and that the One is thus the elementary basis of all things. [508] And if you take away the One there will remain neither whole nor part nor anything else in the world; for all things are contained beforehand and embraced by the One as an Unity in Itself. Thus Scripture speaks of the whole Supreme Godhead as the Cause of all things by employing the title of "One"; and there is One God Who is the Father and One Lord Jesus Christ and One unchanging Spirit, through the transcendent indivisibility of the entire Divine Unity, wherein all things are knit together in one and possess a supernal Unity and super-essentially pre-exist. Hence all

things are rightly referred and attributed unto It, since by It and in It and unto It all things possess their existence, co-ordination, permanence, cohesion, fulfilment, and innate tendency. And you will not find anything in .the world but derives from the One (which, in a super-essential sense, is the name of the whole Godhead) both its individual existence and the process that perfects and preserves it. [509] And we also must, in the power of the Divine Unity, turn from the Many to the One and declare the Unity of the whole single Godhead, which is the One Cause of all things; before all distinctions of One and Many, Part and Whole, Definiteness and Indefiniteness, [510] Finitude and Infinitude; [511] giving definite shape to all things that have Being, and to Being itself; the Cause of everything and of all together--a Cause both co-existent and pre-existent and transcendent, and all these things at once; yea, beyond existent Unity itself, and giving definite shape to existent Unity itself. For Unity, as found in the creatures, is numerical; and number participates in Essence: but the Super-Essential Unity gives definite shape to existent unity and to every number, and is Itself the Beginning, the Cause, the Numerical Principle and the Law of Unity, number and every creature. And hence, when we speak of the All-Transcendent Godhead as an Unity and a Trinity, It is not an Unity or a Trinity such as can be known by us or any other creature, though to express the truth of Its utter Self-Union and Its Divine Fecundity we apply the titles of "Trinity" and "Unity" to That Which is beyond all titles, expressing under the form of Being That Which is beyond Being. [512] But no Unity or Trinity or Number or Oneness or Fecundity or any other thing that either is a creature or can be known to any creature, is able to utter the mystery, beyond all mind and reason, of that Transcendent Godhead which super-essentially surpasses all things. It hath no name, nor can It be grasped by the

reason; It dwells in a region beyond us, where our feet cannot tread. Even the title of "Goodness" we do not ascribe to It because we think such a name suitable; but desiring to frame some conception and language about this Its ineffable Nature, we consecrate as primarily belonging to It the Name we most revere. And in this too we shall be in agreement with the Sacred Writers; nevertheless the actual truth must still be far beyond us. Hence we have given our preference to the Negative method, because this lifts the soul above all things cognate with its finite nature, and, guiding it onward through all the conceptions of God's Being which are transcended by that Being exceeding all Name, Reason, and Knowledge, reaches beyond the farthest limits of the world and there joins us unto God Himself, in so far as the power of union with Him is possessed even by us men.

4. These Intelligible Names we have collected and endeavoured to expound, though falling short not only of the actual meaning thereof (for such a failure even angels would be forced to confess), nor yet merely of such utterance as angels would have given concerning them (for the greatest of those among us who touch these themes are far inferior to the lowest of the angels); nor yet do we merely fall behind the teaching of the Sacred Writers thereon or of the Ascetics, their fellow-labourers, but we fall utterly and miserably behind our own compeers. And hence if our words are true and we have really, so far as in us lies, attained some intellectual grasp of the right way to explain the Names of God, the thanks are due to Him Who is the Creator of all things; granting first the faculty of speech and then the power to use it well. And if any Synonym hath been passed over we must supply and interpret that also by the same methods. And if this treatment is wrong or imperfect, and we have erred from the Truth either wholly or in part, I beg thy loving-kindness to correct my unwilling ignorance,

to satisfy with argument my desire for knowledge, to help my insufficient strength and heal my involuntary feebleness; and that, obtaining thy stores partly from thyself and partly from others and wholly from the Good, thou wilt also pass them on to us. And I pray thee be not weary in this kindness to a friend, for thou seest that we have not kept to ourselves any of the Hierarchic Utterances which have been handed down to us, but have imparted them without adulteration both to yourselves and to other holy men, and will continue so to do as long as we have the power to speak and you to hear. So will we do no despite unto the tradition, unless strength fail us for the perception or the utterance of these Truths. But be these matters as God wills [513] that we should do or speak.

And be this now the end of our treatise concerning the Intelligible Names of God. Now will I proceed, God helping me, to the Symbolical Divinity.

[494] Religion, in its highest forms, and Philosophy and Natural Science postulate and seek some Unity behind the world. Hence Unity is regarded as the ultimate attribute. Thus Plotinus calls the Absolute "The One." God possesses all Attributes not separately but indivisibly, as pure light contains all colours.

[495] Though the Godhead is the Super-Essence of the creatures, yet on the other hand It is distinct from them because It transcends them. (See next note.) This aspect of distinctness is manifested in the fact that the Emanation of Absolute Life, etc., is distinct from the Persons of the Trinity, the aspect of identity is manifested in the fact that They possess Absolute Life antecedently to the act of Emanation.

[496] The Godhead is Perfect: (1) absolutely, and not by participation in some other essence; (2) transcendentally, and not in such a manner as

to be differentiated from other essences (for on the super-essential plane of the Undifferentiated Godhead there is no other essence than It). The Emanation of Absolute Life, etc., is perfect absolutely, because, being a direct overflow from the Godhead, it does not participate in any other Essence; but not transcendently, because it is differentiated from the particular things which share it. That is why it does not contain Exemplars. The creatures possess their true and undifferentiated being not in the Emanation but in the ultimate Godhead. The Emanation is, we may say, transcendental, or timeless, but not transcendent, or undifferentiated. D., by saying that "in Its transcendence . . . It penetrates to all things at once and beyond them," teaches incidentally that the Godhead's Transcendence and Immanence are ultimately the same fact. They are two ways of looking at the one truth of Its Undifferentiation. Since It is undifferentiated the Godhead is beyond our individual being; but since It is undifferentiated It is not ultimately other than ourselves. It is beyond our essence and is our Super-Essence. The theory of mere Transcendence is Deism, that of mere Immanence is Pantheism. True religion demands both in one fact and as one fact. So God is both near and far (see the Bible passim). He is far because He is nearer to us than our own souls are. "Thou wast within, I was outside" (St. Augustine). Hence true Introversion is an act of self-transcendence. We must lose ourselves to find ourselves.

[497] Identical because timeless.

[498] "Perfect," a term taken from the Mysteries expressing the final state of the initiated.

[499] See p. 184, n. 3.

[500] Cf. X., 2.

[501] The Godhead is not one individual, or essence, among others, but

is the Super-Essence of them all. The numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. = 1 x 1, 1 x 2, 1 x 3, 1 x 4, etc. Thus in the form "1 x 1" the first figure represents the unity underlying all numbers, the second figure represents unity as a particular number among other numbers. The first figure may thus be taken as a symbol of the Godhead, the second figure as a symbol of all created unity.

[502] Though created unity differs (see last note) from Uncreated Unity, yet it is, so to speak, a reflection thereof, as essence is a reflection of Super-Essence. So each number, because based on an underlying Unity, is itself a unit, and the underlying Unity of the Godhead shines through the world in all the harmonies and systems of things.

[503] A tree is one tree though (1) made up of root, trunk, branches, leaves, etc., (2) green in the leaves and brown in the trunk, etc.

[504] There are many oaks with different capacities of growth and productiveness, yet all belong to the same "oak species"; and there are many species or kinds of trees (oaks, chestnuts, firs, etc.) yet all belong to the genus "tree."

[505] A man's thoughts, desires and acts of will all spring from his one personality.

[506] Just as in the series 1 x 2, 1 x 3, 1 x 4, etc., if you destroy the 2, 3, 4, etc., the 1 remains, so if the universe disappeared the Godhead would still remain. (Cf. Emily Brontë: "Every existence would exist in Thee.")

[507] All things possess the same Super-Essence, and that is why they are connected together in this world.

[508] Cf. p. 186, n. 3.

[509] i. e. Both its unity in space and its unity in time.

[510] A thing is definite when we can say of it: "This is not that,"

indefinite when it is doubtful whether this is, or is not, that. The Godhead not being a particular thing, belongs to a region where there is no "this" or "that." So we cannot say, on that ultimate plane either: "This is not that," or, "It is doubtful whether this is that." Hence the mystical act of Unknowing. Knowledge distinguishes things, Unknowing passes beyond this act yet without confusion. In Unknowing the distinction between Thinker and Object of Thought is (from one point of view) gone; and yet the psychical state is a luminously clear one. Our personalities in their Super-Essence are merged yet unconfused.

[511] See p. 162 on "Greatness" and "Smallness."

[512] Numerical unity is a number among other numbers and so implies differentiation. The Godhead is undifferentiated.

[513] This anthropomorphic phrase is not inconsistent with the conceptions D. has been expounding; because he regards the limits of individual human capacities, etc., as timelessly existent in the Super-Essence. By a natural, though inadequate, metaphor, the limits of the resulting activities are spoken of as due to God's Will.

THE MYSTICAL THEOLOGY

CHAPTER I: Wheat is the Divine Gloom.

Wheat is the Divine Gloom.

Trinity, which exceedeth all Being, Deity, and Goodness! [514] Thou that instructeth Christians in Thy heavenly wisdom! Guide us to that topmost height of mystic lore [515] which exceedeth light and more than exceedeth knowledge, where the simple, absolute, and unchangeable mysteries of heavenly Truth lie hidden in the dazzling obscurity of the secret Silence, outshining all brilliance with the intensity of their darkness, and surcharging our blinded intellects with the utterly impalpable and invisible fairness of glories which exceed all beauty! Such be my prayer; and thee, dear Timothy, I counsel that, in the earnest exercise of mystic contemplation, thou leave the senses and the activities of the intellect and all things that the senses or the intellect can perceive, and all things in this world of nothingness, or in that world of being, and that, thine understanding being laid to rest, [516] thou strain (so far as thou mayest) towards an union with Him whom neither being nor understanding can contain. For, by the unceasing and absolute renunciation of thyself and all things, thou shalt in pureness cast all things aside, and be released from all, and so shalt be led upwards to the Ray of that divine Darkness which exceedeth all existence. [517]

These things thou must not disclose to any of the uninitiated, by whom I mean those who cling to the objects of human thought, and imagine there is no super-essential reality beyond; and fancy that they know by human understanding Him that has made Darkness His secret place. [518] And, if the Divine Initiation is beyond such men as these, what can be said of others yet more incapable thereof, who describe the

Transcendent Cause of all things by qualities drawn from the lowest order of being, while they deny that it is in any way superior to the various ungodly delusions which they fondly invent in ignorance of this truth? [519] That while it possesses all the positive attributes of the universe (being the universal Cause), yet in a stricter sense It does not possess them, since It transcends them all, wherefore there is no contradiction between affirming and denying that It has them inasmuch as It precedes and surpasses all deprivation, being beyond all positive and negative distinctions? [520]

Such at least is the teaching of the blessed Bartholomew. [521] For he says that the subject-matter of the Divine Science is vast and yet minute, and that the Gospel combines in itself both width and straitness. Methinks he has shown by these his words how marvellously he has understood that the Good Cause of all things is eloquent yet speaks few words, or rather none; possessing neither speech nor understanding because it exceedeth all things in a super-essential manner, and is revealed in Its naked truth to those alone who pass right through the opposition of fair and foul, [522] and pass beyond the topmost altitudes of the holy ascent and leave behind them all divine enlightenment and voices and heavenly utterances and plunge into the Darkness where truly dwells, as saith the Scripture, that One Which is beyond all things. For not without reason [523] is the blessed Moses bidden first to undergo purification himself and then to separate himself from those who have not undergone it; and after all purification hears the many-voiced trumpets and sees many lights flash forth with pure and diverse-streaming rays, and then stands separate from the multitudes and with the chosen priests presses forward to the topmost pinnacle of the Divine Ascent. Nevertheless he meets not with God Himself, yet he beholds--not Him indeed (for He is invisible)--but

the place wherein He dwells. And this I take to signify that the divinest and the highest of the things perceived by the eyes of the body or the mind are but the symbolic language of things subordinate to Him who Himself transcendeth them all. Through these things His incomprehensible presence is shown walking upon those heights of His holy places which are perceived by the mind; and then It breaks forth, even from the things that are beheld and from those that behold them, and plunges the true initiate unto the Darkness of Unknowing wherein he renounces all the apprehensions of his understanding and is enwrapped in that which is wholly intangible and invisible, belonging wholly to Him that is beyond all things and to none else (whether himself or another), and being through the passive stillness of all his reasoning powers united by his highest faculty to Him that is wholly Unknowable, of whom thus by a rejection of all knowledge he possesses a knowledge that exceeds his understanding.

CHAPTER III: What are the affirmative expressions respecting God, and what are the

How it is necessary to be united with and render praise to Him Who is the cause of all and above all.

Unto this Darkness which is beyond Light we pray that we may come, and may attain unto vision through the loss of sight and knowledge, and that in ceasing thus to see or to know we may learn to know that which is beyond all perception and understanding (for this emptying of our faculties is true sight and knowledge), [524] and that we may offer Him that transcends all things the praises of a transcendent hymnody, which we shall do by denying or removing all things that are--like as men who, carving a statue out of marble, remove all the impediments that hinder the clear perceptive of the latent image and by this mere removal display the hidden statue itself in its hidden beauty. [525] ; Now we must wholly distinguish this negative method from that of positive statements. For when we were making positive statements [526] we began with the most universal statements, and then through intermediate terms we came at last to particular titles, [527] but now ascending upwards from particular to universal conceptions we strip off all qualities [528] in order that we may attain a naked knowledge of that Unknowing which in all existent things is enwrapped by all objects of knowledge, [529] and that we may begin to see that super-essential Darkness which is hidden by all the light that is in existent things.

What are the affirmative expressions respecting God, and what are the negative.

Now I have in my Outlines of Divinity set forth those conceptions which are most proper to the affirmative method, and have shown in what sense

God's holy nature is called single and in what sense trinal, what is the nature of the Fatherhood and Sonship which we attribute unto It; what is meant by the articles of faith concerning the Spirit; how from the immaterial and indivisible Good the interior rays of Its goodness have their being and remain immovably in that state of rest which both within their Origin and within themselves is co-eternal with the act by which they spring from It; [530] in what manner Jesus being above all essence [531] has stooped to an essential state in which all the truths of human nature meet; and all the other revelations of Scripture whereof my Outlines of Divinity treat. And in the book of the Divine Names I have considered the meaning as concerning God of the titles Good, Existent, Life, Wisdom, Power and of the other titles which the understanding frames, and in my Symbolic Divinity I have considered what are the metaphorical titles drawn from the world of sense and applied to the nature of God; what are the mental or material images we form of God or the functions and instruments of activity we attribute to Him; what are the places where He dwells and the robes He is adorned with; what is meant by God's anger, grief, and indignation, or the divine inebriation and wrath; what is meant by God's oath and His malediction, by His slumber and awaking, and all the other inspired imagery of allegoric symbolism. And I doubt not that you have also observed how far more copious are the last terms than the first for the doctrines of God's Nature and the exposition of His Names could not but be briefer than the Symbolic Divinity. [532] For the more that we soar upwards the more our language becomes restricted to the compass of purely intellectual conceptions, even as in the present instance plunging into the Darkness which is above the intellect we shall find ourselves reduced not merely to brevity of speech but even to absolute dumbness both of speech and thought. Now in the former treatises the

course of the argument, as it came down from the highest to the lowest categories, embraced an ever-widening number of conceptions which increased at each stage of the descent, but in the present treatise it mounts upwards from below towards the category of transcendence, and in proportion to its ascent it contracts its terminology, and when the whole ascent is passed it will be totally dumb, being at last wholly united with Him Whom words cannot describe. [533] But why is it, you will ask, that after beginning from the highest category when one method was affirmative we begin from the lowest category where it is negative? [534] Because, when affirming, the existence of that which transcends all affirmation, we were obliged to start from that which is most akin to It, and then to make the affirmation on which the rest depended; but when pursuing the negative method, to reach that which is beyond all negation, we must start by applying our negations to those qualities which differ most from the ultimate goal. Surely it is truer to affirm that God is life and goodness than that He is air or stone, and truer to deny that drunkenness or fury can be attributed to Him than to deny that the may apply to Him the categories of human thought. [535]

CHAPTER V: That He Who is the Pre-eminent Cause of everything intelligibly

That He Who is the Pre-eminent Cause of everything sensibly perceived is not Himself any one of the things sensibly perceived.

We therefore maintain [536] that the universal Cause transcending all things is neither impersonal nor lifeless, nor irrational nor without understanding: in short, that It is not a material body, and therefore does not possess outward shape or intelligible form, or quality, or quantity, or solid weight; nor has It any local existence which can be perceived by sight or touch; nor has It the power of perceiving or being perceived; nor does It suffer any vexation or disorder through the disturbance of earthly passions, or any feebleness through the tyranny of material chances, or any want of light; nor any change, or decay, or division, or deprivation, or ebb and flow, or anything else which the senses can perceive. None of these things can be either identified with it or attributed unto It.

That He Who is the Pre-eminent Cause of everything intelligibly perceived is not Himself any one of the things intelligibly perceived.

Once more, ascending yet higher we maintain [537] that It is not soul, or mind, or endowed with the faculty of imagination, conjecture, reason, or understanding; nor is It any act of reason or understanding; nor can It be described by the reason or perceived by the understanding, since It is not number, or order, or greatness, or littleness, or equality, or inequality, and since It is not immovable nor in motion, or at rest, and has no power, and is not power or light, and does not live, and is not life; nor is It personal essence, or eternity, or time; nor can It be grasped by the understanding since It

is not knowledge or truth; nor is It kingship or wisdom; nor is It one, nor is It unity, nor is It Godhead [538] or Goodness; nor is It a Spirit, as we understand the term, since It is not Sonship or Fatherhood; nor is It any other thing such as we or any other being can have knowledge of; nor does It belong to the category of non-existence or to that of existence; nor do existent beings know It as it actually is, nor does It know them as they actually are; [539] nor can the reason attain to It to name It or to know It; nor is it darkness, nor is It light, or error, or truth; [540] nor can any affirmation or negation [541] apply to it; for while applying affirmations or negations to those orders of being that come next to It, we apply not unto It either affirmation or negation, inasmuch as It transcends all affirmation by being the perfect and unique Cause of all things, and transcends all negation by the pre-eminence of Its simple and absolute nature-free from every limitation and beyond them all. [542]

[514] Lit. "Super-Essential, Supra-Divine, Super-Excellent."

[515] Lit. "Oracles" i. e. to the most exalted and mystical teaching of Holy Scripture.

[516] Gk. agnostos refers to a transcendent or spiritual Unknowing (as distinguished from mere ignorance).

[517] "The Super-Essential Ray of Divine Darkness."

[518] i. e. Philosophers and unmystical theologians.

[519] i. e. Those who accept "popular theology." The first stage of theistic Religion is anthropomorphic, and God is thought of (like Jehovah) as a magnified man of changing moods. Popular religion seldom rises above this level, and even gifted theologians often sink to it. But it is, D. tells us, the lowest stage. Then comes a metaphysical stage. God is now thought of as a timeless Being and therefore

changeless, but the conception of a magnified man has been refined rather than abolished. The ultimate truth about God and our relation to Him is held to be that He is a "Person" and that He has "made" the world. (This attitude is seen at its worst in Unitarian theology. Bradley's criticisms on Lotze show how this fails on the intellectual side. The Doctrine of the Trinity, by insisting on an unsolved Mystery in God, prevents Orthodox theology from resting permanently in this morass, though it often has one foot there.) And non-Christian thinkers, in opposition to this conception, regard the ultimate Reality as impersonal, which is a worse error still. We must get beyond our partial conceptions of "personality," "impersonality," etc. They are useful and necessary up to a point, but the Truth lies beyond them and is to be apprehended to a supernatural manner by what later writers call "infused" contemplation. The sum of the whole matter is that God is incomprehensible.

[520] On Via Affirmativa and Via Negativa, vide Intr., p. 26 f.

[521] No writings of St. Bartholomew are extant. Possibly D. s inventing, though not necessarily.

[522] Vide Intr., p. 21. "Beyond Good and Evil" (though not in Nietzsche's sense). When evil disappears Good ceases to be an opposition to it, and so Good attains a new condition.

[523] In the following passage we get the three stages tabulated by later Mystical Theology: (1) Purgation, (2) Illumination, (3) Union.

[524] See Intr., p. 27, on the ecstasy. D.s terminology is always exact though exuberant--or rather exuberant because exact. And, since if the mind, in thinking of any particular thing, gives itself to that thing and so belongs to it, in utterly ceasing to belong to itself it ceases to have any self-consciousness and possesses a God-consciousness instead. This would be a mere merging of the personality, but that the

Godhead, according to D., is of such a paradoxical nature as to contain all the creatures fused and yet distinct (Intr , p. 28) so the self is merged on one side of its being and distinct on the other. If I lose myself in God, still it will always be "I" that shall lose myself There.

[525] This simile shows that the Via Negativa is, in the truest sense, positive. Our "matter-moulded forms" of thought are the really negative things. (Cf. Bergson.) A sculptor would not accept a block of ice in place of a block of marble (for ice will not carve into a statue); and yet the block of marble is not, as such, a statue. So, too, the Christian will not accept an impersonal God instead of a personal God (for an impersonal Being cannot be loved), and yet a "personal" God is not, as such, the Object of the Mystical quest. The conception of Personality enshrines, but is not, the Ultimate Reality. If D. were open to the charge of pure negativity so often brought against him, he would have wanted to destroy his block of marble instead of carving it.

[526] Namely, in the Divine Names and in the Outlines; see Chap. III.

[527] In the Divine Names D. begins with the notion of Goodness (which he holds to be possessed by all things) and proceeds thence to Existence (which is not possessed by things that are either destroyed or yet unmade), and thence to Wisdom (which is not possessed either by unconscious or irrational forms of Life), and thence to qualities (such as Righteousness, Salvation, Omnipotence) or combinations of opposite qualities (such as Greatness and Smallness) which are not, in the full sense, applicable to any creature as such. Thus by adding quality to quality ("Existence" to "Goodness," "Life" to "Existence," "Wisdom" to "Life," "Salvation," etc., to "Wisdom") he reaches the conception of God. But he constantly reminds us in the Divine Names that these qualities apply adequately only to the manifested Godhead which, in Its

ultimate Nature, transcends them.

[528] The process from the universal to the particular is the process of actual development (existence before life, and life before rationality, etc.); the converse is the natural process of thought, which seeks to refer things to their universal laws of species, etc. (Divine Names, V. 3). But this latter process is not in itself the Via Negativa, but only the ground plan of it, differing from it as a ground plan of a mountain path differs from a journey up the actual path itself. The process of developing life complicates, but enriches, the world; that of thought simplifies, but eviscerates it. Contemplation, being an act of the human spirit, is a process of developing life, and yet follows the direction of thought. Hence it enriches and simplifies at the same time.

[529] Cf. p. 194, n. 1.

[530] The Good = (1) the Undifferentiated Godhead, and hence, in Manifestion, (2) God the Father as the Fount of Godhead to the other Persons. The Rays = God the Son and God the Holy Ghost, who, as manifested Differentiations, eternally proceed from the Father. The separate being of the Three Persons exists on the plane of Manifestation (cf. St. Augustine, who says: "They exist secundum relativum and not secundum essentiam"). [Augustine says non secundum substantiam. The translator quotes it correctly in his introduction, p. 10.--Ed.] But this plane is eternal. They wholly interpenetrate, and the state of rest is co-eternal with the Act of Their Procession, because They possess eternal repose and eternal motion.

[531] This is a case of *communicatio idiomatum* (cf. the title "Mother of God" applied to the Blessed Virgin Mary). The Godhead of our Lord is Super-Essential, not His Manhood.

[532] The Symbolical Divinity was an attempt to spiritualize "popular"

theology, the Divine Names sought to spiritualize philosophical theology, the present treatise is a direct essay to Spiritual Theology.

[533] At the last stage but one the mind beholds an Object to which all terms of thought are inadequate. Then, at the last stage, even the distinction between Subject and Object disappears, and the mind itself is That Which it contemplates. Thought itself is transcended, and the whole Object-realm vanishes. One Subject now knows itself as the part and knows itself as the Whole.

[534] In the Divine Names the order of procedure was: Goodness, Existence, Life, etc. Now it passes from sense-perception to thought.

[535] This shows that the Via Negativa is not purely negative.

[536] Being about to explain, in these two last chapters, that no material or mental qualities are present in the Godhead, D. safeguards the position against pure negativity by explaining that they are not absent either. The rest of this chapter deals with the qualities (1) of inanimate matter; (2) of material life.

[537] It is not (1) a Thinking Subject; nor (2) an Act or Faculty of Thought; nor (3) an Object of Thought.

[538] Divine Names, II. 7. Godhead is regarded as the property of Deified men, and so belongs to relativity.

[539] It knows only Itself, and there knows all things in their Super-Essence--sub specie aeternitatis.

[540] Truth is an Object of Thought. Therefore, being beyond objectivity, the ultimate Reality is not Truth. But still less is It Error.

[541] Cf. p. 199, n. 2.

[542] It is (1) richer than all concrete forms of positive existence; (2) more simple than the barest abstraction. (Cf. p. 196, n. i.)

THE INFLUENCE OF DIONYSIUS IN RELIGIOUS HISTORY

By W. J. Sparrow-Simpson

THE significance of the teaching of Dionysius cannot be appreciated aright without tracing to some extent his influence on subsequent religious thought.

Four works of the Areopagite survive. They are: Concerning the Heavenly Hierarchy; Concerning the Ecclesiastical Hierarchy; Concerning the Divine Names; and, Concerning Mystical Theology.

Commentaries upon them began to be written at an early date. The first great propagator of Dionysian theories was the very able monk and confessor Maximus. Maximus, who died in the year 662, wrote notes on all four treatises. These still survive, and may be found in the collected edition of the works of the Areopagite. Maximus is remarkably clear and acute, and contributed not a little to extend his Master's reputation. He was gifted with a simplicity of style which the Areopagite by no means shared, and expounded with great clearness the difficult passages of Dionysius. And certainly the reader will not deny that those passages are by no means few.

Already, before Maximus's labours, the teaching of the Areopagite was known in the West, and was appealed to by Pope Martin the First in the Lateran Council of 649. Martin complained that the doctrine of the Areopagite was being misrepresented. Dionysius was being credited with ascribing to Christ one divino-human activity (*una operatio deivirilis*), whereas what Dionysius had written was a new divino-human activity (*kaine theandrike energeia, nova operatio deivirilis*). [543]

Apart from the theological controversy implied in the respective phrases, it is remarkable to find what authority is already ascribed to its teaching.

But it is really quite impossible to appreciate the historic place of

Dionysius without a study of John Scotus Erigena. It was Erigena who in reality popularized Dionysius for Latin Christendom. The Greek writings of the Areopagite had been sent to the Gallican Church by Pope Paul in 757, and remained for nearly a century unread in the Abbey of St. Denis. Then Erigena, at the request of Charles the Bald, undertook to translate them into Latin. This he accomplished for all the four principal works.

But Erigena did vastly more than merely act as translator. He incorporated the principles of the Areopagite in his celebrated treatise *De Divisione Naturæ*, in which his own speculative system is contained, and which may be said to be as representative of his mind as the *De Principiis* is for Origen or the *Summa* for St. Thomas.

Erigena bases his whole conception of Deity on the teaching of Dionysius. The treatise is thrown into the form of a discussion between the Master and a Disciple. It is an attempt to reconcile Theology with Philosophy. After the Master has insisted on the ineffable and incomprehensible nature of the Divine essence, the Disciple inquires how this proposition is to be reconciled with the teaching of the Theologians on the Unity and Trinity of God. The incomprehensibility of the First Cause appears self-evident. And if Deity is incomprehensible, definition is impossible. For that which cannot be understood certainly cannot be defined. We can only say that God is; but what He is we are unable to affirm. But if this is so, why have the Theologians ventured to predicate Unity and Trinity as characteristics of the ultimate reality?

To the Disciple's criticism the Master replies by appealing to the teaching of the Areopagite. Did not the Areopagite affirm that no words, no names, no expression whatever, can express the supreme and causal essence of all things? That authority is quoted as decisive.

Neither the Unity nor the Trinity in God is such that the clearest human intellect is able to conceive it. Why, then, have the Theologians taught these doctrines?

Erigena's answer is: In order to provide religious people with some definite object for contemplation and instruction.

For this purpose the faithful are bidden to believe in their heart and confess with their lips that God is good, and that He exists in one Divine essence and three persons.

And this teaching of the Theologians is, in the Master's opinion, not without philosophical justification.

For contemplating the ineffable cause of all things, the Theologians speak of the Unity.

Then again, contemplating this Divine Unity as extended into multiplicity, they affirm the Trinity. And the Trinity is the unbegotten, the begotten, and the proceeding.

The Master goes on to explain the distinction between affirmative and negative theology. Negative theology denies that certain things can be predicated of Deity. Affirmative theology asserts propositions which can be predicated. This again is altogether based on the teaching of Dionysius.

Here the Disciple desires to be informed why it is that the Areopagite considers such predicates as goodness, truth, justice, wisdom, which appear to be not only Divine but the divinest of attributes, as merely figuratively transferred from man to Deity.

The Master replies that no characteristics applicable to the finite and limited can be strictly applicable to the infinite and eternal.

Thus, according to Erigena, following closely on the principles of the Areopagite, although goodness is predicated of Deity, yet strictly speaking He is not goodness, but *plus quam bonitas* or *super bonus*.

Similarly, Deity is not Truth, but plus quam Veritas, and super eternitas, and plus quam Sapiens.

Hence affirmation and negation are alike permissible in reference to Deity.

If you affirm that Deity is super-essential, what is it precisely that is meant by the use of "super"? You do not in reality affirm what God is, but simply that He is more than those things which exist. But where the difference consists you do not define.

But the reason why Erigena asserts the strict inapplicability of the term essential to Deity is, that he interprets the term in a way which involves spacial relations. Essence in all things that exist is local and temporal. But Deity is neither.

Deity as Erigena contemplates it is simply the Infinite and the Absolute; and of that, nothing whatever can be strictly predicated beyond the fact that it is. The Cause of all things can only be known to exist, but by no inference from the creature can we understand what it is.

Since, then, Erigena has postulated the philosophic Absolute, the immutable, impassible First Cause, as the Deity, he is compelled to go on to deny that Deity can be subject to affection or capable of love.

This conclusion the Disciple confesses to be profoundly startling. It appears to contradict the whole authority both of the Scriptures and of the Fathers. At the same time it is all logical enough, granting the First Cause to be incapable of action or passion, which seems to involve the Immutable in change: a contradiction of the very idea of Deity. It is all logical enough. But what about the Scriptures, which teach the contrary? And what of the simple believers, who will be horrified if they hear such propositions?

The Master assures the Disciple that there is no need to be alarmed.

For he is now employing the method of speculative reason, not the method of authority. He agrees with Dionysius, for Dionysius had said as much, that the authority of the Scripture is in all things to be submitted to. But Scripture does not give us terms adequate to the representation of Deity. It furnishes us with certain symbols and signs, by condescension to our infirmities. Dionysius is again appealed to in confirmation of this.

It is curious to notice how, while professedly engaged in the method of speculative inquiry, Erigena falls back on the authority of Dionysius: a very significant proof of the value which he ascribed to the Areopagite.

So, then, at last the conclusion is reached that, strictly speaking, nothing whatever can be predicated concerning Deity, seeing that He surpasses all understanding, and is more truly known by our nescience, ignorance concerning Him being the truest wisdom, and our negations more correct than our affirmations. For whatever you deny concerning Him you deny correctly, whereas the same cannot be said of what you may affirm.

Nevertheless; subject to this premise of acknowledged inadequacy, qualities may be rightly ascribed to Deity by way of symbolical representation.

Hence, it is correct to maintain that true authority does not contradict right reason, nor right reason true authority. Both spring from one source, and that one source is Divine.

Thus by a metaphor God may be described as Love, although, as a matter of fact, He transcends it.

It has been a matter of frequent dispute whether the system of Erigena is fundamentally Christian or Pantheistic. In the careful study of Erigena by Theodor Christlieb it is maintained that, while sentences

may be quoted on either side, and the author vacillates, now towards Theism, now in a Pantheistic direction, his attempted reconciliation of Theology with Philosophy ends in the supremacy of the latter, and in the abolition of the essential characteristics of the Christian Revelation.

That the Deity cannot be comprehended by human intelligence is a commonplace of all the great early theologians of the Church. It can be richly illustrated from the theological orations of St. Gregory Nazianzen, or the writings of St. Augustine and St. Hilary upon the Holy Trinity. But then these theologians also maintained with equal conviction that God could be apprehended by man. For this balancing consideration Erigena finds no place. God is for Erigena that of which no distinctive quality can be predicated. God is in effect the Absolute.

But then what becomes of God's self-consciousness? In Christlieb's opinion Erigena's conception of the Deity precludes any firm hold on the Divine self-consciousness. Self-consciousness involves a whole content of ideas, a world of thought, which contradicts the absolute self-identity ascribed by Erigena to the Deity.

In his anxiety to explain the transcendent excellence of Deity, the superlative exaltation above the contingent and the mutable, Erigena seems in the opinion of his critics to have over-reached the truth and reduced the Deity to an abstraction in which perfection and nothingness are identified.

Erigena's conclusion raises in reality the all important problem so constantly debated in modern thought, whether the Absolute is the proper conception of Deity, and whether the God of religion and of fact is not rather spirit, self-consciousness, and perfect personality. The teaching of Dionysius in the exposition of Erigena became scarcely

distinguishable from Pantheism.

Christlieb finds a similar unsatisfactoriness in Erigena's theory of the Trinity.

It will be remembered that, after maintaining as his fundamental position that Deity cannot be defined because it cannot be comprehended, and that nothing whatever can be affirmed concerning it beyond the fact of its being, Erigena went on to justify the theologians of the Church in affirming the Unity and the Trinity. But the grounds on which Erigena justified the authorities of the Church are significant. He did not justify the doctrine on the ground that it was a truth revealed, or because it was an inference demanded of the fact and claim of Christ. It is remarkable how obscure a place Christ occupies in Erigena's conception of Deity. The ground on which Erigena would justify the doctrine is that Unity and Multiplicity may fairly be ascribed to the First Cause of all things, because Deity can be regarded in its simplicity as one and then regarded as extended into multiplicity.

But it is impossible to avoid the criticism that this ascription of Unity and Multiplicity to Deity is not the same thing as the doctrine of the Trinity. Nor is it obvious why Trinity should be substituted for Multiplicity. Moreover, this Multiplicity exists subjectively in the human mind rather than in the being of Deity: since it is expressly forbidden by the author's fundamental principle to say anything whatever concerning Deity beyond the fact that it exists. And further still, on the author's principles neither Unity nor Multiplicity can be strictly ascribed to Deity. Both must be merged in something else which is neither the one nor yet the other, and which escapes all possible definition.

It is scarcely wonderful, therefore, that Christlieb should conclude

that on Erigena's principles the doctrine of the Trinity is not really tenable. Erigena certainly endeavours to approximate to the Church's Tradition, and to give it an intellectual justification. But in spite of these endeavours he is unable to maintain any real distinctions in his Trinity. They have no actual substantial existence whatever. They are mere names and not realities. There may be appearances. But in its essential being, according to Erigena, Deity is neither unity nor trinity, but an incomprehensible somewhat which transcends them both. For Erigena both the Unitarian and the Trinitarian representations of God are alike products of subjective human reflection. They are neither of them objected realities. If you rest on either of them you are according, to Erigena, mistaken. For God is more than Unity and more than Trinity.

Looking back on the whole course of Erigena's exposition of Dionysian principles, we see that the Areopagite had identified God with the Absolute. Dean Inge says that "Dionysius the Areopagite describes God the Father as 'superessential indetermination,' the unity which unifies every unity,' the absolute no-thing which is above all reality.' No moral or trial,' he exclaims in a queer ebullition of jargon, can express the all-transcending hiddenness of the all-transcending superessentially superexisting super-Deity.'" [544] And Erigena did not hesitate to deny Being to Deity. Being, in his opinion, is a defect. The things that are not, are far better than the things that are. God, therefore, in virtue of His excellence, is not undeservedly described as Nihil--nothingness.

Two conceptions of Deity emerge in this exposition. One is, that the Deity is identical with the Absolute. It is beyond personality, beyond goodness, beyond consciousness, beyond existence itself. Nothing whatever can be predicated concerning it. Being is identical with

nothingness. It is above the category of relation. This is the philosophic conception.

The other conception is that Deity possesses the attributes of self-conscious personality. This is the religious conception.

In the exposition of Erigena the philosophic conception is affirmed to be the true, while the religious conception is regarded as the creation of the theologians for the purpose of explanation and of faith.

From this distinction certain things seem clear. It seems clear that the philosophic conception of Deity as identical with the Absolute, cannot satisfy the requirements of religion, and that Deity cannot become an object of adoration unless it is invested with the attributes of personality. That of which nothing can be predicated cannot become the object of our worship.

But at the same time if the religious conception of Deity as self-conscious and personal is offered to our contemplation with the express proviso that it does not represent what God really is, the proviso paralyses the wings of our aspiration and renders Deity impossible as an object of prayer. [545]

Erigena was by no means a persona grata to the Church of his age. He was a metaphysician, without the mystical tendencies of Dionysius, and while he expounded the Areopagite's ideas roused suspicion and resentment by the boldness of his conclusions. At the same time his translations of Dionysius made the Greek Master's principles familiar to the Latin world.

In the Eastern Church the Areopagite's influence is clearly present in the great Greek Theologian, St. John of Damascus. When speaking of the inadequacy of human expressions to represent the reality of God John Damascene appeals to Dionysius. [546] And the whole of his teaching on the Divine incomprehensibility is clearly due to the influence of the

Areopagite. When we read that an inferior nature cannot comprehend its superior, or when we find the distinction drawn between negative theology and affirmative, between that which declares what God is not and that which declares what He is; and that the former presents the Divine superiority to all created things; when further still we read of the super-essential essence, and the super-divine Deity: we see in a moment the influence of Dionysian conceptions. Nevertheless St. John Damascene is anything rather than a blind adherent of Areopagite teaching. On the contrary it is profoundly, true as Vacherot [547] has said, that he follows Dionysius with discrimination: or rather, perhaps, that he supplements the Doctrine of the Divine incomprehensibility by very definite teaching on the reality of the distinctions within the Deity and on the reality of the personal Incarnation of the eternal Son of God in Mary's Son. That is to say, that while the Philosopher appears in the Areopagite to eclipse the Theologian, the Theologian in St. John Damascene controls the Philosopher. The careful, discriminate use of Dionysius by the great Greek Schoolman is most remarkable. He assimilated the true elements while rejecting the questionable or exaggerated.

Returning once more to the Church of the West, the influence of Dionysius is seen extending, through Erigena's translations, into the Monastic studies. The theologian Hugh, of the Abbey of St. Victor at Paris, wrote in ten books a Commentary on the Heavenly Hierarchy of the Areopagite, full of enthusiastic appreciation of the great mystic's teaching.

Far more important than this is the influence exerted by Dionysius over the mind of St. Thomas. It is not only that St. Thomas wrote a Commentary on the Divine Names, [548] but in the works of Aquinas his ideas are constantly reappearing. He is one of St. Thomas's favourite

authorities. As one becomes increasingly more familiar with the greatest of all the scholastic theologians this ascendancy of the Greek mystic becomes more and more impressive. But it is almost needless to say that Aquinas treats the Areopagite critically. St. Thomas is profoundly averse from everything which resembles a Pantheistic tendency. His teaching alike on the Trinity and on the Incarnation belongs to another realm of thought from that of the neo-Platonist. At a later period misgivings arose in the Church whether the theology of the Areopagite was, in fact, altogether above suspicion. So long as his traditional identification with the disciple of St. Paul was maintained, and he was credited with being, by apostolic appointment, first Bishop of Athens, these distinctions made suspicion of his orthodoxy seem irreverent and incredible. But when the identification was questioned by the historical critics of the seventeenth century, and the tradition completely dispelled, then the term Pseudo-Dionysius began to be heard and to prevail, and criticism upon its orthodoxy arose in the learned schools in France.

Le Quien, in a dissertation prefixed to the works of St. John Damascene, propounds the formidable inquiry: Num Pseudo-Dionysius hæreticus fuerit. [549] Le Quien is convinced that Dionysius employs language which confuses the Divine and the Human in our Lord; fails to distinguish accurately between person and nature; and betrays unquestionable monophysite tendencies.

On the other hand, Bernard de Rubeis, in his Dissertation, [550] says that Le Quien fails to do justice to the author's meaning; and that Aquinas understood the author better, and thought him orthodox.

The University of Paris defended the Areopagite. The University of Louvain agreed. The Jesuits eagerly advocated his orthodoxy. Lessius, the celebrated author of the Treatise on the Divine Perfections,

corresponding with another Jesuit, Father Lanssel, declared that he had read the Areopagite frequently, and had carefully studied all his writings. For thirty-six years Dionysius had been his chosen patron, always remembered by him in the Sacrifice of the Mass, with a prayer to be permitted to share the Areopagite's wisdom and spirit. [551] What disturbed Lessius was that the Areopagite had not been better translated. Inadequate terms had been put in the Latin rendering which might easily lead the reader into error. For many instances of this might be produced. Father Lanssel, however, is compelled to admit quite frankly that the Areopagite's writings contain difficulties which cannot be laid to the charge of his translators. St. Thomas himself had said as much.

That Master of the Schoolmen, that theologiæ apex, who solved the hardest problems in theology more easily than Alexander cut the Gordian knot, did not hesitate to say that Dionysius habitually suffered from obscurity of style. This obscurity was not due to lack of skill, but to the deliberate design of concealing truth from the ridicule of the profane. It was also due to his use of platonic expressions which are unfamiliar to the modern mind. Sometimes the Areopagite is, in the opinion of St. Thomas, too concise, wrapping too much meaning into a solitary word. Sometimes, again, he errs, the opposite way, by the over-profuseness of his utterances. Nevertheless, this profuseness is not really superfluous, for those who completely scrutinize it become aware of its solidity and its depth. The fact is, adds Father Lanssel, as Isaac Casaubon asserted, the Aeropagite invents new words, and unusual unheard-of and startling expressions. The Confessor Maximus admitted that his Master obscures the meaning of the superabundance of his phraseology.

When we come to the nineteenth century we find the Treatises of the

Areopagite criticized, not only, or chiefly, for their form and style, but also for their fundamental principles.

The System of the Areopagite was subjected to a very searching critical analysis by Ferdinand Christian Baur. (*Christliche Lehre von der Dreieinigkeit and Menschwerdung Gottes*, 1842; Bd. II. 207-251.)

According to Dionysius, as understood by Baur, God is the absolute Unity which stands contrasted with the Many. The Many denotes the world of concrete reality. Doubtless there is a process from Unity to Multiplicity, affirmation and negation, but this process takes place solely in the subjective consciousness.

How, then, asks Baur, can this Areopagite conception of Deity be reconciled with the Christian conception, with which it appears to be in obvious contradiction?

The Areopagite speaks often of a Triad, and dwells on the Church's Doctrine of the Trinity. But the terms which in his system represent the Godhead are such as the super-good, the super-divine, the super-essential. These terms represent an abstraction. If any distinction exists, that distinction in no case exists within the Deity, but only in the activities which proceed from God as the super-essential Cause. Distinctions exist in our subjective consciousness. But they have no objective reality. If we call the Divine Mystery God, or Life, or Essence, or Light, or Word, we only mean thereby the influences which emanate from that Mystery.

In Baur's opinion, therefore, the Trinitarian conception, as held in the Tradition of the Church, is in the system of Dionysius reduced to little more than names.

Baur's criticism on the Areopagite's notion of Incarnation is not less severe.

The System of Dionysius allows no distinctive and peculiar Incarnation

at all. It allows no special and new relationships, but only a continual becoming. The Incarnation is, in the Areopagite's view, nothing more than the process from Unity to Multiplicity; which is essential to its conception of Deity. If Dionysius speaks of the God-man as an individual, that is either a mere concession to Tradition, or a lack of clearness in its own conception. The union of God with an individual such as the Christian Tradition postulates cannot, in Baur's opinion, be reconciled with the system of the Areopagite.

A second modern opinion on the theological teaching of Dionysius is given by that singularly clear and sceptical Frenchman, Vacherot, in his *Histoire de l'École d'Alexandrie*, 1851, Tome III. pp. 23 ff.

Vacherot considers the group of treatises ascribed to Dionysius to be the most curious monument of neo-Platonist influence over Christian theology. Philosophy affirms that negations concerning Deity are true on condition that they express nothing definite. In the author's opinion Theology cannot really give any positive instruction. Dionysius is understood by Vacherot to teach that mystical theology is the suppression of definite thought. To know God we must cease to think of Him. The devout is lost in a mystical obscurity of ignorance. Nothing definite can in reality be said of Deity.

In Vacherot's opinion the orthodoxy of the Areopagite is more than doubtful.

The Christian conception presents the living personal self-conscious God, Creator and Father of the world, in eternal inseparable relation with His Son and His Spirit, a Trinity inaccessible in itself, but manifested directly in Incarnation.

But in the conception of this neo-Platonist thinker Deity is removed to an infinite distance from the human soul, and the Trinity is reduced to

a mere abstraction. We are here far removed from the genuine Christian theology.

Dionysius is to Vacherot a neo-Platonist philosopher in disguise, who while going over to Christianity retained his philosophic ideas which he adroitly combined with the principles of his new belief.

A third modern critic of Dionysius is the Lutheran theologian, Dorner. Dorner was concerned only with the bearing of the Areopagite principles on the doctrine of the Person of Christ. [552]

In Dorner's opinion the mystical Christology of the Areopagite "forms an important link of connection between Monophysitism and the doctrine of the Church." "Not that we mean to affirm that the Areopagite was a declared Monophysite; certainly, however, that his entire mode of viewing the world and God belong to this family."

With regard to the doctrine of the Trinity, Dorner holds that on the principles of Dionysius "seeing that God is the One Who is at once in all and above all--yea, outweighs the negation of the many by the Divine Unity--all idea of distinct hypostasis in God ought consistently to be renounced; in the Super-Essential God everthing sinks down into unity without distinctions. Much is said, indeed, of the Many, along with the One; but the Trinity in God retains merely a completely precarious position."

Dorner adds: "The result as far as Christology is concerned is very plain; after laying down such premises, it was impossible for the Areopagite to justify, either anthropologically or theologically, a specific incarnation in one individual. If he taught it at all, it was because he had adopted it from the Creeds of the Church, and he was quite unable to put himself into a sincere and true relation towards it."

To these criticisms may be added the remarks of a fourth modern writer,

this time from the standpoint of the Roman Church. Bach, in his very able History of Dogma in the Middle Ages, says that, in the works of the Areopagite, Christ is frequently treated in so idealistic a fashion that the concrete personality of the God-man is driven into the shade. The mysticism of Dionysius is not founded on the historical person of Christ, nor on the work of Redemption as a fact once actualized in time.

Here may be added a criticism on Dionysius from a Bishop of the English Church. Bishop Westcott wrote--

"Many, perhaps, will be surprised that such a scheme of Christianity as Dionysius has sketched should even be reckoned Christian at all." [553]

Dr. Westcott went on to say of the Areopagite's principles: "It must be frankly admitted that they bear the impress not only of a particular age and school, but also of a particular man, which is not wholly of a Christian type." And again elsewhere "very much of the system was faulty and defective."

In closing this short survey of the place of Dionysius in the history of religious thought it is evident enough that we are confronted with an exceptional figure of unusual ascendancy. He is not made less perplexing by the variety of estimates formed upon his theology by men of different schools and of marked ability. The student must be left to draw his own conclusions. But if those conclusions are to be correctly drawn he must have before his mind, at least in outlines, the fact of the Areopagite's historic influence.

The general impression left upon the mind by the Areopagite's critics is that the author's strength consisted in his combination of philosophy with mysticism; but that he was far more strong as a philosophic thinker than he was as a Christian theologian; and, that in his efforts to reconcile Christianity with neo-Platonism it is the

philosophy which prevails, not without serious results to the theology of the Church. His greatest admirers appear to have employed him with discretion; to have balanced his statements with more proportion, and to have read him in the light of strong Catholic presuppositions which to some extent neutralized his over-emphasis, and supplemented his omissions. It is an interesting speculation for the theological student what the position of these writings would have been if their author had never been identified with the disciple of St. Paul.

[543] See Hefele, Conciliengeschichte, Bd. III. 196.

[544] Cf. Inge, The Philosophy of Plotinus, II. 112.

[545] Cf. Inge, The Philosophy of Plotinus, II. 115.

[546] De Fide Orthodoxa, Bk. I. ch. xii.

[547] Vacherot's Histoire Critique de l'École d'Alexandrie, III. 40, 1851.

[548] See Parma edition of St. Thomas, Tom. 1V. Opusculum vii. pp. 259-405.

[549] Migne, Patrol. Græc., Tom. XCIV. i. 281.

[550] See also the Parma edition of St. Thomas, Tom. XV. 430 ff., where this Dissertation is printed.

[551] Migne, Patrol. Græc., Tom. IV. 1002.

[552] Dorner, Doctrine of the Person of Christ, Div. II. i. 157 ff.

[553] Westcott, Religious Thought in the West, p. 188.

INDEX TO TEXT

Affirmative Theology, 196

Bartholomew, 193

Clement, 141

Differentiations in Deity, 67 ff.
Divine Names, 51-190
Elements of Divinity, 76, 83
Elymas, 157-158
Emanations, 79-80
Evil (Nature of), 86 ff., 111-130
Fatherhood, 56
God as Goodness, 86 ff.
" Light, 91-94
" Beauty, 95 ff.
" Love, 104
" Being, 131 ff.
" Life, 144
" Wisdom, 146
" Reason, 148-153
" Power, 154
" Righteousness, 158, 160
" Great and Small, 162
" Omnipotent, 169
" Peace, 173-178
" Holiness, 181
" Perfection, 184
" Unity, 185-190
Hierotheus, 76-83, 86-107
Hymns of Yearning, 107, 108, 109
Ignatius, 104
Illumination, 55, 58
Incarnation, 76
James, St., 84

Negative Theology, 196
Outlines of Divinity, 51, 196, 197
Paul, St., 83
Peter, St., 84
Scriptures, 52, 53
Simplicity, 55
Super-essential, 52, 53, 54, 56, 59, 71, 139, 191
Super-excellent, 191
Super-intellectual, 52
Supra-Divine, 191
Symbolical Revelation, 56 ff.
Timothy, 191
Trinity, 56, 65, 66, 79, 191
Undifferenced Names of God, 65, 68

INDEX TO NOTES AND INTRODUCTION

Aquinas, 3, 81, 107, 143, 151, 171, 172, 212
Aristotle, 81, 92, 101, 171
Augustine, 9, 10, 41, 42-65, 77, 103, 134, 136, 141, 143, 162, 168,
181, 185, 197
Bach, 217
Baur, 214-216
Bergson, 143, 152, 195
Bernard de Rubeis, 213
Bernard, St., 165
Blake, 140
Bradley, 114, 192
Brontë, E., 186
Contemplation, 25, 30, 33

Damascenus, 211

Dante, 88, 107, 140, 173, 177, 178

Dionysius, influence, 202-219; writings, 47

Dorner, 217

Eckhart, 122, 181

Erigena, 3, 203-211

Evil, problem of, 20-25

Fox, George, 87

God as Unity, 65-80

" Goodness, 86-130

" Being, 131-143

" Life, 144-146

" Wisdom, 146-154

" Power, 154-161

" Great, 162-169

" Almighty, 169-173

" Peace, 173-180

" Holy, 181-183

" Perfection, 184, 190

Godhead, 4-6, 6-19

Hierotheus, 107

Hugh of St. Victor, 212

Ignatius, St., 104

Inge, 29, 210, 211

John of the Cross, 103

Julian of Norwich, 102, 143

Lanssel, 213

Lateran, C. (649), 213

Le Bon, 109

Le Quien, 213
Lotze, 192
Martin (i. Pope), 202
Maximus, 3, 202
Nietzsche, 90, 193
Pachymeres, 3
Pascal, 118
Personality, 4
Philosophy (Modern), D.s relation to, 30
Plato, 107
Plotinus, 2, 109, 138
Proclus, 1
Psychology, 33-40
Ruysbroeck, 122
Scripture, D.s relation to, 40
Severus, 3
Shelley, 182
Spencer, 107
Super-essential, 15, 16, 17, 45, 51, 52, 53, 191
Super-excellent, 191
Supra-Divine, 191
Tauler, 122
Trinity, 9, 10, 42, 44, 45
Vacherot, 211, 216
Via Negativa, 195, 196
Victorinus, 174
Von Hügel, 172
Westcott, 218
Wordsworth, 95, 99

Indexes

Index of Scripture References

Genesis

[1]1 [2]1:1 [3]1:1-8 [4]1:2 [5]1:16 [6]1:20 [7]2:7

[8]28:13

Exodus

[9]3:14 [10]3:14 [11]3:14 [12]13:21 [13]15:2 [14]24:10

[15]33:23

Deuteronomy

[16]4:24 [17]33:27 [18]33:27

Judges

[19]13:18

2 Samuel

[20]1:26

1 Kings

[21]19:12

1 Chronicles

[22]29:14

Job

[23]10:8 [24]10:12

Psalms

[25]2:25 [26]2:27 [27]4:30 [28]10:5 [29]17:22 [30]18:22

[31]19 [32]24:7 [33]27:4 [34]31:1 [35]31:2 [36]31:3

[37]33:17 [38]43:10 [39]44:21 [40]45:3 [41]75:8 [42]84:6

[43]84:11 [44]86:8 [45]91:4 [46]91:4 [47]104:29 [48]104:30

[49]136:2 [50]136:3

Proverbs

[51]4:6 [52]4:8 [53]8 [54]8 [55]9:5

Isaiah

[56]5:1 [57]6:3 [58]40:15 [59]66:1

Jeremiah

[60]23:6

Ezekiel

[61]1:26 [62]1:26 [63]1:27 [64]1:27

Daniel

[65]7 [66]7:9

Hosea

[67]14:5

Matthew

[68]6:22 [69]7:6 [70]11:27 [71]19:17 [72]19:17

Luke

[73]12:47 [74]17:21 [75]20:36

John

[76]1:1 [77]4:24 [78]5:21 [79]6:63 [80]8:12 [81]10:10

[82]10:11 [83]10:30 [84]10:30 [85]10:34-36 [86]14:6

[87]14:6 [88]14:17 [89]15:26 [90]16:15 [91]17:10

Acts

[92]1:23 [93]2:2 [94]17:23 [95]18:7

Romans

[96]1:20 [97]11:33 [98]11:36 [99]11:36 [100]11:36 [101]16:27

1 Corinthians

[102]1:25 [103]1:25 [104]1:25 [105]1:30 [106]1:30 [107]2:4

[108]2:6 [109]2:10 [110]2:11 [111]2:11 [112]2:16 [113]3:18

[114]3:19 [115]6:19 [116]12:1-3 [117]15:28 [118]15:28

2 Corinthians

[119]3:17 [120]4:18 [121]12:2-4 [122]12:2-5

Galatians

[123]4:9

Ephesians

[124]1:21 [125]3:8

Philippians

[126]2:9 [127]3:15

Colossians

[128]2:3 [129]2:3 [130]4:11

1 Thessalonians

[131]4:16

2 Timothy

[132]2:2 [133]2:12 [134]2:13

Hebrews

[135]4:12

James

[136]5:4

1 John

[137]3:2 [138]3:2 [139]4:1-3

Revelation

[140]1:4 [141]1:5 [142]1:6 [143]4:11 [144]5:10 [145]14:14

[146]17:4 [147]19:1 [148]20:6 [149]22:16

Wisdom of Solomon

[150]1 [151]8 [152]8:2

Sirach

[153]3:21

Index of Greek Words and Phrases

* [154]agape

* [155]agathotetos huparxis

- * [156]angelomimetos
- * [157]agnostos
- * [158]amorphia
- * [159]anapesis
- * [160]apo ton . . . pronoion e pronoooumenon
- * [161]archisunagogos esti ton eskedasmenon
- * [162]ateles
- * [163]aphomoiosis
- * [164]has eite epibolas eite paradochas chre phanai
- * [165]ekphantorikos kai humnetikos
- * [166]en theioteira mimesei ton huperouranion noon
- * [167]en tais proeisagogais ton logion
- * [168]eroton
- * [169]henoseis, diakriseis, tautotetes, heterotetes
- * [170]ekphansis
- * [171]enosis
- * [172]eros
- * [173]erotes
- * [174]henosis
- * [175]hexis
- * [176]he eis heauten eisodos
- * [177]henomene
- * [178]henomenos diakrinetai
- * [179]helios hoti panta aolle poiei
- * [180]isotes
- * [181]hierarchikon paradoseon
- * [182]hina e ho Theos ta panta en pasin
- * [183]on-
- * [184]ho haplous lesous sunetethe

- * [185]ho emos Eros estaurotai
- * [186]ontos
- * [187]ho ti pote estin he tes huperagathotetos huperuparxis
- * [188]hothen kai kallos legetai
- * [189]huperenomene
- * [190]huperousios thearchia
- * [191]huperouranios
- * [192]huperochai
- * [193]huperteles
- * [194]huperphues
- * [195]huperphuos
- * [196]hos en pantokratoriko puthmeni
- * [197]hos ouk ontos ichnous ton epi ten kruphian autes apeirian dieleluthoton
- * [198]hos ousiodis agathun.
- * [199]hos panta pros heauto kaloun
- * [200]on
- * [201]Theotes
- * [202]Thearchia
- * [203]Phasi . . . kai tes eiremenes henoseos idia kai authis tes diakriseos einai tinas idikas kai hinoseis kai diakriseis
- * [204]aion
- * [205]aitia
- * [206]hai epistrophai ton katadeesteron
- * [207]hai noetai kai noerai pasai kai ousiai kai dunameis kai energeiai
- * [208]hai pronoiai ton huperteron
- * [209]hai . . . peri heautas ametaptotoi sunelixeis
- * [210]autoaion

- * [211]autoeinai
- * [212]autoeinai, autozoe, k.t.l.
- * [213]autozoe
- * [214]autotheotes
- * [215]autonoetoi
- * [216]autosophia
- * [217]diakrisis
- * [218]diakekrimena
- * [219]diakriseis
- * [220]diakriseos
- * [221]ei houte chre phanai
- * [222]eis to praktikeuesthai kata ten hapanton gennetiken hyperbolen
- * [223]einai tinas idikas k.t.l.
- * [224]einai
- * [225]theosis
- * [226]theotes
- * [227]thearchia
- * [228]theoi
- * [229]theountai
- * [230]theoeides.
- * [231]theodeis, theioi
- * [232]theoria, koinonia, dmoiosis
- * [233]kai esti touto dunameos henopoious kai sundetikes kai
diapherontos sunkratikes
- * [234]kaine theandrike energiea
- * [235]kata ten kreittona tes kath' hemas logikes kai noeras dunameos
kai energieas
- * [236]kurios eipein
- * [237]logoi

- * [238]metron
- * [239]nous
- * [240]nous anoetos
- * [241]noeta
- * [242]noetos
- * [243]noeton
- * [244]hoi autonoeoi kai theioi ton ontos ekei kalos eroton
- * [245]hoi theoeideis . . . noes
- * [246]ousia
- * [247]ousiode kai hektiken epitedeioteta. ousia
- * [248]ousiodes
- * [249]ousiarchia
- * [250]ousiodes
- * [251]ousiode, onta
- * [252]ou monon mathon alla kai pathon ta theia.
- * [253]panton . . . proleptike
- * [254]paradeigmatikon
- * [255]peri henomenes kai diakekrimenes theologias kai tis he theia
henosis kai diakrasis.
- * [256]peri ten aleston to
- * [257]perioche
- * [258]pneumatikos
- * [259]pros ten adidakton auton kai mustiken apotelestheis henosin
kai pistin.
- * [260]pros tous thearchikous humnous
- * [261]proodos
- * [262]proodous te kai ekphanseis
- * [263]proteleios
- * [264]sunkriseis.

- * [265]ta aitia
- * [266]tas holas . . . ton huperouranion taxeon hagian diakosmeseis
- * [267]tas theologikas stoicheioseis huperphuos sunagagontos
- * [268]taxis
- * [269]teleios
- * [270]telos
- * [271]ten ousiode zoen
- * [272]to me on
- * [273]te ex autou theosei . . . theon pollon gignomenon
- * [274]ton theoumenon thearchia
- * [275]ton noeton theonumion
- * [276]ton teloumenon teletarchia
- * [277]to
- * [278]tois aphthenktois kai agnostois aphthenktos kai agnostos
sunaptometha
- * [279]tois theiois mallon anatheinai ton ontos erota
- * [280]philanthrophias ousiode musteria
- * [281]-on-

Index of Latin Words and Phrases

- * [282]Actus Purus.
- * [283]Aevum
- * [284]Deus movet sicut desideratum a Se Ipso.
- * [285]Dominus
- * [286]Homini bono tolle hominem, et Deum invenis.
- * [287]Movet Deus sicut Desideratum
- * [288]Nec pato quod culpari possit, si quis Deum, sicut Joannis,
charitatur, ita ipse amorem nominat. Denejire memini, aliquem
sanctorum dixisse Ignatium nomine de Christo: Mens autem amor

crucifixus est: nec reprehendi eum per hoc dignum iudico.

* [289]Num Pseudo-Dionysius hæreticus fuerit.

* [290]Pectus facit theologum.

* [291]Personæ

* [292]Persona

* [293]Ubi demonstrat Filio Pater quod facit nisi in ipso Filio per quem facit? Si quid facit Pater per Filium facit; si per sapientiam suam et virtutem suam facit; non extra illi ostendit quod videat . . . in ipso illi ostendit quod facit. . . . (3) Quid videt Pater, vel potius quid videt Filius in Patre . . . et ipse.

* [294]Ubi se mihi dedit me mihi reddidit.

* [295]aeternitas

* [296]aevum

* [297]animus

* [298]ascendat per se supra se.

* [299]communicatio idiomatum

* [300]intellectus

* [301]non secundum substantiam

* [302]non secundum substantiam, sed secundum relativum

* [303]nova operatio deivirilis

* [304]per accidens

* [305]persona

* [306]persona grata

* [307]plus quam Sapiens

* [308]plus quam Veritas

* [309]plus quam bonitas

* [310]secundum Relativum

* [311]secundum Substantiam

* [312]secundum essentiam

- * [313]secundum relativum
- * [314]sub specie aeternitatis
- * [315]successivum
- * [316]super bonus
- * [317]super eternitas
- * [318]theologiæ apex
- * [319]totum simul
- * [320]una operatio deivirilis

Index of French Words and Phrases

- * [321]Il n'y a que l'Étre universel qui soit tel. . . . Le Bien
Universel est en nous, est nous mêmes et ne'se pas nous.
- * [322]Le moi
- * [323]durée

Index of Pages of the Print Edition

[324]i [325]ii [326]iii [327]iv [328]v [329]1 [330]2 [331]3
 [332]4 [333]5 [334]6 [335]7 [336]8 [337]9 [338]10 [339]11
 [340]12 [341]13 [342]14 [343]15 [344]16 [345]17 [346]18 [347]19
 [348]20 [349]21 [350]22 [351]23 [352]24 [353]25 [354]26 [355]27
 [356]28 [357]29 [358]30 [359]31 [360]32 [361]33 [362]34 [363]35
 [364]36 [365]37 [366]38 [367]39 [368]40 [369]41 [370]42 [371]43
 [372]44 [373]45 [374]46 [375]47 [376]48 [377]49 [378]50 [379]51
 [380]52 [381]53 [382]54 [383]55 [384]56 [385]57 [386]58 [387]59
 [388]60 [389]61 [390]62 [391]63 [392]64 [393]65 [394]66 [395]67
 [396]68 [397]69 [398]70 [399]71 [400]72 [401]73 [402]74 [403]75
 [404]76 [405]77 [406]78 [407]79 [408]80 [409]81 [410]82 [411]83
 [412]84 [413]85 [414]86 [415]87 [416]88 [417]89 [418]90 [419]91
 [420]92 [421]93 [422]94 [423]95 [424]96 [425]97 [426]98 [427]99

[428]100 [429]101 [430]102 [431]103 [432]104 [433]105 [434]106
[435]107 [436]108 [437]109 [438]110 [439]111 [440]112 [441]113
[442]114 [443]115 [444]116 [445]117 [446]118 [447]119 [448]120
[449]121 [450]122 [451]123 [452]124 [453]125 [454]126 [455]127
[456]128 [457]129 [458]130 [459]131 [460]132 [461]133 [462]134
[463]135 [464]136 [465]137 [466]138 [467]139 [468]140 [469]141
[470]142 [471]143 [472]144 [473]145 [474]146 [475]147 [476]148
[477]149 [478]150 [479]151 [480]152 [481]153 [482]154 [483]155
[484]156 [485]157 [486]158 [487]159 [488]160 [489]161 [490]162
[491]163 [492]164 [493]165 [494]166 [495]167 [496]168 [497]169
[498]170 [499]171 [500]172 [501]173 [502]174 [503]175 [504]176
[505]177 [506]178 [507]179 [508]180 [509]181 [510]182 [511]183
[512]184 [513]185 [514]186 [515]187 [516]188 [517]189 [518]190
[519]192 [520]193 [521]194 [522]195 [523]196 [524]197 [525]198
[526]199 [527]200 [528]201 [529]202 [530]203 [531]204 [532]205
[533]206 [534]207 [535]208 [536]209 [537]210 [538]211 [539]212
[540]213 [541]214 [542]215 [543]216 [544]217 [545]218 [546]219
[547]220 [548]221 [549]222 [550]223 [551]224

This document is from the Christian Classics Ethereal

Library at Calvin College, <http://www.ccel.org>,

generated on demand from ThML source.

References

1. <file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p8.9>
2. <file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p9.9>
3. <file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.33>
4. <file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p9.9>
5. <file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p6.4>
6. <file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.35>

7. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.36
8. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.13
9. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.7
10. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.31
11. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.10
12. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.91
13. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.60
14. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.27
15. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.25
16. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.82
17. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.29
18. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.23
19. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.2
20. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p18.15
21. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.70
22. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.24
23. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.17
24. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.37
25. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.58
26. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.14
27. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.30
28. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.9
29. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-p5.2
30. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.93
31. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.x-p5.3
32. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xi-p4.2
33. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.19
34. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iv-p4.3
35. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.95

36. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.95
37. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.15
38. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.8
39. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.46
40. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p7.2
41. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.33
42. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.84
43. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.78
44. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.x-p9.2
45. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.19
46. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.21
47. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vii-p2.4
48. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vii-p2.4
49. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.25
50. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.25
51. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p16.6
52. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p16.6
53. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.40
54. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-p9.5
55. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.35
56. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.23
57. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.27
58. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.68
59. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.76
60. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.62
61. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.7
62. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.31
63. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.7
64. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.31

65. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.56
66. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.13
67. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.89
68. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p8.19
69. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.41
70. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p7.3
71. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.17
72. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.4
73. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p55.5
74. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p15.3
75. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p9.18
76. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.44
77. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.86
78. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.18
79. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.20
80. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.11
81. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.38
82. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.6
83. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-p5.2
84. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.32
85. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-p4.15
86. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.9
87. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.15
88. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.72
89. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.16
90. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.34
91. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.36
92. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xii-p2.5
93. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.87

94. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vi-p4.3
95. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xii-p2.6
96. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p9.13
97. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p7.4
98. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.26
99. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.28
100. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p15.14
101. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.21
102. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p4.3
103. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-p2.3
104. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-p4.3
105. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.64
106. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.66
107. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p3.4
108. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p8.14
109. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p8.4
110. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p7.5
111. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p8.4
112. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.42
113. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p4.4
114. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p4.4
115. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.74
116. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p5.2
117. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-p4.12
118. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.97
119. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.22
120. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-p16.3
121. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iv-p3.5
122. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-p4.9

123. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-p4.7
124. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.5
125. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p7.6
126. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.4
127. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p8.15
128. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.48
129. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-p4.5
130. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xii-p2.7
131. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p9.14
132. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iv-p4.5
133. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-p4.17
134. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ix-p7.3
135. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.x-p5.2
136. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.11
137. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p7.11
138. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-p4.22
139. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p5.3
140. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p2.12
141. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.52
142. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-p4.18
143. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p15.2
144. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-p4.19
145. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.29
146. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.54
147. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.50
148. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-p4.20
149. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p11.80
150. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-p10.3
151. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-p10.3

152. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p18.6
153. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iv-p4.2
154. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p18.12
155. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p10.15
156. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p10.8
157. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-p2.5
158. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p6.9
159. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p18.13
160. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.4
161. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p9.4
162. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p15.5
163. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p7.12
164. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p10.4
165. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p9.2
166. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p9.16
167. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p18.5
168. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p24.10
169. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p12.12
170. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p2.1
171. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p15.10
172. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p20.4
173. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p24.9
174. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p10.13
175. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p15.8
176. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p14.2
177. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p16.6
178. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p17.1
179. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p9.11
180. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ix-p10.5

181. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p9.12
182. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-p4.10
183. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p24.4
184. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p9.10
185. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p17.2
186. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p24.5
187. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p10.11
188. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p12.4
189. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p16.5
190. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.ii-p1.1
191. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p9.21
192. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p15.6
193. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p15.6
194. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p13.3
195. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p13.2
196. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p9.6
197. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p7.8
198. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p2.3
199. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p12.3
200. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p19.12
201. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p19.11
202. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p19.2
203. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p5.4
204. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p6.8
205. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p8.1
206. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p12.19
207. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p2.6
208. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p12.17
209. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p3.2

210. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p15.12
211. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p19.1
212. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p9.1
213. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p19.3
214. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p19.5
215. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p24.7
216. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p19.4
217. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p14.2
218. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p2.4
219. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p2.5
220. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p6.4
221. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p6.2
222. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p15.17
223. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p6.2
224. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p6.5
225. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p19.1
226. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p19.4
227. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p19.10
228. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p19.8
229. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p19.6
230. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p16.2
231. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p19.7
232. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p7.10
233. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p18.17
234. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-p5.2
235. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p3.8
236. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.39
237. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p20.1
238. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p6.6

239. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p6.6
240. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p6.14
241. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p6.1
242. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p6.3
243. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iv-p3.4
244. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p24.1
245. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p10.6
246. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.ii-p1.2
247. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p10.17
248. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p10.18
249. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p19.3
250. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p19.2
251. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p19.9
252. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p13.5
253. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p10.2
254. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p12.7
255. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p1.2
256. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p55.2
257. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p7.2
258. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p6.4
259. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p13.7
260. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p8.6
261. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p14.1
262. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p5.2
263. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p15.7
264. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p15.4
265. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p12.3
266. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p8.2
267. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iv-p3.2

268. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p7.1
269. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p8.13
270. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p9.1
271. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p3.5
272. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p12.9
273. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p16.8
274. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p8.17
275. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p13.37
276. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p8.12
277. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iv-p3.7
278. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p3.6
279. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p18.8
280. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-p4.4
281. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p24.6
282. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iv-p2.4
283. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xi-p6.1
284. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p20.3
285. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xiii-p4.1
286. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-p13.3
287. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xii-p6.2
288. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p18.1
289. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-p52.1
290. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p14.9
291. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p3.1
292. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p2.3
293. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-p17.4
294. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.x-p7.3
295. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-p22.5
296. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xi-p4.9

297. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p4.8
298. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-p14.5
299. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-p9.6
300. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-p6.7
301. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-p9.3
302. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p4.2
303. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-p5.3
304. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xi-p4.6
305. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p4.9
306. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-p47.1
307. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-p19.5
308. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-p19.3
309. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-p19.1
310. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p4.4
311. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-p4.5
312. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-p9.2
313. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-p9.1
314. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-p13.5
315. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xi-p4.5
316. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-p19.2
317. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-p19.4
318. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-p55.1
319. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xi-p5.1
320. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-p5.1
321. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p7.3
322. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-p7.1
323. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xi-p5.3
324. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#i-Page_i
325. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#i-Page_ii

326. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#ii-Page_iii
327. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#ii-Page_iv
328. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#ii-Page_v
329. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii-Page_1
330. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.i-Page_2
331. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.i-Page_3
332. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.ii-Page_4
333. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.ii-Page_5
334. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_6
335. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_7
336. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_8
337. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_9
338. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_10
339. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_11
340. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_12
341. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_13
342. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_14
343. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_15
344. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_16
345. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_17
346. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_18
347. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iii-Page_19
348. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iv-Page_20
349. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iv-Page_21
350. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iv-Page_22
351. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iv-Page_23
352. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iv-Page_24
353. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.iv-Page_25
354. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.v-Page_26

355. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.v-Page_27
356. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.v-Page_28
357. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.v-Page_29
358. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.v-Page_30
359. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vi-Page_31
360. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vi-Page_32
361. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vi-Page_33
362. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-Page_34
363. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-Page_35
364. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-Page_36
365. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-Page_37
366. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-Page_38
367. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-Page_39
368. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.vii-Page_40
369. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-Page_41
370. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-Page_42
371. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-Page_43
372. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.viii-Page_44
373. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.ix-Page_45
374. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.ix-Page_46
375. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.ix-Page_47
376. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.x-Page_48
377. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iii.x-Page_49
378. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv-Page_50
379. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_51
380. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_52
381. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_53
382. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_54
383. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_55

384. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_56
385. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_57
386. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_58
387. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_59
388. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_60
389. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_61
390. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_62
391. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_63
392. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ii-Page_64
393. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_65
394. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_66
395. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_67
396. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_68
397. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_69
398. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_70
399. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_71
400. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_72
401. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_73
402. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_74
403. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_75
404. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_76
405. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_77
406. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_78
407. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_79
408. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_80
409. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iii-Page_81
410. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iv-Page_82
411. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iv-Page_83
412. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iv-Page_84

413. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iv-Page_85
414. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.iv-Page_86
415. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_87
416. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_88
417. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_89
418. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_90
419. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_91
420. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_92
421. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_93
422. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_94
423. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_95
424. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_96
425. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_97
426. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_98
427. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_99
428. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_100
429. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_101
430. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_102
431. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_103
432. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_104
433. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_105
434. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_106
435. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_107
436. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_108
437. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_109
438. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_110
439. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_111
440. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_112
441. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_113

- 442. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_114
- 443. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_115
- 444. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_116
- 445. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_117
- 446. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_118
- 447. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_119
- 448. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_120
- 449. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_121
- 450. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_122
- 451. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_123
- 452. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_124
- 453. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_125
- 454. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_126
- 455. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_127
- 456. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_128
- 457. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_129
- 458. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.v-Page_130
- 459. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-Page_131
- 460. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-Page_132
- 461. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-Page_133
- 462. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-Page_134
- 463. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-Page_135
- 464. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-Page_136
- 465. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-Page_137
- 466. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-Page_138
- 467. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-Page_139
- 468. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-Page_140
- 469. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-Page_141
- 470. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-Page_142

- 471. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vi-Page_143
- 472. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vii-Page_144
- 473. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vii-Page_145
- 474. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.vii-Page_146
- 475. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-Page_147
- 476. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-Page_148
- 477. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-Page_149
- 478. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-Page_150
- 479. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-Page_151
- 480. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-Page_152
- 481. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-Page_153
- 482. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.viii-Page_154
- 483. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ix-Page_155
- 484. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ix-Page_156
- 485. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ix-Page_157
- 486. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ix-Page_158
- 487. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ix-Page_159
- 488. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ix-Page_160
- 489. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.ix-Page_161
- 490. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.x-Page_162
- 491. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.x-Page_163
- 492. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.x-Page_164
- 493. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.x-Page_165
- 494. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.x-Page_166
- 495. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.x-Page_167
- 496. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.x-Page_168
- 497. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.x-Page_169
- 498. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xi-Page_170
- 499. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xi-Page_171

500. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xi-Page_172
501. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xi-Page_173
502. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xii-Page_174
503. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xii-Page_175
504. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xii-Page_176
505. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xii-Page_177
506. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xii-Page_178
507. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xii-Page_179
508. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xii-Page_180
509. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xiii-Page_181
510. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xiii-Page_182
511. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xiii-Page_183
512. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xiv-Page_184
513. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xiv-Page_185
514. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xiv-Page_186
515. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xiv-Page_187
516. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xiv-Page_188
517. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xiv-Page_189
518. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#iv.xiv-Page_190
519. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-Page_192
520. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-Page_193
521. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-Page_194
522. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-Page_195
523. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-Page_196
524. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-Page_197
525. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-Page_198
526. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-Page_199
527. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-Page_200
528. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#v-Page_201

529. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_202
530. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_203
531. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_204
532. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_205
533. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_206
534. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_207
535. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_208
536. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_209
537. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_210
538. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_211
539. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_212
540. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_213
541. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_214
542. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_215
543. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_216
544. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_217
545. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_218
546. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_219
547. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vi-Page_220
548. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vii.i-Page_221
549. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vii.ii-Page_222
550. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vii.ii-Page_223
551. file:///ccel/r/rolt/dionysius/cache/dionysius.html3#vii.ii-Page_224

Grow in Your Walk with Christ

Listen and read messages that will stir your heart for Christ and point you to deeper repentance and devotion.

- 50,000+ Sermons from speakers past and present
- 3,900+ Classic Christian Books freely readable online
 - 1,200+ Bible Translations and Commentaries
- Over 450k forum posts — Join our vibrant online Christian forum

www.sermonindex.net